



The immune system under stress

ANTE SABIONCELLO
KATJA GOTOVAC
ANĐELKO VIDOVIĆ
ALENKA GAGRO
ALEMKA MARKOTIĆ
SABINA RABATIĆ
DRAGAN DEKARIS

Institute of Immunology
Rockefellerova 10
10000 Zagreb
Croatia

Correspondence:

Ante Sabioncello
Institute of Immunology
Rockefellerova 10
10000 Zagreb
Croatia
E-mail: asabioncello@imz.hr

Key words: immune-neuroendocrine connections, regulation, self-nonsel discrimination, danger, integrity, homeostasis, allostasis, stress

THE IMMUNE CONCEPTS THREATENED

The immune system has traditionally been regarded as an autonomous, self-protecting system with the self-nonsel discriminating capacity (1). Since its most obvious effector function is biodestructive in nature, it must be tightly regulated as not to damage the host. Autoregulatory mechanisms are manifold, including inactivation/deletion of self-reactive clones (2), regulatory/suppressor activity of the effector T cell class (3, 4), effector class (Th1/Th2) switching (5), controlled expression and recruitment of activating and/or inhibitory receptors (6, 7), and plethora of regulatory humoral mediators (cytokines, chemokines, complement, immunoglobulins), all intertwined in a complex modulatory network.

The idea of an autonomous, self-regulating, immune system was challenged in the mid 1970s with the discovery of a link between the nervous, endocrine and immune systems (8–10). More recent evidences support functional and anatomical connections between neuroendocrine and immune systems (11–15) with neurotransmitters, neuropeptides, hormones (16), and immune mediators as common messengers that sustain mutual communication. The neuroendocrine mediators reach the cells of the immune system either through the peripheral circulation or through direct sympathetic innervation of primary and secondary lymphoid organs and peripheral tissues, where immune reactions are taking place (17, 18). Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the neuroendocrine messengers released during a stressful event could modulate immune function and subsequently alter the course of immune-based diseases. On the other hand, cytokines produced by the immune cells, peripherally, stimulate the afferent nerves locally, or reach the central nervous system (CNS) by the bloodstream, and passing the blood-brain barrier (19–21), inform the brain of non-cognitive events, resulting in behavioral changes (22) and profound neuroendocrine alterations in hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, thus closing the circuit of mutual communication. Moreover, immune cells and tissues can produce neuropeptides (*e.g.* endorphins, somatostatin) and hormones, including cortisol, adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), growth hormone (GH), corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), and reproductive hormones (23), while CNS cells can produce various cytokines (24).

Further challenge to one of the fundamental tenets of immunology (self-nonsel discrimination principle) emerged in the nineties by the proposition of danger (25, 26) and integrity (27, 28) models, with a vigorous debate about fundamental nature of immunology still going on (29–32). Both, the self-nonsel concept proponents and opponents chiefly agree that two signals (at least) are crucial for regulation of immune response (33). The first one, signal[1], is specific and delivered by

ligation of an antigen receptor to the epitope, but if not accompanied by the additional, signal[2], inactivation/deletion of lymphocyte ensues. The main disagreement concerns the origin and nature of signal[2]. In general, self-nonsel self proponents consider signal[2] to be antigen specific, as »there would be no way for a nonspecific regulation of highly specific effectors« (*i.e.* B and cytotoxic T cells); thus signal[2] is delivered by lymphocytes (34). In contrast, the danger and integrity models assume that co-stimulatory signal[2] is delivered from an activated antigen-presenting cell (APC) that cannot distinguish self from nonself in traditional terms of lymphocyte recognition. The activation of an APC is a consequence of endogenous alarm signals that derive from stressed tissues or cells dying nonphysiologically (25), or disruption of integrity signals that cells and tissues exchange as their normal, physiological activity (28). In these models, control of immune function arises from the entire organism in which the immune system is fully integrated.

THE IMMUNE SYSTEM INTEGRATED

Physiological activity of the immune system, usually denoted as immune response, extends beyond the mere protection against pathogenic antigens. Autoreactive, naturally occurring lymphocytes (35–37) and antibodies (38–40) are normal constituents of healthy organism representing physiological responses to self antigens. They may participate in a variety of activities concerned with regulation of immunity and general bodily homeostasis (38, 41, 42). Further, several cytokines released by lymphoid and non-lymphoid cells function as haemopoietic growth factors (*e.g.* colony stimulating factors (CSFs), interleukin (IL)-7, IL-15) which enhance survival and proliferation (IL-2) of the target cells. In this respect cytokines and chemokines (CXCL12) provide a second signal (in addition to TCR signal) for homeostatic survival of mature lymphocytes, expansion and recirculation of naive T cell population, generation of memory T cells, localization and proliferation of effector lymphocytes thus maintaining their number in the total pool of lymphocytes (43). On the other hand, cytokines expressed within the CNS (IL-1 α TNF α , IL-10, and IL-13) play an important role in neuronal cell death and survival (24). Furthermore, chemokines, *e.g.* CXCL12, and its receptor CXCR4 are also expressed in the CNS regulating axon elongation and stimulating synaptic transmission. They are particularly abundant in the hypothalamus which influences the number and activity of leukocytes (44) and integrates autonomic, endocrinological, and immune signals, thus synchronizing their homeostatic activities and stress-induced responses (45).

