**DNA Analysis of Early Mediaeval Individuals from Zvonimirovo Burial Site in Northern Croatia: Investigation of Kinship Relationships by Using Multiplex System Amplification for Short Tandem Repeat Loci**

**Aim** To perform initial DNA analysis of four selected early mediaeval individuals from the Zvonimirovo burial site in Northern Croatia.

**Methods** Investigation of genetic matching of individuals from a "double burial" and of individuals with shared cranial non-metric/metric traits from 2 single inhumations, located in another block of the cemetery complex, was carried out. DNA from four teeth samples was extracted, quantified, and amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for short tandem repeat loci, using AmpFlSTR Profiler™ PCR Amplification Kit.

**Results** Autosomal short tandem repeat (STR) genotyping generated high parentage probability (PP) as to the matching of the two individuals from the “double burial” (PP 98.63%), and of two women with shared cranial non-metric/metric traits from neighboring single burials (PP 90.07%). Parentage probability calculations of a possible genetic matching of the subadult from a “double burial” with the adults from single burials 4 and 3 were significantly lower (PP 60.45% and 38.52%). DNA typing for amelogenin confirmed the sex of the 3 female individuals, estimated previously by morphology. The unknown sex of the subadult was also determined as female.

**Conclusion** Increased parentage probability for autosomal STR loci matches and the presence of a rare allele shared among matched individuals support their possible kinship relationship, in accordance with bioarchaeological data. We assume an intentional double burial based on a close familial relationship, ie two single neighboring inhumations based on consanguinity, rather than a strong social relationship. The kinship lineages remain unknown at this point.
DNA analysis has recently become one of the most advanced tools broadly employed in the investigation of relatedness within burial groups (1-5). Genetic relationships within and between burial sites are of help in understanding both the organization of inhumation places and the origin of unearthed individuals in reference to a single or limited number of family groups (5). Kinship analyses of double burials are particularly interesting in terms of the possibility of uncovering consanguinity or strong social relationships among such individuals (6-8).

However, except for a few cases of ancient DNA studies (9-11), the full advantage of DNA analysis is still not being taken in Croatian bioarchaeology (12). The major problem appears to be the cost of chemicals used in the procedure, along with other priorities for DNA typing in Croatia, such as the identification of war victims from mass graves (13-17) and in forensic medicine (9,14,18,19). A further problem is DNA degradation, contamination, or the presence of inhibitors (11-19). Damaged DNA templates in very old bones/teeth with a minute amount of cells occasionally lead to the elimination of a single or, in the worst case, all alleles, providing only non-reproducible results (20). Water or soil can also damage genomic DNA and make personal identification extremely difficult (18).

As in forensic DNA testing (18), genetic analysis of bioarchaeological samples requires the use of short sized loci, amplified by polymerase chain reactions (PCR), with short tandem repeat (STR) loci. Autosomal STRs have been used in the study of close parentage relationships due to excellent discrimination power (5,18) and are suitable markers for ancient DNA typing because of their small size and recognition of sample contamination by modern DNA (21). Because of the possibility of simultaneous amplification and reduction to an absolute minimum of the amount of sample material necessary for kinship analysis (5,9), we gave priority to STRs in performing an investigation of possible kinship relationships of mediaeval individuals from the Zvonimirovo site in Northern Croatia. To the best of our knowledge, this work represents the only attempt in Croatian bioarchaeology to perform an investigation of possible kinship relationships among individuals from a burial site.

Materials and methods

**Skeletal material**

Zvonimirovo is an early mediaeval cemetery arranged in rows, located in Virovitčko-Podravska County. The excavation of the site started in 1993. The findings, ie grave enclosures recovered, indicate a chronological classification to the Bijelo Brdo Culture of the 11th century (22).

The study was focused on initial DNA typing of four selected individuals, because we could not perform DNA typing of the entire Zvonimirovo burial series. The investigation of autosomal STR loci matching included the 2 individuals from Zvonimirovo burial 7 (or burial 22, according to the new corresponding designation; Ložnjak Dizdar, personal communication). This was supposed to be a “double burial,” although it was considered a single burial by Tomičić (22). Two sets of remains with partially preserved cranial and absent or scanty postcranial inventories, belonging to an adult female and a subadult were unearthed from a burial with a scanty archaeological inventory. Sex of the adult was estimated according to Krogman and Iscan (23) and Bass (24). Sex of the subadult could not be estimated by standard morphological procedures because of very young age and consequent lack of morphological indicators of sex. Adult age at death was estimated according to Meindl and Lovejoy (25) and Lovejoy (26). The subadult’s age at death was estimated according to dental eruption criteria after Ubelaker (27).

