

Article



# Genetic and Morphological Approach for Western Corn Rootworm Resistance Management

Martina Kadoić Balaško<sup>1,\*</sup>, Katarina M. Mikac<sup>2</sup>, Hugo A. Benítez<sup>3</sup>, Renata Bažok<sup>1</sup>, and Darija Lemic<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural Zoology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zagreb, Svetošimunska 25, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; rbazok@agr.hr (R.B.); dlemic@agr.hr (D.L.)
- <sup>2</sup> Centre for Sustainable Ecosystem Solutions, Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health, School of Biology, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia; kmikac@uow.edu.au
- <sup>3</sup> Centro de Investigación de Estudios Avanzados del Maule, Laboratorio de Ecología y Morfometría Evolutiva, Universidad Católica del Maule, Talca 3466706, Chile; hbenitez@ucm.cl
- \* Correspondence: mbalasko@agr.hr; Tel.: +385-1239-3670

Abstract: The western corn rootworm (WCR), is one of the most serious pests of maize in the United States. In this study, we aimed to find a reliable pattern of difference related to resistance type using population genetic and geometric morphometric approaches. To perform a detailed population genetic analysis of the whole genome, we used single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) markers. For the morphometric analyses, hindwings of the resistant and non-resistant WCR populations from a laboratory in the US were used. Genetic results showed that there were some differences among the resistant US populations. The low value of pairwise  $F_{ST} = 0.0181$  estimated suggests a lack of genetic differentiation and structuring among the putative populations genotyped. However, STRUCTURE analysis revealed three genetic clusters. Heterozygosity estimates (Ho and HE) over all loci and populations were very similar. There was no exact pattern, and resistance could be found throughout the whole genome. The geometric morphometric results confirmed the genetic results, with the different genetic populations showing similar wing shape. Our results also confirmed that the hindwings of WCR carry valuable genetic information. This study highlights the ability of geometric morphometrics to capture genetic patterns and provides a reliable and low-cost alternative for preliminary estimation of population structure. The combined use of SNPs and geometric morphometrics to detect resistant variants is a novel approach where morphological traits can provide additional information about underlying population genetics, and morphology can retain useful information about genetic structure. Additionally, it offers new insights into an important and ongoing area of pest management on how to prevent or delay pest evolution towards resistant populations, minimizing the negative impacts of resistance.

Keywords: Diabrotica virgifera virgifera; Bt toxins; resistance; geometric morphometrics; SNPs

# 1. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important crops worldwide. About 200 million hectares is planted, with an average yield of 22 tons/hectare, resulting in 1150 million tons of maize harvested worldwide [1]. The western corn rootworm (WCR) *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera virgifera* is the worst pest in the United States and a major alien invasive pest in Europe [2,3]. The main damage caused by WCR to maize plants is by its larval stage that feeds on corn roots, which affects important physiological processes of the plant. The resulting damage leads to stalk lodging and yield losses, which in turn leads to economic damage to crops [4].

Suppression with chemical insecticides is an important management tool for this pest [5], but WCR has rapidly developed resistance to the insecticides used for control [6]. The first noted case of resistance to insecticides was to cyclodiene insecticides (aldrin and heptachlor) in 1959 in Nebraska [7,8]. So far, WCR has evolved resistance to organophosphates (methyl parathion), carbamates (carbaryl) [6,9], and pyrethroids (bifenthrin and



Citation: Kadoić Balaško, M.; Mikac, K.M.; Benítez, H.A.; Bažok, R.; Lemic, D. Genetic and Morphological Approach for Western Corn Rootworm Resistance Management. *Agriculture* **2021**, *11*, 585. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agriculture11070585

Academic Editor: Stanislav Trdan

Received: 13 May 2021 Accepted: 22 June 2021 Published: 24 June 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). tefluthrin) [10,11]. In addition to insecticides, WCR has developed resistance to crop rotation [12–14] and to the Bt toxin in genetically modified maize [15]. Crop rotation remains the most effective control tactic against WCR. However, resistance to crop rotation has been documented in Illinois and other neighboring states [12]. Spencer et al. [16] observed that some of the WCR populations in northern Indiana and east central Illinois feed on soya bean foliage and flowers, as well as lay eggs in soya bean fields. This behavioral change in the WCR populations in the eastern Corn Belt has eliminated the effectiveness of crop rotation as a rootworm management option. As a consequence, the use of soil and foliar insecticides for WCR has increased to protect corn following soya bean. It was estimated that each year WCR costs US farmers at least USD 1 billion through yield losses and treatment costs [17], but after adaptation to crop rotation, these losses are estimated to be higher [18]. Transgenic maize expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) was introduced in 2003 in the United States [15]. However, resistance to maize expressing Cry3Bb1 was reported in Iowa in 2009 [19]. Afterwards, resistance to Cry3Bb1 was detected in fields throughout Iowa [20,21] but also in WCR populations found in Illinois, Nebraska, and Minnesota [22–24]. Selected rootworm populations developed resistance to the toxins Cry34/Cry35Ab1, Cry3Bb1, and mCry3A under laboratory and greenhouse conditions [25–28]. Cross-resistance was found in WCR field populations between the Cry3Bb1, mCry3A, and eCry3.1Ab toxins [21–23,29]. WCR populations evolved resistance to all four currently available Bt toxins (Cry3Bb1, mCry3A, eCry3.1Ab, and Cry34/35Ab1) [19,23,29–31], and consequently, the challenge of managing has become more difficult.

Resistance is a dynamic phenomenon, meaning that mechanisms already known can change over time. Ongoing monitoring is essential to determine whether management recommendations remain valid or need to be revised in light of changing circumstances or newly acquired knowledge [32]. WCR resistance to insecticides and management strategies is a serious and growing problem in maize production, and before it becomes an even more widespread and major problem, there is a need to explore and implement novel methods (such as single nucleotide polymorphisms and geometric morphometrics) for the early detection of resistance or adaptation that causes WCR resistance.

Population genetic markers can be used to provide genetic data for WCR that is useful when investigating changes in genetic structure and differentiation [3,33,34]. Different types of molecular markers (allozymes, mtDNA sequencing, AFLPs, microsatellites, and SNPs) have already been used in North American WCR populations. The result showed high genetic diversity and a general lack of population structure across the US Corn Belt [35–37].

Several studies on WCR resistance mechanisms have been performed [38–40]. Coates et al. [41] attempted the use of SNPs as population genetic markers in WCR in the US and showed that both markers (microsatellites and SNPs) gave similar results. This does not suggest that SNPs are less effective at separating genetic variation in the species, but it is likely a result of low numbers of SNPs and low genome coverage because the authors used 12 biallelic loci among 190 individuals. Wang et al. [40] found that cylcodiene resistance is correlated with SNPs in the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor. Flagel et al. [42] used SNPs to identify candidate gene families for insecticide resistance and to understand how population processes have shaped variation in WCR populations. Their WCR transcriptome assembly included several gene families that have been implicated in insecticide resistance in other species and that have provided a foundation for future research. Flagel et al. [43] discovered and validated genetic markers in WCR associated with resistance to the *Bt* toxin Cry3Bb1. They found that the inheritance of Cry3Bb1 resistance is associated with a single autosomal linkage group and is almost completely recessive. Niu et al. [44] found that SNP markers identified in a single autosomal linkage group (LG8, 115–135 cm) were correlated with resistance to Cry3Bb1 in field populations of WCR. Although the linkage of these genes to Cry3Bb1 resistance was strong, the causal gene for Cry3Bb1 resistance was not confirmed and remains to be reported.