Specific, somatically generated antigen receptors with variable regions on T and B lymphocytes are closely related to a variety of germ-line encoded and phylogenetically conserved family of the cell-adhesion molecules, which play an important role in cellular differentiation, growth, and tissue organization (46). Adhesion molecules comprise four different classes: integrins, cadherins, selectins, and immunoglobulin superfamily. The

neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) that belong to the class of immunoglobulin superfamily, expressed by neurons and astrocytes, can promote homophilic binding and influence neuronal migration, neurite outgrowth, myelination, synapse formation, and synaptic plasticity (47, 48). An isoform of NCAM, CD56, expressed by human NK cells might mediate interactions between NK and target cells (49). Another member of the immunoglobulin superfamily, the MHC molecule that presents antigenic peptides to T lymphocytes, is also important in neural development and plasticity (50). Several other adhesion molecules belonging to any of the four classes mediate immunocyte homing and lymphocyte recirculation (51). Some members of another family of membrane-bound and soluble molecules, semaphorins, first described in the nervous system where they act as mediators of repulsive and inhibitory neuronal growth cone guidance (52) are also expressed on the majority of haemopoietic cells, including B and T lymphocytes, NK cells, monocytes, and dendritic cells. There, they promote differentiation and activation of T and antigen presenting cells through reciprocal stimulation, as well as B cell survival (53, 54).

It is relevant to note that dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages are normal constituents of almost all tissues. In endocrine tissues, they regulate the growth and function of neighboring hormone-producing cells (55). In other tissues, when activated, DCs, macrophages, and neutrophils produce IL-1, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α , transforming growth factor (TGF)- β , epidermal growth factor (EGF), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) which, with supporting action of some neuropeptides (vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) and substance P), are involved in homeostasis during regeneration processes such as wound healing (56, 57), angiogenesis (58), and bone repair (59).

Thus, the immune and neuroendocrine systems are intricately connected and communicating with all other tissues and organs of the body. Communication between systems, as a fundamental principle of life (60), is indispensable for integrity maintenance of an organism exposed to all kinds of internal and environmental signals. These signals are coded messages that transfer information between individual cells of every system allowing an energy-consuming response in order to counteract any deviation from established optimal pattern of stability (homeostasis). Integrity is defined as the total amount of all signaling contributions that a single cell accepts and sends in its normal, stable state (61). Fluctuating environmental conditions can overcome the relatively narrow homeostatic range, thus threatening the integrity. This threat (stressor) elicits physiological and behavioral responses through changes of boundaries of control that require extra energy to re-establish stability at a higher set-point. The process of maintaining stability through change is referred to as allostasis. In short-term, this adaptive response is protective, but such altered and sustained or repeated cycles of activity pose a load (allostatic load) for a living system that over longer time interval

can result in allostatic overload associated with increased risk for a disease (62).

The cells of all tissues (including immune system) sense and respond to the disruption of integrity, designated as signal[3] (28), or danger (alarm) signal (26) in an attempt to re-establish the homeostasis. Antigen-specific immune response will result only if these signals are sensed by myeloid APCs (dendritic cells and macrophages) which have become activated and upregulate MHC II and costimulatory molecules that provide signal[1] and [2], respectively, for T cells. Thus, protection against pathogenic agents that immune system provides is most likely a consequence of its capacity to maintain homeostasis rather than its goal, or let alone its main function.

STRESS IMPLICATIONS

As previously alluded to, stress can be defined as a state of disturbed integrity triggered by a stimulus (stressor) that elicits an alarm reaction (a stress response) through the release of neurotransmitters, hormones, and cytokines. These mediators of allostasis can modify the cell behavior (*e.g.* for the immune system trafficking of cells to tissues where they are needed to fight a challenge) and function.

The two main categories of stressors are physical (including injury, infection and inflammation) and psychosocial (including traumatic events). Stressors also differ in their duration and frequency. Acute stressors are of relatively short duration and are generally not a health risk. Chronic stressors are of relatively longer duration and can pose a serious health risk due to their prolonged activation of the body's stress response. If the stressful situation is prolonged or frequently repeated, the high level of stress mediators may upset homeostasis leaving the body vulnerable to disease. During prolonged allostatic state, the body's energy reserves are finally exhausted and breakdown occurs (63).

Physical stressors have direct physical threat to tissues, while psychological stressors are events that challenge our well being because of our perception of them. If, in the process of cognitive appraisal, an event is categorized as dangerous it produces an emotional arousal that is then converted into a physical arousal through stimulation of hypothalamus which sends messages through the sympathetic nervous system and the pituitary gland, resulting in hormone production. The mental and affective components are very important in considering psychological stress. There are individual differences in the cognitive appraisal of stressful situation that depend in part on genetic background, developmental and environmental influences, and experience (64). The way an individual appraises an event plays a fundamental role in determining, not only the magnitude of the stress response but also the kind of coping strategies that the individual may employ in efforts to deal with the stress (65).