Two samples (1 and 2) were processed for DNA typing in order to investigate whether the 2 individuals in a supposed “double burial” were
possibly related, or this was merely a case of randomly buried unrelated remains. No other double burials have yet been found in the available Zvonimirovo burial series.

The investigation of a possible genetic matching was also performed for female individuals from 2 neighboring single inhumations from the same grave row – Zvonimirovo 3 and 4 (28) with shared cranial non-metric traits. Metric traits, ie cranial phenotype affiliation shared between the 2 individuals also pointed to their possible relationship (28). Burials were located in another block of the same cemetery complex (22). Two samples (sample 3 and 4) were processed for STR genotyping in order to investigate whether the inhumation of the 2 females in the 2 neighboring burials was a consequence of their possible kinship relationship. Grave inventory pointed to a strikingly different social status (22).

Sex determination was performed using the amelogenin (AMEL) sex-typing locus for the 3 female individuals (Table 1), whose sex was estimated by morphology.

Table 1. Teeth samples used for DNA typing at 10 short tandem repeat (STR) loci, obtained from 4 individuals from Zvonimirovo early mediaeval burial site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Burial No.</th>
<th>Age group/sex of the individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7†</td>
<td>adult/female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7†</td>
<td>subadult/unknown sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3‡</td>
<td>mature/female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4‡</td>
<td>young adult/female</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Zvonimirovo series 1993-1998 stored at the Institute of Archaeology in Zagreb, Croatia.
†Year of excavation 1995 – “the double burial.”
‡Year of excavation 1993 – two neighboring single inhumations.
§Age and sex are taken from ref. 28.

Sample preparation

Teeth samples were used for DNA typing procedure, because teeth have been shown to be the best sources of DNA, as dental enamel, which is the hardest substance in the human body, protects the DNA rich pulp and dentin and ensures a good quality of isolated DNA (29,30). As the DNA of the pulp cells degrades faster in damaged teeth with the pulp opened and the tissue exposed to the environment (31), only best preserved teeth were processed in the analysis.

Teeth corresponding to four individuals (2 from “double burial” 7, 2 from single burials 3 and 4) were used for the sample preparation. The teeth were thoroughly cleaned. All traces of tissue in the teeth cavities were removed using razor blades and the teeth surfaces were cleaned by abrasion with a grinding tip (or drill bit) and sandpaper. The teeth were crushed into small fragments and stored in sterile polypropylene tubes at -20°C until analyzed.

About 1 g of the teeth was rinsed with deionized water several times and left to air dry. The teeth fragments were cleaned in a 5% sodium hypochlorite solution, in deionized water several times, then in 70% ethanol. They were then poured into clean and labeled weight boats and allowed to air-dry overnight in a laminar flow hood. The material was pulverized with a mill or frozen samples were crushed by hammering with liquid nitrogen. The material had to be pulverized into a very fine powder before adding the extraction buffer.

DNA extraction

The powdered teeth were transferred into 2 sterile 15 mL conical tubes. Three mL of extraction buffer: 100 mmol/L Tris – (hydroxyl-methyl) amino methane/hydrochloride (Tris-HCl), pH 8.0; 1M sodium chloride; 100 mM ethylene-diamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA), pH 8.0; 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and 100 µL proteinase K (20 mg/mL), was added to each tube. The tubes were incubated at 56°C for 48 hours with intermediate shaking. The solutions were then extracted 3 times (or until the upper aqueous layer was completely clear) with equal volumes of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and then centrifuged. The aqueous layer was extracted with water-saturated n-butanol and centrifuged. The aqueous phase was concentrated using Centricon-100 micro concentrator tubes and centrifuged. Afterwards, the residue was
washed 3 times with 2 mL of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5; 1 mM EDTA pH 8). The recovered DNA was stored at 4°C (14,32,33).

**DNA quantification**

The total DNA was evaluated using agarose gel electrophoresis (ethidium bromide staining) and absorbencies at 260 and 280 nm were measured by spectrophotometer (Ultrospec 2000. Pharma- cia Biotech). The A260/A280 ratios were used to evaluate the quality of the extracted DNA (33).