Geometric morphometrics (GM) (i.e., phenotype size and shape analysis) is a technique that can be used to show hindwing shape and size differences among rootworm populations [45]. By analyzing wing size and shape, it is possible to reveal the invasive adaptation of the adults' traits to different environmental influences. Numerous studies have been performed on the WCR hindwings using geometric morphometry [46–49]. Mikac et al. [46] provided preliminary evidence of wing shape and size differences in WCR from rotated versus continuous maize. Most recently, Mikac et al. [45] determined morphological differences in wing shape in populations adapted to crop rotation and Bt maize compared with a non-resistant WCR population. This study showed evidence of differential wing shape in relation to resistance development and highlights the importance of wing size and shape as a reliable, inexpensive, yet effective biomarker for resistance detection in corn rootworm. The research of Mikac et al. [45] looked at the Bt-resistant individuals as a whole, so it is necessary to extend their research to each Bt toxin separately. A deeper understanding of maize rootworm wing shape and flight morphology, wing geometry, aspect ratio, and flight efficiencies will help identify which resistant phenotypes are most likely to invade geographic areas where they are not yet present.

According to Bouyer et al. [50], changes in an organism's genotype takes much longer to manifest than in its phenotype, thus making geometric morphometrics a much more useful tool than genetics for detecting changes in populations in the short term. That suggests morphology can retain useful information on genetic structure and has the benefit over molecular methods of being inexpensive, easy to use, and able to yield a lot of information quickly. However, resistance cannot be fully understood without genetic data. Genetic studies are an important tool for developing improved methods for detecting resistance, for studying resistance mechanisms, and for choosing approaches to resistance management [51]. Several studies suggest that results are more accurate when both methods are combined. Morphological traits can provide additional information about underlying population genetics, and morphology can retain useful information about genetic structure [52–56].

This is the first study that combines both genetic and geometric morphometric techniques on the same WCR populations and same individuals. The aim of this study was to define genetic variables between known phenotypes and to explore phenotypic markers related to changes in the genome. We hypothesized that by combining genetic and morphological markers, it would be possible to determine and predict resistance to *Bt* toxins and crop rotation in the field.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Sample Collection

All WCR individuals used in this research were populations from the US. The same individuals were used both for the genetic and morphometric analysis. WCR individuals were collected from South Dakota in the fields containing transgenic corn. Individuals adapted to crop rotation from Illinois were collected in fields with documented resistance. Non-resistant (susceptible) adults were obtained from the NCARL laboratory. The nonresistant laboratory population was originally collected in 1987 near the town of Trent, South Dakota, in Moody County. It has been in continuous rearing since that time without mixing with other collections. It is approximately one generation per year. The original beetles were selected in cornfields or on the edge of cornfields and the adult beetles were returned to the laboratory. The non-resistant colony is reared in soil on maize roots and the adult beetles are fed on an artificial diet. Attempts are being made to keep the rearing protocol "field-like" to keep it "wild" (Chad Nielson personal communication). According to Mikac et al. [45], there are minimal differences between rotation-resistant laboratory and field-collected populations, suggesting that the rearing system was not the main reason for the differences observed in their study. Therefore, we excluded the possibility that different conditions (field, laboratory rearing) may contribute to differences in wing shapes and sizes.

Individuals were placed in 95% ethanol pending genetic and morphometric analysis. WCR individuals used in this research were adapted to crop rotation, were non-resistant, and were collected from *Bt* corn expressing different toxins (Cry3Bb1, Cry34/35Ab1, Cry3Bb1, and Cry34/35Ab1) (Table 1).

Table 1. Number of WCR individuals used for geometric morphometric and SNPs analyses. *n* = sample size.

Western Corn Rootworm Populations	Geometric Morphometric Wings (n)	Males/ Females	Adults Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms Genotyped ( <i>n</i> )	Males/ Females
Cry3Bb1	433	184/252	7	2/5
Cry3Bb1_Cry34/35Ab1	86	27/59	5	3/2
Cry34/35Ab1	91	32/59	6	3/3
Adapted to crop rotation	31	14/17	4	1/3
Non-resistant	134	66/68	7	4/3

#### 2.2. DNA Extraction and SNPs Genotyping

Before DNA extraction, hindwings from all individuals were removed for morphometric analysis. DNA was then extracted from the whole-body tissue of 29 adult WCR. DNA extractions were performed using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol.

The DNA concentration for all samples was measured using spectrophotometer (BioSpec-nano Micro-volume) and adjusted to 50 ng/ $\mu$ L prior to SNPs genotyping by Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) [57,58]. After quality control, 29 samples were sent for genotyping. Genotyping was undertaken by Diversity Array Technology Pty Ltd. (DArT, Canberra, Australia) using the extracted WCR DNA. This method is based on methyl filtration and next-generation sequencing platforms [58]. The data we received were filtered for minor allele frequency (MAF) lower than 0.1 and also for missing data higher than 10%. Quality of SNP markers was determined by the parameters "reproducibility" and "call rate" [59]. Remaining SNPs were used for further analysis of genetic diversity and population structure.

### 2.3. Geometric Morphometric Sample Preparation

The adult WCRs (see Table 1) were investigated using geometric morphometric procedures and analyses based on hindwing venation undertaken. In total, 775 hindwings of WCR were analyzed. Left and right hindwings were removed from each individual and slide-mounted using the fixing agent Euparal (Carl Roth GmbH + Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany) based on standard methods [60]. Slide-mounted wings were photographed using a Canon PowerShot A640 digital camera (10-megapixel) on a trinocular mount of a Zeiss Stemi 2000-C Leica stereo-microscope and saved in JPEG format using the Carl Zeiss AxioVision Rel. 4.6. (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, München, Germany). Fourteen type 1 landmarks defined by vein junctions or vein terminations were used (Figure 1.) [47–49,61].



**Figure 1.** Representation of the 14 morphological landmarks identified on the hindwings of western corn rootworm [61].

# 2.4. Data Analysis

# 2.4.1. Genetic Data

All population genetic data analyses were undertaken using the coding environment in R using the R packages adegenet v2.1.3 [62] and dartR v1.1.11 [63]. In the first instance, the SNP dataset was subject to a filtering process using dartR to remove potentially erroneous SNPs. Monomorphic SNPs were excluded followed by the removal of SNPs with a reproducibility of <95%, a call rate of <90% (i.e., SNPs which have 10% missing genotypes or greater), and secondaries.