Thus, threats to our sense of mental integrity that do not require a direct physical response may have physical

consequences including changes in immune system. In that respect the integrity and danger models differ. While danger model deals with alarm signals as physical entities released from (pre-packaged), or synthesized by (inducible) damaged cells (26) which evoke immune reaction, integrity model deals with disrupted integrity (signal[3]) that, through the action of stress mediators in the micro-environment, modulates immune cell's behaviour and function (66). In this way psychological stress will not initiate immune reaction but only incite immune cells to additional physiological functions and modulate their reactivity to any concomitant or subsequent antigenic challenges. Integrity model thus integrates psychological stress and immune system.

IMMUNITY UNDER INVESTIGATION

Methodological considerations

Our knowledge of the world depends greatly on the techniques used to acquire it. We must be aware that any method sets the window through which we observe the world and this window defines the boundaries of what we can and cannot see.

Immunologic research is based on enumerative and functional assays by which we evaluate soluble mediators and cellular participants of the immune reaction. Measurements can be performed *in vivo*, *in vitro*, or *ex vivo*. *In vivo* methods assess a global immune competence in terms of the response of whole organism to antigen challenge. They are used as a measure of cell-mediated (skin reaction) or humoral (*i.e.* antibody titer after vaccination) response, disclosing a final outcome of complex, often redundant and pleiotropic reactions of the biological systems. What we, however, cannot see is possible alteration in any particular step that is compensated for by redundancy but may be relevant in other circumstances not revealed as skin or antibody response. Besides, *in vivo* tests are relatively inconvenient for research on humans, not only for ethical reasons but also due to the need of repeated encounter of the same person to read out the results. On the other hand, *in vitro* methods (phagocyte and NK cell activity, lymphocyte functions such as proliferative response to mitogen, and cytokine production to diverse stimuli) are suitable for studying mechanistic aspects of biological activity as they deal with isolated and known cell populations in standardized and reproducible conditions. That is, individual components are studied to try to understand complex processes. However, in research on humans, the source of cells for *in vitro* assays is peripheral blood where lymphocytes constitute only about 2% of their total pool and may not be representative of the functioning of cells located in lymphoid tissue. Still, the main drawback is the absence of the *in vivo* biokinetics. The cells to be analyzed are isolated from their microenvironment which may provide modulating interactions that are not included in the *in vitro* assay. Therefore, there is considerable doubt of how relevant these *in vitro* models are to the dynamics of immune function *in vivo*. *Ex vivo* enumerative

assays quantify cells or soluble mediators usually in samples taken from peripheral circulation. Although enumeration of cells reveals the balance of different cell types needed for the optimal immune response, alteration in their number in the bloodstream does not necessarily correlate with functional capacity of cells located in lymphoid or peripheral tissues. It may merely reflect a redistribution of cell types between various immune compartments. Even in that respect lymphocyte enumeration provides only a snapshot of the process.

As immune behavior depends on various factors such as age, sex, diet, substances (alcohol, tobacco, narcotics, medication), or sleep, the results of immune assays are highly variable, even in a population not affected by stress. On the other hand, stress may be associated with psychiatric and physical comorbidity with strong influence on immune response (67). To analyse these data and observe the pattern that exist despite (or because of) the variation we use statistical tools as a step in the process of analysis. One of the major purposes of statistics is to make valid inferences about what goes on in a population of subjects (which we do not see) on the basis of data obtained for samples from that population (a narrow window) which we have examined. Due to inherent variability there is always the possibility that the observed facts result from mere chance coincidence. It should be kept in mind that, no matter how low the p-value has been obtained, it remains only a probability, not a proof. Furthermore, the lack of significance does not indicate the lack of importance. All too often, though, statistical tools are misapplied and/or misinterpreted in medical research (68) yielding potentially invalid results. Therefore, it should not be amazing or bewildering that inconsistent or even contradictory findings have been reported. Results should be presented as found and cautiously interpreted in the context of previous knowledge and the questions that prompted the research.

Further possible problem in psychoneuroimmunological research relate to psychometry. The reliability and validity of psychometric instruments is of fundamental importance. The instruments are used for selection of participants for a study, defining both inclusion and exclusion criteria. If the measurement is not accurate, subjects may be inappropriately included in (or excluded from) a study, and the outcome results may be wrong, biasing all the conclusions based on that study.

Findings

There is an enormous body of literature on the effects of stress on various aspects of immunity. Although, due to the heterogeneity of stressor types (physical, psychological, social, or life events), duration (acute or chronic), frequency (single or repeated), and intensity (mild, severe, or traumatic), the findings are difficult to reconcile, a common pattern of immune alterations can be defined:

1) Stress has an influence on rapid and reversible circulation of leukocytes through the blood, their traffic and redistribution between lymphoid organs and peripheral

compartments that are critical to the efficiency and development of the immune response (69). Acute stress induces a transient increase in the circulating lymphocyte count, in particular NK cell count (70–72), whereas in chronic stress increased counts of total T, T helper (Th), or cytotoxic (Tc) lymphocytes have been reported (73–75). This pattern of leukocyte recirculation is under control of catecholamines (17) and glucocorticoids (76).

2) Chronic stress suppresses cellular immunity as revealed by decreased NK activity (77), lymphocyte proliferation to mitogens (74, 77), phagocytic functions (73), and delayed-type skin reactivity (69) as well as reactivation of latent viral infections (78, 79) and poor antibody response following vaccination (80).