Before concluding on possible absence of amplifiable DNA in a sample, it was necessary to confirm that the inhibitors of Taq polymerase were not present in the preparation. QRT-PCR was performed in total volume of 25 μL containing 2 μL of DNA extract, Quantifier human primer mix, and Quantifier PCR reaction mix with thermal cycling conditions according to the manufacturer’s protocols (34).

Data were collected using the ABI PRISM 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Data analysis was performed with ABI PRISM 7000 Sequence Detector Software (SDS), version 1.0 to generate the individual standard curves from each experiment and to calculate the DNA amount from each unknown sample.

**PCR amplification and typing**

The repeatedly extracted and purified DNA was amplified on the Perkin-Elmer Thermal Cycler 9600, using the AmpFISTR Profiler™ PCR Amplification Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), following the recommended protocol. The typing of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products was performed on ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The internal standard was Rox-350 (14,33,35). Nine autosomal short tandem repeat (STR) loci and the sex-determination locus amelogenin were simultaneously amplified.

**Statistical analysis**

Microsoft Excell 2000 (Microsoft, Seattle, WA, USA) was used for statistical calculation. Calculations for statistical probability of matching were performed according to the cited protocols (18). Parentage probability was calculated by combining the data on the population frequency estimates for a forensic paternity case and forensic identity case for individual loci and their combinations (18). The allelic frequencies quoted in the Tables are from the database on general Croatian population obtained from the Department of Pathology, Forensic Medicine and Cytology, Split University Hospital (Split, Croatia).

**Results**

Investigation of a possible relatedness among 2 individuals (samples 1 and 2) from burial 7 by DNA typing for autosomal STRs generated very high matching probability at 6 STR loci alleles, with high parentage probability (PP 98.63%) (Table 2). Two sets of partially preserved human remains were unearthed from a supposed “double burial.” They belonged to an adult female and a subadult (Table 1). The increased paternity index (PI) obtained for the matched individu-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Parentage probability calculation for genetic matching at 6 short tandem repeat (STR) loci in samples 1 and 2 (double burial)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Locus</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF1PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5S818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Allele frequencies in the general Croatian population (data from the Department of Pathology, Forensic Medicine and Cytology, Split University Hospital, Split, Croatia).

† Paternity index was calculated after ref. 18. Using the known allelic frequencies of the general Croatian population, the probability of parentage for this case was calculated to be 98.63%.
als (Table 2) was apparently related to the presence of a shared rare allele 20 of the FGA locus. This allele has the frequency of only 7.94% in the general Croatian population (Table 2), and sharing such a rare allele increases the probability of relatedness among 2 individuals (18). Although the allele 10 of the D5S818 locus had an even lower frequency in the general Croatian population (5.95%), its conjunction with the allele 13 (18.25%), and consequent increased summarized allelic frequency of 24.21%, decreased the respective paternity index calculation (Table 2). The probability of biological relationship was calculated using the allelic frequencies of the general Croatian population. As for random man not excluded (RMNE) – the proportion of the population that could contribute all obligate alleles and cannot be excluded (18) – there was a 99.70% chance of non-exclusion of a random man from contributing obligate alleles.

We had a few cases of a loci dropout during the PCR procedure, ie the failure to detect an allele within a sample or to amplify an allele. A single locus dropout was obtained for sample 2 (locus D7S820), and 2 loci dropout for sample 1 (loci D3S1358 and D13S317). In addition, multiple allele presence was detected at some loci (Table 2).

DNA typing for amelogenin confirmed the female sex for both the adult and subadult in the supposed double burial.