Pairwise  $F_{ST}$ , estimated as  $\theta$  [64], was calculated between the five putative populations (Cry3Bb1, Cry34/35Ab1Ab1, Cry3B1\_Cry34/35Ab1Ab1, adapted to crop rotation, and non-resistant), along with observed (Ho) and expected (He) heterozygosity. Departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested for each population using the function *gl.report.hwe* as implemented in the R package dartR [63], which includes Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. Using the function *gl.basic.stats* in dartR, overall basic population genetics statistics per locus, such as the observed (Ho) heterozygosity, (F<sub>IS</sub>) inbreeding co-efficient per locus, and F<sub>ST</sub> corrected for the number of individuals, was undertaken. To summarize genetic similarity among populations, *gl.tree.nj* in dartR was used.

The Bayesian model-based clustering algorithm implemented in the STRUCTURE v 2.3.4 [65] Evanno method was employed to determine the genetic structure of the WCR populations investigated. Genetic clusters (*K*-values) ranged between 1 and 6 (1 more population than the total number of populations for the complete data set), and a series of 10 replicate runs for each prior value of *K* were analyzed. The parameter set for each run consisted of a burn-in of 10,000 iterations followed by 100,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo iterations based on the admixture model of ancestry with the correlated allele frequency model and the default parameters in STRUCTURE. The most suitable value of *K* was calculated using the  $\Delta K$  method as used in Structure Harvester web version 0.6.94 [66], where the highest  $\Delta K$  value was indicative of the number of genetic clusters.

The marker-based kinship matrix (*K*) was calculated with the same genotypes using the VanRaden method [67] and then used to create a clustering heat map of the association mapping panel in the GAPIT [68].

#### 2.4.2. Geometric Morphometrics

Each of fourteen previously established landmarks [48] for the WCR were digitized using the software program tpsDIG v.2.16 [69], for which x, y coordinates were generated to investigate hindwing shape. Statistical analyses were performed using MorphoJ version 1.06d [70]. Landmark coordinates were determined, and shape information was extracted using a full Procrustes fit [70]. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to visualize hindwing shape variation in relation to the development of resistance [71]. PCA was based on the covariance matrix of individual hindwing shape. To visualize the average change in *Bt*-resistant strains, a covariance matrix of the average data (for all specimens, regardless of sex) was created. A PCA of the averaged data was used to better visualize shape morphology [72]. To compare morphological relationships between *Bt*-resistant and non-resistant populations, a canonical analysis of variance (CVA) was performed in order to calculate the morphological relationship between groups using the Mahalanobis and Procrustes distances. Mahalanobis and Procrustes morphological distances were calculated and reported with their respective *p*-values after a permutation test (10,000 runs). Finally, a multivariate regression of shape versus centroid size was performed to confirm whether size had an allometric effect [73].

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Genetic Data

3.1.1. Population Diversity Metrics

From the 29 WCR genotyped, 25,304 SNPs were detected. The 90% call rate filter then removed 13,852 SNPs from the data set. Following this, the minor allele frequency filter, SNPs with frequencies <1%, hence removed another 3555 SNPs. Filtering for monomorphs, secondaries, and reproducibility set at 95% removed 772 SNPs. For final analyses, 7125 SNPs were used.

The overall population estimate was applied, and moderate observed heterozygosity ( $H_O$ ) was observed across all loci, with an estimated value of  $H_O = 0.325$ . Moderate genetic diversity, estimated by expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ), was observed with an estimated value of  $H_E = 0.302$ . Moderate inbreeding was observed ( $F_{IS} = 0.121$ ). There were no significant deviations from HWE for all loci. The low overall value of the genetic structure ( $F_{ST} = 0.0181$ ) estimated for the five populations suggested a lack of genetic differentiation amongst them as a whole.

Heterozygosity estimates ( $H_O$  and  $H_E$ ) over all loci and populations were very similar. The average  $H_O$  per population ranged from 0.315 (non-resistant) to 0.338 (Cry3Bb1\_Cry34/35Ab1), while average  $H_E$  ranged from 0.315 (Cry34/35Ab1) to 0.349 (Cry3Bb1\_Cry34/35Ab1) (Table 2). Moderate levels of genetic diversity across all populations were therefore suggested.

**Table 2.** Expected heterozygosity (He) and observed heterozygosity (Ho) values for western corn rootworm populations over all loci.

	No. of Individuals	No. of Loci	Ho	He
Cry3Bb1	7	6487	0.3203	0.3296
Adapted to crop rotation	4	6610	0.3352	0.3464
Cry34/35Ab1	6	6247	0.3165	0.3158
Cry3Bb1_Cry34/35Ab1	5	6562	0.3380	0.3494
Non-resistant	7	6261	0.3149	0.3170

Distribution of heterozygous WCR genotypes and SNP markers revealed moderate values of heterozygosity in 25 individuals out of 28, with heterozygosity <0.35 (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Frequency of heterozygous genotypes and heterozygosity of 7125 SNP markers.

In contrast, pairwise genetic structure does however show differentiation between pairwise population comparisons (Table 3). Pairwise  $F_{ST}$   $\theta$  estimates ranged from 0.0021 (non-resistant population versus Cry3Bb1 resistant population) to 0.0531 (Cry34/35Ab1 resistant population). Cry34/35Ab1 and Cry3Bb1\_Cry34/35Ab1 populations showed the greatest genetic differentiation with respect to all other populations.

	Cry3Bb1	Adapted to Crop Rotation	Cry34/35Ab1	Cry3Bb1_ Cry34/35Ab1
Cry3Bb1				
Adapted to crop rotation	0.0028			
Cry34/35Ab1	0.0250	0.0242		
Cry3Bb1_Cry34/35Ab1	0.0238	0.0333	0.0531	
Non-resistant	0.0021	0.0110	0.0206	0.0286

Table 3. Population pairwise estimates of fixation index (F<sub>ST</sub>).

# 3.1.2. Genetic Structure

STRUCTURE analysis revealed  $\Delta K = 3$  was the most likely number of clusters or populations present within the sampled US WCR individuals (Figure 3). Beetles were assigned to three clusters in consultation with results from STRUCTURE (Figure 4). Along with the results of the kinship analysis with the genetic clustering, a heat map of kinship matrix for evaluating the genetic differences among WCR genotypes was generated. Kinship coefficients between pairs of WCR genotypes varied very little on a scale of -1 to 1. However, the kinship matrix obtained from DArTseq SNP markers resulted in three distinct groups (Figure 5).







**Figure 4.** Determination of the optimal value of K = 3 and population structure of 29 WCR genotypes using DArTseq SNP markers.



**Figure 5.** Heat map plot of kinship matrix using average linkage clustering based on SNP markers depicts the existence of three different groups among WCR genotype.

Further analysis of genetic structure using neighbor-joining (NJ) cluster analysis differentiated WCR genotypes into tree clusters (Figure 6). Cluster I was the largest, and it comprised 18 genotypes that included non-resistant individuals, Cry34/35 and Cry3Bb1 resistant. Cluster II contained individuals with combined *Bt* toxins Cry3Bb1 and Cry34/35 toxin, and Cluster III contained individuals adapted to crop rotation.