3) Stress promotes humoral immunity by raising the levels of total immunoglobulins and antibodies against latent viruses, *e.g.*, EBV, CMV and HSV-1 (77, 81). The increased production of antiviral antibodies is associated with reactivation of latent infections due to decrements in the cellular immune responses.

The opposite behavior of the cellular and humoral immune reactions observed in these studies is mediated by a differential effect of glucocorticoids and catecholamines on Th1 and Th2 lymphocytes, inducing a shift in their balance towards a predominant type-2 cytokine response, thus suppressing cellular and promoting humoral reactions (17). This may have a profound impact on the susceptibility to infectious, autoimmune, malignant and allergic diseases as well as to impeded wound healing (79, 82–85) and relative ineffectiveness of vaccination (80) or even risk of multiple vaccination with attenuated vaccines given to protect from the threat of biological warfare agents (*e.g.*, anthrax and plague) (86).

The impact of psychological stress on immune system has also been the subject of extensive research efforts. Using a variety of models from largely healthy humans undergoing various forms of natural and experimental psychological stress models, stress has been associated with suppression of NK activity, mitogen- and antigen-induced lymphocyte proliferation and in vitro production of IL-2 and IFN- γ (82). These studies have suggested that psychological stress suppresses various components of cell mediated immune responses.

Deliberate, war-related violence creates longer lasting mental and physical health effects than natural disasters or accidents. The strain of prolonged elevated activity of physiologic system under challenge, allostatic load, can predispose the body to disease (63). Literature data on the effect of war-related stress on immunity mostly refer to Vietnam veterans suffering from posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), examined decades after trauma (75, 87–89). To our knowledge, only three papers reporting on immunologic findings during or shortly after the war in Israel (90, 91), or Persian Gulf War (92) have been published. The results are inconclusive regarding lymphocyte counts and NK function. So, unchanged (93) or elevated (75) lymphocyte count as well as unchanged (88) or increased (93) NK activity has been reported in

Vietnam veterans. Another characteristic finding in chronic PTSD patients was a decreased level of circulating cortisol and increased number and responsiveness of glucocorticoid receptors, probably the consequence of increased sensitivity of HPA negative feedback and progressive desensitization of entire HPA axis (94). During a period of Scud missile attacks, Israeli civilians had elevated NK cytotoxicity but reduced lymphocyte proliferation in unstimulated cultures (90). The proliferative response to specific antigen (tetanus toxoid) was also suppressed in Gulf War veterans (92). On the other hand, in Vietnam veterans with PTSD, enhanced delayed cutaneous hypersensitivity has been reported (87, 95), which suggests the presence of highly sensitized T-lymphocytes. Further indication of an increased immune activation in combat-related PTSD was the finding of elevated proinflammatory cytokine (IL-1 β) (91).

Recent war in former Yugoslavia affected not only soldiers but also general population. We studied immune reactivity in civilians (displaced persons (96, 97), refugees (98), detainees (73, 96, 99)) and soldiers with PTSD (professional (96, 100, 101) and enrolled (96)) during or shortly after the war. In general, fewer changes in immune and hormonal parameters were found in professional soldiers than in civilians or enrolled soldiers. Thus, professional soldiers had an increased B lymphocyte count and a decreased Th lymphocyte count (96, 101), enhanced NK activity (96, 100, 101), and an increased level of IL-6 (96) but not of other proinflammatory cytokines, TNF- α , IL-1 β , or IFN- γ (unpublished). Enrolled soldiers had an increased total lymphocyte count (96), T, Th, Tc, and B lymphocyte counts (unpublished), enhanced NK activity and an increased level of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and TNF- α) (96) as well as of stress hormones, cortisol (102) and prolactin (unpublished), but a decreased level of lymphocyte glucocorticoid receptors (102). These results are opposite to those in chronic PTSD. The lymphocyte pattern of civilian victims was mainly characterized by increased activated T and B lymphocyte counts (73, 96–98) in peripheral circulation. In contrast to both groups of soldiers with diagnosed PTSD, civilians showed a decreased NK activity (73, 96, 98) and phagocytic functions (ingestion and digestion) (73, 96). Detainees had an increased level of TNF- α but a decreased level of IL-1 β (73, 96), and increased levels of stress hormones, cortisol, prolactin, and β -endorphin (97). The *in vitro* mitogen stimulated proliferative lymphocyte response in displaced persons was decreased, but the proportion of proliferating lymphocytes in freshly isolated (*ex vivo*) peripheral blood was increased and correlated with activated lymphocyte populations (96, 97).

Here we reviewed less than 30 individual studies but, due to considerable heterogeneity of the results, even these are hardly comprehensible. However, journal literature search conducted through PubMed, NLM system for years 1960–2004, with »psychological stress« and immun* as terms, limited to human studies, in English, and with reviews and letters excluded, exposed 533 sepa-

rate articles. Well conducted meta-analysis can integrate the reported results and provide an estimate of stress effect on a particular immune outcome as a »state of the field« in regard to that research question. The most recent one (103) analysed data from 293 studies with almost 19,000 individuals defining the stressor types and examining natural *vs.* specific and cellular *vs.* humoral immune response. The authors found the characteristics of stressors to be important in determining the kind of change that would occur. Short-term stressors enhanced natural immunity to defend the body in »fight-or-flight« situations. At the same time, specific cellular immunity was suppressed while humoral immunity was preserved. Chronic stress was found to be associated with global suppression of the immune system, particularly specific immune functions (both cellular and humoral). Finally, age and disease status were found to affect an individual's vulnerability to stress-related decreases in immune function.