The shared cranial metric traits, ie cranial phenotype affiliation (28) of the 2 female individuals from the single neighboring burials 3 and 4, suggested a possible relationship between the 2 individuals. The same is the case with the following shared cranial non-metric variants: lambdoid ossicles, epipetric bone, ossicle at asterion, supraorbital foramen, complete, ie incomplete. In addition, ossicle at lambda was present in the woman from a single burial 4 and a variant of the 3-part Inca bone in the female from a single burial 3, in conjunction with lambdoid ossicles (28). Besides, sagittal ossicles, mastoid foramen, posterior condylar canal, condylar facet double, and precondylar tubercle were present additionally in the young woman from a single burial 4. One has to point out that in terms of some given bilateral traits, the individuals exhibited asymmetry in their expression. The side exhibiting the maximum expression was closest to the true underlying genotype for the trait (36). One also has to point out that no biological relatedness in reference to non-metric traits was calculated for the Zvonimirovo summarized cranial series due to both severe fragmentation and damage, mainly in reference to the Zvonimirovo 1994 series (28), in addition to the Zvonimirovo 1995 series yielding just a few complete crania. Besides, a sample comprising just the 2 mentioned female individuals included in the study was too small to perform a biodistance study. Although suggestive in reference to a possible relationship between the individuals in question, the obtained morphological results could not yield reliable information as results of molecular study.

Examination of the 2 female individuals (samples 3 and 4) for possible STR loci matches generated high matching probability at 7 STR loci alleles with elevated calculated parentage probability (PP: 90.07%) (Table 3). The increased paternity index (PI) obtained for the 2 females in reference to the presence of a shared rare allele 20 of the FGA locus pointed to possible kinship relationship between the matched individuals. There was a 99.34% chance of not excluding a random man from contributing obligate alleles. During PCR a single locus dropout was obtained for sample 3 (locus CSF1PO) as well as sample 4 (locus D7S820) (Table 3).

Homozygosity was found in the individual from grave 4 (sample 4) at the allele 11 of the D13S317 locus and at allele 12 of the CSF1PO locus (Tables 3-4).

The sex of the individuals of apparently different social status – the older person was found with a few simple grave goods (jewelry), while the
young person had rich luxury artifacts (22) – was substantiated by DNA typing for amelogenin.

On the other hand, calculation of the matching probability at 8 STR loci for the subadult from burial 7 (the “double burial”) and the young adult female from the single burial 4 (corresponding samples 2 and 4), generated a low paternity probability (60.45%) (Table 4). The latter was due to increased summarized allelic frequency (19.05%) in reference to the presence of a shared rare allele 20 of the FGA locus, in conjunction with allele 23, and decreased paternity index (PI). The same was the case with the allele 10 of the D5S818 locus. Although with a low frequency in the general Croatian population (5.95%), its conjunction with the common allele 12 of the D5S818 locus (35.71%), and consequent increased summarized allelic frequency (41.67%), decreased the respective paternity index calculation (Table 4). There was a 97.84% chance of not excluding of a random man (RMNE) from contributing obligate alleles.

Calculation of the matching probability at 7 STR loci for the subadult from the “double burial” 7 and the mature woman from the single burial 3 (corresponding samples 2 and 3) provid-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Sample 3</th>
<th>Shared alleles</th>
<th>Sample 4*</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>Paternity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D3S1358</td>
<td>14:15:16</td>
<td>14:16</td>
<td>14:16</td>
<td>0.11111</td>
<td>0.24206</td>
<td>0.353173</td>
<td>0.7076884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWa</td>
<td>17:48</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16:17</td>
<td>0.268578</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.268573</td>
<td>1.880567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGA</td>
<td>18:19:20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20:23:25</td>
<td>0.07937</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.079365</td>
<td>6.300036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH01</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.8:9.3</td>
<td>0.268941</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.268941</td>
<td>1.8529430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPOX</td>
<td>8:9:11</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>0.534797</td>
<td>0.27381</td>
<td>0.808608</td>
<td>0.3214285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5S818</td>
<td>11:12:13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10:12</td>
<td>0.35714</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.357143</td>
<td>1.340250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S317</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11:11</td>
<td>0.36905</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.369048</td>
<td>1.340373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Parentage probability calculation for genetic matching at 7 short tandem repeat (STR) loci in samples 2 and 4 (single burials)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
<th>Shared alleles</th>
<th>Sample 4*</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>Paternity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D3S1358</td>
<td>14:15:16</td>
<td>14:16</td>
<td>14:15:16</td>
<td>0.11111</td>
<td>0.24206</td>
<td>0.353173</td>
<td>0.7076884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWa</td>
<td>16:17</td>
<td>16:17</td>
<td>16:17</td>
<td>0.16667</td>
<td>0.26857</td>
<td>0.43524</td>
<td>1.15596245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH01</td>
<td>6:8:9:3</td>
<td>6:8:9:3</td>
<td>6:8:9:3</td>
<td>0.23810</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.23810</td>
<td>0.77778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPOX</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>0.50397</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.50397</td>
<td>0.77778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF1PO</td>
<td>10:12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12:12</td>
<td>0.27381</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.27381</td>
<td>1.2650837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5S818</td>
<td>10:12:13</td>
<td>10:12</td>
<td>10:12</td>
<td>0.05952</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05952</td>
<td>0.41667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S317</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11:11</td>
<td>0.36905</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.36905</td>
<td>0.67741866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Parentage probability calculation for genetic matching at 8 short tandem repeat (STR) loci in samples 2 and 4 (single burials)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
<th>Shared alleles</th>
<th>Sample 3</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>Paternity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D3S1358</td>
<td>14:15:16</td>
<td>14:16</td>
<td>14:15:16</td>
<td>0.11111</td>
<td>0.24206</td>
<td>0.353174</td>
<td>0.7076884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWa</td>
<td>17:48</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16:17</td>
<td>0.268578</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.268573</td>
<td>1.880567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGA</td>
<td>18:19:20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20:23:25</td>
<td>0.07937</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.079365</td>
<td>6.300036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH01</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.8:9.3</td>
<td>0.268941</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.268941</td>
<td>1.8529430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPOX</td>
<td>8:9:11</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>0.50397</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.50397</td>
<td>0.77778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5S818</td>
<td>10:12:13</td>
<td>12:13</td>
<td>11:12:13</td>
<td>0.35714</td>
<td>0.18524</td>
<td>0.542384</td>
<td>0.4632349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S317</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>8:11</td>
<td>0.13095</td>
<td>0.36905</td>
<td>0.500000</td>
<td>0.1384108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Parentage probability calculation for genetic matching at 7 short tandem repeat (STR) loci in samples 2 and 3