**Figure 6.** The neighbor-joining cluster analysis using DArTseq SNP markers for grouping 29 WCR genotypes.

#### 3.2. Geometric Morphometrics

To avoid measurement error in our results, we calculated a Procrustes ANOVA showing that the mean square for individual variation exceeds the measurement error for wing shape (MS centroid size individuals: 0.000002 < 0.000107 MS centroid size error; and  $7.0284 \times 10^{6}$  MS shape individuals  $< 7.428 \times 10^{5}$  MS shape error), so we can retain the following results. A multivariate regression analysis was performed before all the subsequent statistical analyses, discarding any allometric effect on the data (% predicted: 0.8033%).

The PCA of the hindwing shape showed an accumulation of the shape variation in a very few number of dimensions. The first three PCs accounted for 51.246% (PC1 = 21.12%; PC2 = 17.18%; PC3 = 12.93%) of the total shape variation and provided an approximation of the total amount of hindwing shape variation. After averaging the shape variation between the different populations, the population with Cry34/35Ab1 toxin was localized at the left of the PCA closer to the wing shape phenotype of the Cry3Bb1 but far away from the resistant and non-resistant populations where the latter was similar to the population of the combination Cry3Bb1\_Cry34/35 (Figure 7).



**Figure 7.** Principal component analysis of the hindwing average shape between different populations: resistant to the toxins, adapted to crop rotation, and non-resistant *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*. Color and sign code: red triangle: Cry34/35Ab1 resistant population; green square: CryBb1 resistant population; pink star: population adapted to crop rotation (RR); black circle: CryBb1—Cry34/35Ab1 resistant population; and blue rhomboid (NON): non-resistant population.

Procrustes ANOVA showed clear significant differences between the hindwings size and shape between populations (Table 4).

In order to graphically visualize the differences, the CVA maximized the variance between groups, finding similar results with the genetic type in which the population of Cry34/35Ab1 separated from the non-resistant populations (Figure 8). Finally, significant differences (using the different morphometric distances) were found between populations after a permutation was run (Table 5).

**Table 4.** Procrustes ANOVA for both centroid size and wing shape of *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*, Sums of squares (SS) and mean squares (MS) are in units of Procrustes distances (dimensionless).

Centroid Size							
Effect	SS	MS	df	F	P (param.)		
Toxins Individual	1,135,911.475839 3,431,958.659351	283,977.869 13,149.26689	4 261	21.6 45.74	<0.0001 <0.0001		
Residual	56,921.18152	287.480715	198				
Shape							
Effect	SS	MS	df	F	P (param.)	Pillai tr.	P (param.)
Toxins Individual Residual	0.03076466 0.42691601 0.13725163	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0003204652 \\ 6.81539 \times 10^5 \\ 2.88829 \times 10^5 \end{array}$	96 6264 4752	4.7 2.36	<0.0001 <0.0001	1.12 17.64	<0.0001 <0.0001



**Figure 8.** Canonical variate analysis of the hindwing shape between different populations resistant to the toxins: adapted to crop rotation and non-resistant population in *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*. Color and sign code: red Cry34/35Ab1 resistant population; green CryBb1 resistant population; pink population adapted to crop rotation (RR); black CryBb1-Cry34/35Ab1 resistant population; and blue (NON): non-resistant population.

Mahalanobis Distances						
	Cry34/35	Cry3Bb1	NON			
Cry3B1_Cry34/35	1.8022 **					
Cry3Bb1	1.5633 **	1.7142 **				
NON	2.3832 **	1.3276 **	2.2068 **			
RR	2.305 **	1.6339 **	1.9881 **			
Procrustes Distances						
	Cry34/35	Cry3Bb1	NON			
Cry3B1_Cry34/35	0.0135 **					
Cry3Bb1	0.0107 **	0.0124 **				
NON	0.0155 **	0.0069 *	0.013 **			
RR	0.0154 **	0.0118 *	0.0132 **			

**Table 5.** Mahalanobis and Procrustes distances between groups obtained from canonical variate analysis. \*: p < 0.05; \*\*: p < 0.001.

#### 4. Discussion

In this research we aimed to find a reliable pattern of differences related to resistance type using genetic and geometric morphometric analyses. For population structure analysis, we used DArTseq SNP markers. One of the questions we were interested in was whether resistant WCR populations differ at the genetic level. We found no significant evidence of high genetic diversity in any of the assumed populations. However, the estimated values were congruent with moderate genetic diversity across the genotyped beetles. The STRUCTURE revealed three genetic clusters. This classification was also supported by the VanRaden kinship algorithm, where Cry3Bb1\_Cry34/35Ab1 individuals and Cry34/35Ab1 were separated from Cry3Bb1 adapted to crop rotation and non-resistant individuals, although some non-resistant individuals mixed between Cry34/35Ab1, which could be due to the normal evolutionary process. The fact that Cry3Bb1 non-resistant and adapted to crop rotation populations are mixed suggests that they are genetically similar (Figure 4). The neighbor-joining tree separated the individuals adapted to crop rotation, which is to be expected given that the first evolved resistance (not including insecticides) was to crop rotation [12]. Afterwards, all other resistance evolved, and we can see that clearly in this result. The fact that the non-resistant population is not separated could be due to an evolutionary process, as we mentioned earlier.

High-throughput sequencing has provided deeper insight into the molecular mechanisms of resistance [74]. It allowed us to find that many point mutations are found in different genes, suggesting that these mechanisms can occur simultaneously, making it more difficult to understand which one is really responsible for the resistance phenotype [75,76]. In our research, we focused on resistant populations, and we determined that there was some variability between them, but there was no exact pattern. Recent molecular studies show us that different sets of genes are involved in resistance [76–79], which makes it unlikely that universal markers of resistance can be developed to accurately determine the likelihood of a population becoming resistant to a particular compound [75,77,79]. A different number of genes may be involved in resistance, and individuals within a population exhibit different evolutionary patterns of resistance evolution. Therefore, resistance can be found throughout the whole genome, but it is not conditioned by the differences. However, certain shifts could be a warning that some changes in the genome have occurred. Through estimates of genetic diversity, population structuring, and genetic relatedness between individuals, information on the effectiveness of control strategies can be obtained, and recommendations to improve the efficacy of control programs may be possible.

The actual sample size of each site does not need to be large when using SNPs. SNP markers provide the power, not the sample size, as SNPs have genome-wide coverage and there end up being many thousands of SNPs by the time genotyping is complete [80]. The paper by Trask et al. [81] states, "Given that each SNP marker has an individual

evolutionary history, we calculated that the most complete and unbiased representation

of genetic diversity present in the individual can be achieved by including at least 10 individuals in the discovery sample set to ensure the discovery of both common and rare polymorphisms." The second paper by Li et al. [82], who also worked with beetles from the order Coleoptera, found that "a minimum sample size of 3–8 individuals is sufficient to dissect the population architecture of the harlequin ladybird, *Harmonia axyridis*, a biological control agent and invasive alien species." They also estimated the optimal sample size for accurately estimating genetic diversity within and between populations of *Harmonia axyridis*. They determined that six individuals are the minimum sample size required.