Further studies are needed to examine the role of behavioral factors and coping style that are known to modulate the immune response to stress and to determine the nature of the association between stress and poor health.

REFERENCES

1. BURNET F 1959 The clonal selection theory of acquired immunity. Vanderbilt Univ. Press, Nashville, TN.
2. PALMER E 2003 Negative selection—clearing out the bad apples from the T-cell repertoire. *Nat Rev Immunol* 3: 383–391
3. CHESSE L, JIANG H 2004 Resurrecting CD8+ suppressor T cells. *Nat Immunol* 5: 469–471
4. FEHÉRVARI Z, SAKAGUCHI S 2004 Development and function of CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cells. *Curr Opin Immunol* 16: 203–208
5. JANKOVIC D, LIU Z, GAUSE W C 2001 Th1- and Th2-cell commitment during infectious disease: asymmetry in divergent pathways. *Trends Immunol* 22: 450–457
6. CHEN L 2004 Co-inhibitory molecules of the B7-CD28 family in the control of T-cell immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* 4: 336–347
7. LEIBSON P J 2004 The regulation of lymphocyte activation by inhibitory receptors. *Curr Opin Immunol* 16: 328–336
8. SOLOMON G F 1969 Stress and antibody response in rats. *Int Arch Allergy* 35: 97–104
9. ADER R, COHEN N 1975 Behaviorally conditioned immunosuppression. *Psychosom Med* 37: 333–340
10. BESEDOVSKY H, SORKIN E, KELLER M, MULLER J 1975 Changes in blood hormone levels during the immune response. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 150: 466–470
11. ADER R, COHEN N, FELTEN D 1995 Psychoneuroimmunology: interactions between the nervous system and the immune system. *Lancet* 345: 99–103
12. BESEDOVSKY H O, DEL REY A 1996 Immune-neuro-endocrine interactions: facts and hypotheses. *Endocr Rev* 17: 64–102
13. BESEDOVSKY H O, DEL REY A 1999 The immune-neuro-endocrine network. In: Schedlowski M, Uwe T (ed) Psychoneuroimmunology. An interdisciplinary introduction Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, p 223
14. WEIHE E, BETTE M, FINK T, ROMEO H E, SCHÄFER M K 1999 Molecular anatomical basis of interactions between nervous and immune systems in health and disease. In: Schedlowski M, Uwe T (ed) Psychoneuroimmunology. An Interdisciplinary Introduction Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, p 167
15. BESEDOVSKY H, BALSCHUN D, PITOSI F, SCHNEIDER H, DEL REY A 2004 Brain cytokines integrate immune and neural signals. *Period Biol* 106: 325–328
16. DAN G, LALL S B 1998 Neuroendocrine modulation of immune system. *Indian J Pharmacol* 30: 129–140