Wing morphology (size and shape) is the most important trait of an insect's dispersal capacity. For this reason, the integration of different techniques to understand the plasticity and variation of this trait is vital to understanding how they adapt to new environments and to coordinating strategic planning ahead of possible new invasions [3]. Different types of wing morphotypes have been studied to determine the dispersal capabilities of flying insects [83–85]. Le et al. [86] found that narrowed wings in beetles are more efficient for flapping low-level flights. Additionally, for *D. v. virgifera*, wing shape has been identified as a very good trait to measure in different agronomic studies, including studies of life history (sexual dimorphism) and interspecific and intraspecific shape variation [47–49], and wing shape has also been a useful variable when combined with other monitoring tools (genetics (e.g., microsatellites) and traditional traps (e.g., pheromones)) [3].

Mikac et al. [46] showed that beetles adapted to crop rotation had broader wings (cf. susceptible beetle). Mikac et al. [45] expanded the use of differences in hindwing size and shape to examine changes in WCR associated with the development of resistance, specifically to examine potential differences between (Bt)-resistant, non-resistant (or susceptible), and adapted to crop rotation populations in the US. In general, the hindwings of non-resistant beetles were significantly more elongated in shape and narrower in width (chord length) compared with beetles resistant to *Bt* maize or crop rotation. This result was confirmed by our study. Mikac et al. (2019) did not separate the Bt-resistant populations in their study, but considered them as one population. Therefore, in our study, we separated all Bt-resistant populations to see the differences between them. Cry3Bb1\_Cry34/35Ab1 individuals had the broader shape and a more robust wing with an expansion of landmark 14 and a contraction of landmark 9. Cry3Bb1 individuals had the narrower wings, while individuals resistant to Cry34/35Ab1 had similar but smaller wings, distinguished by the expansion of landmarks 3 and 4. The more stable and elongated wing shape was that of the population adapted to crop rotation, in which there was an extension to landmarks 1 and 2 to the left and an elongation to landmark 9 to the right. The non-resistant population is also slightly wider than the population of Cry3Bb1-Cry34/35Ab1, with the movement of landmarks 14 and 2 also slightly to the right and the wider shape that is also produced by the movement of landmark 7 to the upper left. Elongated wings are more aerodynamic and are considered to be involved in migratory movement [46]. Mikac et al. [46] also suggested that this could be a useful invasive dispersal strategy for mated females. In our research, individuals adapted to crop rotation had more stable and elongated wings, suggesting that these individuals could fly long distances. Such differences may impact upon the dispersal or long-distance movement of resistant and non-resistant beetles. Understanding which beetle morphotype is the superior flyer and spreader has implications for managing WCR through integrated resistance strategies. These findings confirmed GM as a reliable technique for resistance detection. In this study, we aimed to confirm the results from SNPs markers with GM. We found that geometric morphometric tools could provide important clues to differentiate resistant and non-resistant populations. One of the principal results was the similarity of the hindwing shape variation between the population after the STRUCTURE analysis, where using both monitoring techniques showed that the more differentiated population was the resistant Cry34/35Ab1.

Here we describe a possibility that combining genetic and geometric morphometrics could be a reliable technique that can be used to reveal differences among WCR populations.

Hence, geometric morphometrics can be used as a biomarker for resistance detection as part of a larger integrated resistance management strategy for western corn rootworm.