17. ELENKOV I J, WILDER R L, CHROUSOS G P, VIZI E S 2000 The sympathetic nerve – an integrative interface between two super-systems: the brain and the immune system. *Pharmacol Rev* 52: 595–638
18. STEINMAN L 2004 Elaborate interactions between the immune and nervous systems. *Nat Immunol* 5: 575–581
19. LICINIO J, WONG M L 1997 Pathways and mechanisms for cytokine signaling of the central nervous system. *J Clin Invest* 100: 2941–2947
20. DANTZER R, KONSMAN J P, BLUTHE R M, KELLEY K W 2000 Neural and humoral pathways of communication from the immune system to the brain: parallel or convergent? *Auton Neurosci* 85: 60–65
21. GOEHLER L E, GAYKEMA R P, HANSEN M K, ANDERSON K, MAIER S F, WATKINS L R 2000 Vagal immune-to-brain communication: a visceral chemosensory pathway. *Auton Neurosci* 85: 49–59
22. DANTZER R 2001 Cytokine-induced sickness behavior: where do we stand? *Brain Behav Immun* 15: 7–24
23. HEIJNEN C J, KAVELAARS A 1999 Opioid peptide production by the immune system. In: Schedlowski M, Uwe T (ed) *Psychoneuroimmunology. An interdisciplinary introduction* Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, p 209
24. STERNBERG E M 1997 Neural-immune interactions in health and disease. *J Clin Invest* 100: 2641–2647
25. MATZINGER P 1994 Tolerance, danger, and the extended family. *Annu Rev Immunol* 12: 991–1045
26. MATZINGER P 1998 An innate sense of danger. *Semin Immunol* 10: 399–415
27. DEMBIC Z 1996 Do we need integrity? *Scand J Immunol* 44: 549–550
28. DEMBIC Z 2000 Immune system protects integrity of tissues. *Mol Immunol* 37: 563–569
29. SCHAFFNER K F (moderator) BANDEIRA A, COUTINHO S, DEMBIC Z, FUCHS E, GREEN D, LANGMAN R, WEIGLE W O 1997 Sense of self: A debate. In: HMS Beagle: The BioMedNet Magazine, (<http://gateways.bmn.com/hmsbeagle/11/cutedge/overview.htm>) Issue 11 (June 27).
30. Self-nonsel discrimination revisited 2000 In: Langman R (ed) *Seminars in Immunology*, p 159
31. COHN M 2004 If the «adaptive» immune system can recognize a significant portion of the pathogenic universe to which the «innate» immune system is blind, then... *Scand J Immunol* 60: 1–2
32. DEMBIC Z 2004 Response to Cohn: The immune system rejects the harmful, protects the useful and neglects the rest of microorganisms. *Scand J Immunol* 60: 3–5; discussion 6–8
33. BAXTER A G, HODGKIN P D 2002 Activation rules: the two-signal theories of immune activation. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2: 439–446
34. LANGMAN R E, COHN M 2000 A minimal model for the self-nonsel discrimination: a return to the basics. *Semin Immunol* 12: 189–95; discussion 257–3
35. BOISMENU R, HOBBS M V, BOULLIER S, HAVRAN W L 1996 Molecular and cellular biology of dendritic epidermal T cells. *Semin Immunol* 8: 323–331
36. BENDELAC A, RIVERA M N, PARK S H, ROARK J H 1997 Mouse CD1-specific NK1 T cells: development, specificity, and function. *Annu Rev Immunol* 15: 535–562
37. MOALEM G, LEIBOWITZ-AMIT R, YOLES E, MOR F, COHEN I R, SCHWARTZ M 1999 Autoimmune T cells protect neurons from secondary degeneration after central nervous system axotomy. *Nat Med* 5: 49–55
38. COUTINHO A, KAZATCHKINE M D, AVRAMEAS S 1995 Natural autoantibodies. *Curr Opin Immunol* 7: 812–818
39. HORN M P, LACROIX-DESMAZES S, HSTAHL D, MEISCHER S, STADLER B M, KAZATCHKINE M D, KAVERI sv 2001 Natural autoantibodies – benefits of recognizing «self». *Mod Asp Immunobiol* 1: 267–270
40. PLOTZ P H 2003 The autoantibody repertoire: searching for order. *Nat Rev Immunol* 3: 73–78
41. BACH J F 2003 Regulatory T cells under scrutiny. *Nat Rev Immunol* 3: 189–198
42. TANCHOT C, VASSEUR F, PONTOUX C, GARCIA C, SARUKHAN A 2004 Immune regulation by self-reactive T cells is antigen specific. *J Immunol* 172: 4285–4291
43. KHALED A R, DURUM S K 2002 Lymphocyte: cytokines and the control of lymphoid homeostasis. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2: 817–830