In Croatia, WCR have been investigated in detail (traditional monitoring, genetic monitoring, and GM monitoring), and knowledge about dispersal and adaptive abilities of these invasive insects is well known [3,47,87,88]. Our future work will focus on populations collected in intensive maize-growing areas in Croatia, where WCR populations have become established since their introduction 30 years ago. We will use the comparative techniques presented in this paper to determine whether Croatian populations are potentially resistant and which US WCR population was the source population for Croatia and Europe. This knowledge would help to detect resistant individuals that might invade geographical areas where they are not yet present (e.g., beetles adapted to crop rotation invading Europe where such variants are not present). Such information is very important for biosecurity measures, resistance management, and future control strategies for this pest worldwide.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.K.B. and D.L.; data curation, M.K.B., K.M.M., H.A.B. and R.B.; formal analysis, M.K.B., H.A.B. and D.L.; funding acquisition, R.B.; investigation, M.K.B., K.M.M., H.A.B., R.B. and D.L.; methodology, M.K.B., K.M.M., H.A.B., R.B. and D.L.; project administration, R.B.; resources, R.B.; software, M.K.B. and H.A.B.; supervision, K.M.M., R.B. and D.L.; validation, R.B. and D.L.; visualization, M.K.B. and H.A.B.; writing—original draft, M.K.B. and H.A.B.; writing—review and editing, K.M.M., R.B. and D.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the Croatian Science Foundation through the project Monitoring of Insect Pest Resistance: Novel Approach for Detection, and Effective Resistance Management Strategies (MONPERES) (IP-2016-06-7458) and the young researchers' career development project training of new doctoral students (DOK-01-2018).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable. Western corn rootworm is an established pest of maize in the USA and Southern Europe. No special permission was needed for its collection in this study.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Wade French and Chad Nielson for Bt-maize-resistant rootworm colonies from South Dakota and Joseph Spencer for providing field-collected beetles adapted to crop rotation from Illinois. The authors are very grateful to Reza Talebi and João Paulo Gomes Viana for their help and advice with data analysis. The authors also thank colleague Zrinka Drmić and student Patricija Majcenić for help in preparing the WCR wings.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations FAO STAT. Available online: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/ QC/visualize (accessed on 31 January 2021).
- 2. Hemerik, L.; Busstra, C.; Mols, P. Predicting the temperature-dependent natural population expansion of the western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **2004**, *111*, 59–69. [CrossRef]
- 3. Lemic, D.; Mikac, K.M.; Ivkosic, S.A.; Bažok, R. The temporal and spatial invasion genetics of the western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in southern Europe. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0138796. [CrossRef]
- 4. Dobrinčić, R.; Igrc-Barčić, J.; Edwards, R.C. Determining of the injuriousness of the larvae of western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera LeConte*) in Croatian conditions. *Agric. Conspec. Sci.* **2002**, *67*, 1–9.
- Coates, B.S.; Alves, A.P.; Wang, H.; Zhou, X.; Nowatzki, T.; Chen, H.; Rangasamy, M.; Robertson, H.M.; Whitfield, C.W.; Walden, K.K.; et al. Quantitative trait locus mapping and functional genomics of an organophosphate resistance trait in the western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera. Insect Mol. Biol.* 2016, 25, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Meinke, L.J.; Siegfried, B.D.; Wright, R.J.; Chandler, L.D. Adult susceptibility of Nebraska western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations to selected insecticides. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **1998**, *91*, 594–600. [CrossRef]
- Ball, H.J.; Weekman, G.T. Insecticide resistance in the adult western corn rootworm in Nebraska. J. Econ. Entomol. 1962, 55, 439–441. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ball, H.J.; Weekman, G.T. Differential resistance of corn rootworms to insecticides in Nebraska and adjoining states. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **1963**, *56*, 553–555. [CrossRef]
- Wright, R.J.; Scharf, M.E.; Meinke, L.J.; Zhou, X.; Siegfried, B.D.; Chandler, L.D. Larval susceptibility of an insecticide-resistant western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) population to soil insecticides: Laboratory bioassays, assays of detoxification enzymes, and field performance. J. Econ. Entomol. 2000, 93, 7–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Pereira, A.E.; Wang, H.; Zukoff, S.N.; Meinke, L.J.; French, B.W.; Siegfried, B.D. Evidence of field-evolved resistance to bifenthrin in western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte) populations in Western Nebraska and Kansas. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0142299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Pereira, A.E.; Souza, D.; Zukoff, S.N.; Meinke, L.J.; Siegfried, B.D. Cross-resistance and synergism bioassays suggest multiple mechanisms of pyrethroid resistance in western corn rootworm populations. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0179311. [CrossRef]
- 12. Levine, E.; Oloumi-Sadeghi, H. Western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larval injury to corn grown for seed production following soybeans grown for seed production. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **1996**, *89*, 1010–1016. [CrossRef]
- 13. Sammons, A.E.; Edwards, C.R.; Bledsoe, L.W.; Boeve, P.J.; Stuart, J.J. Behavioral and feeding assays reveal a western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) variant that is attracted to soybean. *Environ. Entomol.* **1997**, *26*, 1336–1342. [CrossRef]
- 14. Levine, E.; Spencer, J.L.; Isard, S.A.; Onstad, D.W.; Gray, M.E. Adaptation of the western corn rootworm to crop rotation: Evolution of a new strain in response to a management practice. *Am. Entomol.* **2002**, *48*, 94–107. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gassmann, A.J. Resistance to Bt Maize by Western Corn Rootworm: Effects of Pest Biology, the Pest–Crop Interaction and the Agricultural Landscape on Resistance. *Insects* **2021**, *12*, 136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Spencer, J.L.; Levine, E.; Isard, S.A. Corn rootworm injury to first-year corn: New research findings. In Proceedings of the Illinois Agricultural Pesticides Conference, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, IL, USA, 8–9 January 1998; pp. 73–81.
- 17. Wechsler, S.; Smith, D. Has resistance taken root in US corn fields? Demand for insect control. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.* **2018**, 100, 1136–1150. [CrossRef]
- 18. Gray, M.E.; Sappington, T.W.; Miller, N.J.; Moeser, J.; Bohn, M.O. Adaptation and invasiveness of western corn rootworm: Intensifying research on a worsening pest. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **2009**, *54*, 303–321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Gassmann, A.J.; Petzold-Maxwell, J.L.; Keweshan, R.S.; Dunbar, M.W. Field-evolved resistance to Bt maize by western corn rootworm. *PLoS ONE* 2011, *6*, e22629. [CrossRef]
- 20. Gassmann, A.J. Field-evolved resistance to Bt maize by western corn rootworm: Predictions from the laboratory and effects in the field. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **2012**, *110*, 287–293. [CrossRef]
- Gassmann, A.J.; Petzold-Maxwell, J.L.; Clifton, E.H.; Dunbar, M.W.; Hoffmann, A.M.; Ingber, D.A.; Keweshan, R.S. Field-evolved resistance by western corn rootworm to multiple Bacillus thuringiensis toxins in transgenic maize. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 5141–5146. [CrossRef]
- 22. Wangila, D.S.; Gassmann, A.J.; Petzold-Maxwell, J.L.; French, B.W.; Meinke, L.J. Susceptibility of Nebraska western corn rootworm populations (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations to Bt corn events. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **2015**, *108*, 742–751. [CrossRef]
- Zukoff, S.N.; Ostlie, K.R.; Potter, B.; Meihls, L.N.; Zukoff, A.L.; French, L.; Ellersieck, M.R.; French, B.W.; Hibbard, B.E. Multiple Assays indicate varying levels of cross resistance in Cry3Bb1-selected field populations of the western corn rootworm to mCry3A, eCry3.1Ab, and Cry34/35Ab1Ab1. J. Econ. Entomol. 2016, 109, 1387–1398. [CrossRef]
- Schrader, P.M.; Estes, R.E.; Tinsley, N.A.; Gassmann, A.J.; Gray, M.E. Evaluation of adult emergence and larval root injury for Cry3Bb1-resistant populations of the western corn rootworm. J. Appl. Entomol. 2016, 141, 41–52. [CrossRef]
- Lefko, S.A.; Nowatzki, T.M.; Thompson, S.D.; Binning, R.R.; Pascual, M.A.; Peters, M.L.; Simbro, E.J.; Stanley, B.H. Characterizing laboratory colonies of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) selected for survival on maize containing event DAS-59122-7. J. Appl. Entomol. 2008, 132, 189–204. [CrossRef]
- Meihls, L.N.; Higdon, M.L.; Siegfried, B.D.; Miller, N.J.; Sappington, T.W.; Ellersieck, M.R.; Spencer, T.A.; Hibbard, B.E. Increased survival of western corn rootworm on transgenic corn within three generations of on-plant greenhouse selection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, 105, 19177–19182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Meihls, L.N.; Higdon, M.L.; Ellersieck, M.; Hibbard, B.E. Selection for resistance to mCry3A-expressing transgenic corn in western corn rootworm. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 2011, 104, 1045–1054. [CrossRef]
- Oswald, K.J.; Wade French, B.; Nielson, C.; Bagley, M. Selection for Cry3Bb1 resistance in a genetically diverse population of nondiapausing western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 2011, 104, 1038–1044. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Jakka, S.R.K.; Shrestha, R.B.; Gassmann, A.J. Broad-spectrum resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis toxins by western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*). Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 27860. [CrossRef]
- Gassmann, A.J.; Shrestha, R.B.; Jakka, S.R.K.; Dunbar, M.W.; Clifton, E.H.; Paolino, A.R.; Ingber, D.A.; French, B.W.; Masloski, K.E.; Doudna, J.W.; et al. Evidence of resistance to Cry34/35Ab1Ab1 corn by western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): Root injury in the field and larval survival in plant-based bioassays. J. Econ. Entomol. 2016, 109, 1872–1880. [CrossRef]
- Ludwick, D.C.; Meihls, L.N.; Ostlie, K.R.; Potter, B.D.; French, L.; Hibbard, B.E. Minnesota field population of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) shows incomplete resistance to Cry34Ab1/Cry35Ab1 and Cry3Bb1. *J. Appl. Entomol.* 2017, 141, 28–40. [CrossRef]
- Denholm, I.; Devine, G. Insecticide Resistance. In *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity*, 2nd ed.; Levin, S.A., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2013; pp. 298–307.
- 33. Lemic, D.; Mikac, K.M.; Bažok, R. Historical and contemporary population genetics of the invasive western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in Croatia. *Environ. Entomol.* **2013**, *42*, 811–819. [CrossRef]

- 34. Ivkosic, S.A.; Gorman, J.; Lemic, D.; Mikac, K.M. Genetic monitoring of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations on a microgeographic scale. *Environ. Entomol.* **2014**, *43*, 804–818. [CrossRef]
- Szalanski, A.; Roehrdanz, R.; Taylor, D.; Chandler, L. Genetic variation in geographical populations of western and Mexican corn rootworm. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 1999, 8, 519–525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Kim, K.S.; Sappington, T.W. Genetic structuring of Western Corn Rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations in the United States based on microsatellite loci analysis. *Environ. Entomol.* **2005**, *34*, 494–503. [CrossRef]
- 37. Kim, K.S.; French, B.W.; Sumerford, D.V.; Sappington, T.W. Genetic diversity in laboratory colonies of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), including a nondiapause colony. *Environ. Entomol.* **2007**, *36*, 637–645. [CrossRef]
- Curzi, M.J.; Zavala, J.A.; Spencer, J.L.; Seufferheld, M.J. Abnormally high digestive enzyme activity and gene expression explain the contemporary evolution of a Diabrotica biotype able to feed on soybeans. *Ecol. Evol.* 2012, *2*, 2005–2017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Chu, C.C.; Spencer, J.L.; Curzi, M.J.; Zavala, J.A.; Seufferheld, M.J. Gut bacteria facilitate adaptation to crop rotation in the western corn rootworm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, *110*, 11917–11922. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, H.; Coates, B.S.; Chen, H.; Sappington, T.W.; Guillemaud, T.; Siegfried, B.D. Role of a gamma-aminobutryic acid (GABA) receptor mutation in the evolution and spread of *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* resistance to cyclodiene insecticides. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 2013, 22, 473–484. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Coates, B.S.; Sumerford, D.V.; Miller, N.J.; Kim, K.S.; Sappington, T.W.; Siegfried, B.D.; Lewis, L.C. Comparative performance of single nucleotide polymorphism and microsatellite markers for population genetic analysis. *J. Hered.* 2009, 100, 556–564. [CrossRef]
- Flagel, L.E.; Bansal, R.; Kerstetter, R.A.; Chen, M.; Carroll, M.; Flannagan, R.; Clark, T.; Goldman, B.S.; Michel, A.P. Western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*) transcriptome assembly and genomic analysis of population structure. *BMC Genom.* 2014, 15, 195. [CrossRef]
- Flagel, L.E.; Swarup, S.; Chen, M.; Bauer, C.; Wanjugi, H.; Carroll, M.; Hill, P.; Tuscan, M.; Bansal, R.; Flannagan, R.; et al. Genetic markers for western corn rootworm resistance to Bt toxin. G3 Genes Genomes Genet. 2015, 5, 399–405. [CrossRef]
- Niu, X.; Kassa, A.; Hasler, J.; Griffin, S.; Perez-Ortega, C.; Procyk, L.; Zhang, J.; Kapka-Kitzman, D.M.; Lu, A. Functional validation of DvABCB1 as a receptor of Cry3 toxins in western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 15830. [CrossRef]
- 45. Mikac, K.M.; Lemic, D.; Benítez, H.A.; Bažok, R. Changes in corn rootworm wing morphology are related to resistance development. J. Pest Sci. 2019, 92, 443–451. [CrossRef]
- 46. Mikac, K.M.; Douglas, J.; Spencer, J.L. Wing shape and size of the western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) is related to sex and resistance to soybean-maize crop rotation. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **2013**, *106*, 1517–1524. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Lemic, D.; Benítez, H.A.; Bažok, R. Intercontinental effect on sexual shape dimorphism and allometric relationships in the beetle pest *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Zool. Anz.* **2014**, 253, 203–206. [CrossRef]
- 48. Benítez, H.A.; Lemic, D.; Bažok, R.; Bravi, R.; Buketa, M.; Püschel, T. Morphological integration and modularity in *Diabrotica* virgifera virgifera LeConte (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) hind wings. *Zool. Anz.* **2014**, 253, 461–468. [CrossRef]
- 49. Mikac, K.M.; Lemic, D.; Bažok, R.; Benítez, H.A. Wing shape changes: A morphological view of the *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera European invasion*. *Boil. Invasions* **2016**, *18*, 3401–3407. [CrossRef]
- Bouyer, J.; Ravel, S.; Dujardin, J.P.; De Meeus, T.; Via, L.; Thevenon, S.; Guerrini, L.; Sidibé, I.; Solano, P. Population structuring of *Glossina palpalis gambiensis* (Diptera: Glossinidae) according to landscape fragmentation in the Mouhoun river, Burkina Faso. *J. Med. Entomol.* 2007, 44, 788–795. [CrossRef]
- 51. Roush, R.T.; Daly, J.C. The Role of Population Genetics in Resistance Research and Management. In *Pesticide Resistance in Arthropods*, 1st ed.; Roush, R.T., Tabashnik, B.E., Eds.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1990; pp. 97–152.
- 52. Garnier, S.; Magniez-Jannin, F.; Rasplus, J.Y.; Alibert, P. When morphometry meets genetics: Inferring the phylogeography of Carabus solieri using Fourier analyses of pronotum and male genitalia. *J. Evol. Biol.* **2005**, *18*, 269–280. [CrossRef]
- Camara, M.; Caro-Riano, H.; Ravel, S.; Dujardin, J.P.; Hervouet, J.P.; De MeEüs, T.; Bouyer, J.; Solano, P. Genetic and morphometric evidence for population isolation of *Glossina palpalis gambiensis* (Diptera: Glossinidae) on the Loos islands, Guinea. *J. Med. Entomol.* 2006, 43, 853–860. [CrossRef]
- 54. Henriques, D.; Chávez-Galarza, J.; Teixeira, J.S.; Ferreira, H.; Neves, C.J.; Francoy, T.M.; Pinto, M.A. Wing geometric morphometrics of workers and drones and single nucleotide polymorphisms provide similar genetic structure in the Iberian honey bee (*Apis mellifera iberiensis*). *Insects* **2020**, *11*, 89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Ortego, J.; Aguirre, M.P.; Cordero, P.J. Fine-scale spatial genetic structure and within population male-biased gene-flow in the grasshopper Mioscirtus wagneri. *Evol. Ecol.* **2011**, *25*, 1127–1144. [CrossRef]
- Francuski, L.; Milankov, V.; Ludoški, J.; Krtinić, B.; Lundström, J.O.; Kemenesi, G.; Ferenc, J. Genetic and phenotypic variation in central and northern European populations of *Aedes (Aedimorphus) vexans* (Meigen, 1830) (Diptera, Culicidae). *J. Vector Ecol.* 2016, 41, 160–171. [CrossRef]
- 57. Kilian, A.; Wenzl, P.; Huttner, E.; Carling, J.; Xia, L.; Blois, H.; Caig, V.; Heller-Uszynska, K.; Jaccoud, D.; Hopper, C.; et al. Diversity arrays technology: A generic genome profiling technology on open platforms. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2012**, *888*, 67–89.
- 58. Von Mark, V.C.; Kilian, A.; Dierig, D.A. Development of DArT marker platforms and genetic diversity assessment of the US collection of the new oilseed crop lesquerella and related species. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e64062.