44. HEFCO V, OLARIU A, HEFCO A, NABESHIMA T 2004 The modulator role of the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus on immune responsiveness. *Brain Behav Immun* 18: 158–165
45. KLEIN R S, RUBIN J B 2004 Immune and nervous system CXCL12 and CXCR4: parallel roles in patterning and plasticity. *Trends Immunol* 25: 306–314
46. STEWART J 1992 Immunoglobulins did not arise in evolution to fight infection. *Immunol Today* 13: 396–399; discussion 399–
47. SCHACHNER M 1997 Neural recognition molecules and synaptic plasticity. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 9: 627–634
48. URASE S, SCHUMAN E M 1999 The role of cell adhesion molecules in synaptic plasticity and memory. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 11: 549–553
49. SUZUKI N, SUZUKI T, ENGLEMAN E G 1991 Evidence for the involvement of CD56 molecules in alloantigen-specific recognition by human natural killer cells. *J Exp Med* 173: 1451–1461
50. HUH G S, BOULANGER L M, DU H, RIQUELME P A, BROTZ T M, SHATZ C J 2000 Functional requirement for class I MHC in CNS development and plasticity. *Science* 290: 2155–2159
51. MIYASAKA M, TANAKA T 2004 Lymphocyte trafficking across high endothelial venules: dogmas and enigmas. *Nat Rev Immunol* 4: 360–370
52. LUO Y, RAIBLE D, RAPER J A 1993 Collapsin: a protein in brain that induces the collapse and paralysis of neuronal growth cones. *Cell* 75: 217–227
53. DELAIRE S, ELHABAZI A, BENSUSSAN A, BOUMSELL L 1998 CD100 is a leukocyte semaphorin. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 54: 1265–1276
54. KUMANOGOH A, MARUKAWA S, SUZUKI K, TAKEGAHARA N, WATANABE C, CH'NG E, ISHIDA I, FUJIMURA H, SAKODA S, YOSHIDA K, KIKUTANI H 2002 Class IV semaphorin Sema4A enhances T-cell activation and interacts with Tim-2. *Nature* 419: 629–633
55. HOEK A, ALLAERTS W, LEENEN P J, SCHOEMAKER J, DREXHAGE H A 1997 Dendritic cells and macrophages in the pituitary and the gonads. Evidence for their role in the fine regulation of the reproductive endocrine response. *Eur J Endocrinol* 136: 8–24
56. WERNER S, GROSE R 2003 Regulation of wound healing by growth factors and cytokines. *Physiol Rev* 83: 835–870
57. PARK J E, BARBULA 2004 Understanding the role of immune regulation in wound healing. *Am J Surg* 187: 11S–16S
58. McCOURT M, WANG J H, SOOKHAI S, REDMOND H P 1999 Proinflammatory mediators stimulate neutrophil-directed angiogenesis. *Arch Surg* 134: 1325–1331
59. SZCZESNY G 2002 Molecular aspects of bone healing and remodeling. *Pol J Pathol* 53: 145–153
60. DE LOOF A 1999 Life as communication. In: HMS Beagle: The BioMedNet Magazine. (<http://www.biomednet.com/hmsbeagle/75/notes/adapt>), Issue 75 (Mar 31)
61. DEMBIC Z 1997 Sense of self: A debate. (Day 1, message 2 of 13) In: HMS Beagle: TheBioMedNetMagazine, (<http://gateways.bmn.com/hmsbeagle/11/cutedge/overview.htm>) Issue 11 (June 27)
62. McEWEN B S, WINGFIELD J C 2003 The concept of allostasis in biology and biomedicine. *Horm Behav* 43: 2–15
63. McEWEN B S 1998 Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. *N Engl J Med* 338: 171–179
64. McEWEN B S, STELLAR E 1993 Stress and the individual. Mechanisms leading to disease. *Arch Intern Med* 153: 2093–2101
65. LAZARUS R S, FOLKMAN S 1984 *Stress, Appraisal and Coping*. Guilford, New York.
66. DEMBIC Z 1997 Sense of self: A debate. (Day 2, message 26 of 32) In: HMS Beagle: TheBioMedNetMagazine, (<http://gateways.bmn.com/hmsbeagle/11/cutedge/overview.htm>) Issue 11 (June 27)
67. WALLACE R 2003 Comorbidity: 1. Autocognitive developmental disorders of structured psychosocial stress. (<http://cogprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/archive/00002898>) updated: 12/12/2003
68. ALTMAN D G 2002 Poor-quality medical research: what can journals do? *JAMA* 287: 2765–2767
69. DHABHAR F S 2004 Stress induced augmentation of skin immune function: the role of hormones, leukocyte trafficking, and cytokines. *Period Biol* 106: 329–335

70. SCHEDLOWSKI M, JACOBS R, STRATMANN G, RICHTER S, HÄDICKE A, TEWES U, WAGNER T O F, SCHMIDT R E 1993 Changes of natural killer cells during acute psychological stress. *J Clin Immunol* 13: 119–126
71. MILLS P J, DIMSDALE J E, NELESEN R A, DILLON E 1996 Psychologic characteristics associated with acute stressor-induced leukocyte subset redistribution. *J Psychosom Res* 40: 417–423
72. BENSCHOP R J, SCHEDLOWSKI M 1999 Acute psychological stress. In: Schedlowski, M, Uwe T (ed) *Psychoneuroimmunology*. An interdisciplinary introduction Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, p 293
73. DEKARIS D, SABIONCELLO A, MAŽURAN R, RABATIĆ S, SVOBODA-BEUSAN I, LJUBIĆ RAČUNICA N, TOMAŠIĆ J 1993 Multiple changes of immunologic parameters in prisoners of war. Assessments after release from a camp in Manjača, Bosnia. *JAMA* 270: 595–599
74. CASTLE S, WILKINS S, HECK E, TANZY K, FAHEY J 1995 Depression in caregivers of demented patients is associated with altered immunity – Impaired proliferative capacity, increased CD8+, and decline in lymphocytes with surface signal transduction molecules (CD38+) and a cytotoxicity marker (CD56+CD8+). *Clin Exp Immunol* 101: 487–493
75. BOSCARINO J A, CHANG J 1999 Higher abnormal leukocyte and lymphocyte counts 20 years after exposure to severe stress: research and clinical implications. *Psychosom Med* 61: 378–386
76. McEWEN B S, BIRON C A, BRUNSON K W, BULLOCH K, CHAMBERS W H, DHABHAR F S, GOLDFARB R H, KITSON R P, MILLER A H, SPENCER R L, WEISS J M 1997 The role of adrenocorticoids as modulators of immune function in health and disease: neural, endocrine and immune interactions. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 23: 79–133
77. HERBERT T B, COHEN S 1993 Stress and immunity in humans – a meta-analytic review. *Psychosom Med* 55: 364–379
78. GLASER R, KIECOLT-GLASER J K 1994 Stress associated immunomodulation and its implication for reactivation of latent herpesviruses. In: Glaser R, Jones J (ed) *Herpes virus infections* Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, p 245
79. ROZLOG L A, KIECOLT-GLASER J K, MARUCHA P T, SHERIDAN J F, GLASER R 1999 Stress and immunity: implications for viral disease and wound healing. *J Periodontol* 70: 786–792
80. GLASER R, KIECOLT-GLASER J K, MALARKEY W B, SHERIDAN J F 1998 The influence of psychological stress on the immune response to vaccines. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 840: 649–655
81. SONG C, DINAN T, LEONARD B E 1994 Changes in immunoglobulin, complement and acute phase protein levels in the depressed patients and normal controls. *J Affect Disord* 30: 283–288
82. KIECOLT-GLASER J K, GLASER R 1999 Psychoneuroimmunology and cancer: fact or fiction? *Eur J Cancer* 35: 1603–1607
83. MARSHALL G D Jr, AGARWAL S K 2000 Stress, immune regulation, and immunity: applications for asthma. *Allergy Asthma Proc* 21: 241–246
84. STERNBERG E M 2001 Neuroendocrine regulation of autoimmune/inflammatory disease. *J Endocrinol* 169: 429–435
85. KIECOLT-GLASER J K, McGUIRE L, ROBLES T F, GLASER R 2002 Psychoneuroimmunology and psychosomatic medicine: back to the future. *Psychosom Med* 64: 15–28
86. HOTOPF M, DAVID A, HULL L, ISMAIL K, UNWIN C, WESSELY S 2000 Role of vaccinations as risk factors for ill health in veterans of the Gulf war: cross sectional study. *BMJ* 320: 1363–1367
87. BURGESS WATSON I P, MULLER H K, JONES I H, BRADLEY A J 1993 Cell-mediated immunity in combat veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Med J Aust* 159: 513–516
88. MOSNAIM A D, WOLF M E, MATURANA P, MOSNAIM G, PUENTE J, KUCUK O, GILMAN-SACHS A 1993 In vitro studies of natural killer cell activity in post traumatic stress disorder patients. Response to methionine-enkephalin challenge. *Immunopharmacology* 25: 107–116
89. LAUDENSLAGER M L, AASAL R, ADLER L, BERGER C L, MONTGOMERY P T, SANDBERG E, WAHLBERG L J, WILKINS R T, ZWEIG L, REITE M L 1998 Elevated cytotoxicity in combat veterans with long-term post-traumatic stress disorder: preliminary observations. *Brain Behav Immun* 12: 74–79
90. WEISS D W, HIRT R, TARCIC N, BERZON Y, BEN-ZUR H, BREZNITZ S, GLASER B, GROVER N B, BARAS M, O'DORISIO T M 1996 Studies in psychoneuroimmunology: psychological, immunological, and neuroendocrinological parameters in Israeli civilians during and after a period of Scud missile attacks. *Behav Med* 22: 5–14
91. SPIVAK B, SHOHAT B, MESTER R, AVRAHAM S, GIL-AD I, BLEICH A, VALEVSKI A, WEIZMAN A 1997 Elevated levels of serum interleukin-1[beta] in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 42: 345–348
92. EVERSON M P, KOTLER S, BLACKBURN W D J 1999 Stress and immune dysfunction in Gulf War veterans. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 22: 413–418
93. LAUDENSLAGER M L, AASAL R, ADLER L, BERGER C L, MONTGOMERY P T, SANDBERG E, WAHLBERG L J, WILKINS R T, ZWEIG L, REITE M L 1998 Elevated cytotoxicity in combat veterans with long-term post-traumatic stress disorder: preliminary observations. *Brain Behav Immun* 12: 74–79
94. YEHUDA R 2002 Post-traumatic stress disorder. *N Engl J Med* 346: 108–114
95. BOSCARINO J A, CHANG J 1999 Higher abnormal leukocyte and lymphocyte counts 20 years after exposure to severe stress: research and clinical implications. *Psychosom Med* 61: 378–386
96. DEKARIS D, SABIONCELLO A, GOTOVAC K, RABATIĆ S 1999 Immune reactivity and PTSD. In: Dekaris D, Sabioncello A (eds) *New Insights in Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)*. Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Zagreb, p 31
97. SABIONCELLO A, KOCIJAN-HERCIGONJA D, RABATIĆ S, TOMAŠIĆ J, JEREN T, MATIJEVIĆ L, RIJAVEC M, DEKARIS D 2000 Immune, endocrine, and psychological responses in civilians displaced by war. *Psychosom Med* 62: 502–508
98. MATIJEVIĆ L, SABIONCELLO A, FOLNEGOVIĆ-ŠMALC V, KOCIJAN-HERCIGONJA D, MAŽURAN R, RABATIĆ S, GOTOVAC K, JEREN V, DEKARIS D 1995 Stress induced immunological changes in newly resettled Bosnian refugees. *Period Biol* 97 (Suppl 1): 48–48
99. SABIONCELLO A, KOCIJAN-HERCIGONJA D, MAŽURAN R, SVOBODA-BEUSAN I, RABATIĆ S, TOMAŠIĆ J, RIJAVEC M, DEKARIS D 1999 Interactions of immunological, psychological, hormonal, and nutritional alterations in war-related chronic stress. *Period Biol* 101: 27–33
100. SABIONCELLO A, DEKARIS D, RABATIĆ S, KOMAR Z, ŠTEFAN S 1998 Immune reactivity in soldiers with PTSD. The 1st International Conference on Psycho-Social Consequences of War. World Veterans Federation, Dubrovnik, p 14
101. SABIONCELLO A, KOMAR Z, ŠTEFAN S, DEKARIS D, RABATIĆ S 1998 Immune status in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). *Psychiatr Danub* 10: 105–105
102. GOTOVAC K, SABIONCELLO A, RABATIĆ S, BERKI T, DEKARIS D 2003 Flow cytometric determination of glucocorticoid receptor (GCR) expression in lymphocyte subpopulations: lower quantity of GCR in patients with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). *Clin Exp Immunol* 131: 335–339
103. SEGERSTROM S C, MILLER G E 2004 Psychological stress and the human immune system: a meta-analytic study of 30 years of inquiry. *Psychol Bull* 130: 601–630