- Wenzl, P.; Carling, J.; Kudrna, D.; Jaccoud, D.; Huttner, E.; Kleinhofs, A.; Kilian, A. Diversity arrays technology (DArT) for whole-genome profiling of barley. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2004, 101, 9915–9920. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Upton, M.F.S.; Mantel, B.L. *Methods for Collecting, Preserving and Studying Insects and Other Terrestrial Arthropods*; The Australian Entomological Society Miscellaneous Pub: Sydney, Australia, 2010.
- 61. Lemic, D.; Mikac, K.M.; Kozina, A.; Benitez, H.A.; McLean, C.M.; Bažok, R. Monitoring techniques of the western corn rootworm are the precursor to effective IPM strategies. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2016**, *72*, 405–417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Jombart, T.; Ahmed, I. Adegenet 1.3-1: New tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP data. *Bioinformatics* 2011, 27, 3070–3071. [CrossRef]
- 63. Gruber, B.; Unmack, P.J.; Berry, O.F.; Georges, A. dartr: An r package to facilitate analysis of SNP data generated from reduced representation genome sequencing. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **2018**, *18*, 691–699. [CrossRef]
- 64. Weir, B.S.; Cockerham, C.C. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution* **1984**, *38*, 1358–1370. [PubMed]
- 65. Evanno, G.; Regnaut, S.; Jrm, G. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: A simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.* 2005, 14, 2611–2620. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Earl, D.A.; Vonholdt, B.M. STRUCTURE Harvester: A website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conserv. Genet. Resour.* 2012, *4*, 359–361. [CrossRef]
- 67. Tang, Y.; Liu, X.L.; Wang, J.; Li, M.; Wang, Q.; Tian, F.; Su, Z.; Pan, Y.; Liu, D.; Lipka, A.E.; et al. GAPIT version 2: An enhanced integrated tool for genomic association and prediction. *Plant Genome* **2016**, *9*. [CrossRef]
- 68. Lipka, A.E.; Tian, F.; Wang, Q.; Pei\_er, J.; Li, M.; Bradbury, P.J.; Gore, M.A.; Buckler, E.; Zhang, Z. GAPIT: Genome association and prediction integrated tool. *Bioinformatics* **2012**, *28*, 2397–2399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Rohlf, F.J. TpsDig2, Digitize Landmarks and Outlines, Version 2.17 (Program). 2016. Available online: http://life.bio.sunysb.edu/ morph (accessed on 10 April 2021).
- Klingenberg, C.P. MorphoJ: An integrated software package for geometric morphometrics. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 2011, 11, 353–357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Jolliffe, I.T. Choosing a subset of principal components or variables. Princ. Compon. Anal. 2002, 111–149. [CrossRef]
- 72. Klingenberg, C.P. Visualizations in geometric morphometrics: How to read and how to make graphs showing shape changes. *Hystrix* **2013**, *24*, 15–24.
- 73. Monteiro, L.R. Multivariate regression models and geometric morphometrics: The search for causal factors in the analysis of shape. *Syst. Biol.* **1999**, *48*, 192–199. [CrossRef]
- 74. Torres, A.Q.; Valle, D.; Mesquita, R.D.; Schama, R. Gene Family Evolution and the Problem of a Functional Classification of Insect Carboxylesterases. *Ref. Modul. Life Sci.* **2018**. [CrossRef]
- 75. Saavedra-Rodriguez, K.; Suarez, A.F.; Salas, I.F.; Strode, C.; Ranson, H.; Hemingway, J.; Black IV, W.C. Transcription of detoxification genes after permethrin selection in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti. Insect Mol. Biol.* **2012**, 21, 61–77. [CrossRef]
- 76. Faucon, F.; Dusfour, I.; Gaude, T.; Navratil, V.; Boyer, F.; Chandre, F.; Sirisopa, P.; Thanispong, K.; Juntarajumnong, W.; Poupardin, R.; et al. Identifying genomic changes associated with insecticide resistance in the dengue mosquito Aedes aegypti by deep targeted sequencing. *Genome Res.* 2015, 25, 1347–1359. [CrossRef]
- 77. Faucon, F.; Gaude, T.; Dusfour, I.; Navratil, V.; Corbel, V.; Juntarajumnong, W.; Girod, J.; Poupardin, R.; Boyer, F.; Reynaud, S.; et al. In the hunt for genomic markers of metabolic resistance to pyrethroids in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*: An integrated next-generation sequencing approach. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2017, *11*, e0005526. [CrossRef]
- 78. Grigoraki, L.; Pipini, D.; Labbe, P.; Chaskopoulou, A.; Weill, M.; Vontas, J. Carboxylesterase gene amplifications associated with insecticide resistance in *Aedes albopictus*: Geographical distribution and evolutionary origin. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2017**, *11*, e0005533. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saavedra-Rodriguez, K.; Strode, C.; Flores Suarez, A.; Fernandez Salas, I.; Ranson, H.; Hemingway, J.; Black IV, W.C. Quantitative trait loci mapping of genome regions controlling permethrin resistance in the mosquito *Aedes Aegypti. Genet.* 2008, 180, 1137–1152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 80. Xing, C.; Schumacher, F.R.; Xing, G.; Lu, Q.; Wang, T.; Elston, R.C. Comparison of microsatellites, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and composite markers derived from SNPs in linkage analysis. *BMC Genet.* **2005**, *6*, S29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 81. Trask, J.A.S.; Malhi, R.S.; Kanthaswamy, S.; Johnson, J.; Garnica, W.T.; Malladi, V.S.; Smith, D.G. The effect of SNP discovery method and sample size on estimation of population genetic data for Chinese and Indian rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*). *Primates* **2011**, *52*, 129–138. [CrossRef]
- 82. Li, H.; Qu, W.; Obrycki, J.J.; Meng, L.; Zhou, X.; Chu, D.; Li, B. Optimizing Sample Size for Population Genomic Study in a Global Invasive Lady Beetle, *Harmonia Axyridis*. *Insects* **2020**, *11*, 290. [CrossRef]
- 83. Denno, R.F.; Hawthorne, D.J.; Thorne, B.L.; Gratton, C. Reduced flight capability in British Virgin Island populations of a wing-dimorphic insect: The role of habitat isolation, persistence, and structure. *Ecol. Entomol.* 2001, 26, 25–36. [CrossRef]
- 84. Guerra, P.A. Evaluating the life-history trade-off between dispersal capability and reproduction in wing dimorphic insects: A meta-analysis. *Biol. Rev.* 2011, *86*, 813–835. [CrossRef]
- 85. Sanzana, M.J.; Parra, L.E.; Sepúlveda-Zúñiga, E.; Benítez, H.A. Latitudinal gradient effect on the wing geometry of *Auca coctei* (Guérin) (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae). *Rev. Bras. Entomol.* **2013**, *57*, 411–416. [CrossRef]

- 86. Le, T.Q.; Truong, T.V.; Park, S.H.; Quang Truong, T.; Ko, J.H.; Park, H.C.; Byun, D. Improvement of the aerodynamic performance by wing flexibility and elytra–hind wing interaction of a beetle during forward flight. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **2013**, *10*, 20130312. [CrossRef]
- Benítez, H.A.; Lemic, D.; Bažok, R.; Gallardo-Araya, C.M.; Mikac, K.M. Evolutionary directional asymmetry and shape variation in *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): An example using hind wings. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 2014, 111, 110–118.
   [CrossRef]
- 88. Mrganić, M.; Bažok, R.; Mikac, K.M.; Benítez, H.A.; Lemic, D. Two decades of invasive western corn rootworm population monitoring in Croatia. *Insects* **2018**, *9*, 160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]