*Allelic frequencies in the general Croatian population (data from the Department of Pathology, Forensic Medicine and Cytology, Split University Hospital, Split, Croatia).
ed the significantly lower parentage probability (38.52%; Table 5), in comparison with the above cases. This was due to high total frequencies of shared more or less common alleles, the latter being present individually or in conjunction with other alleles. Consequently, low paternity index (PI) values were obtained, except for the individually present shared rare allele 20 of the FGA locus (Table 5). There was a 98.93% chance of non-exclusion of a random man (RMNE) from contributing obligate alleles.

Due to the match in only 4 loci, no probability percentages of a possible genetic matching were calculated for other sample combinations (1 and 3, 1 and 4), because they would not provide statistical significance.

**Discussion**

In terms of autosomal STRs, the results of DNA analysis obtained in the present study showed statistically high parentage probability as to the genetic matching between individuals from a supposed “double burial” (burial 7) at the early mediaeval Zvonimirovo site. The same was true for the case with 2 females from the single burials 3 and 4 located in another block of the same cemetery complex. Parentage probabilities in terms of a possible genetic matching of a subadult from a “double burial” with the adult, ie mature woman from single burials 4 and 3, were significantly lower. The latter fact indicates possible authentic relatedness of genetically matched individuals with increased parentage probabilities (18). However, one has to bear in mind that in STR genotyping of bioarchaeological material, the results of genetic matching can easily be misinterpreted (“false matching”) without the inclusion of additional data, as is also the case in forensic medicine (16). On the basis of the obtained alleles at STR loci of the individuals (samples) processed in DNA typing, the Zvonimirovo mediaeval series fits into the general Croatian population.

The testing of a “double burial” hypothesis was performed on the basis of bioarchaeological data indicating that 2 individuals were interred in Zvonimirovo burial 7. The latter was apparently considered by Tomičić (22) to be a single inhumation. The procedure was carried out in order to investigate whether the adult female and the subadult were possibly related, or it was merely a case of randomly buried unrelated skeletal remains in a grave pit, with the given scanty archaeological inventory (22). On the basis of the obtained elevated probability percentages in terms of STR loci matching we can assume that it is apparently a question of a double burial, ie an intentional common inhumation of the 2 individuals, due to possible consanguinity.

As for the case of 2 female individuals from the individual Zvonimirovo burials 3 and 4, shared cranial non-metric data matched with high parentage probability calculations.

As early as in 1967, Berry and Berry (37) pointed to major genetic, ie epigenetic determination of discontinuous variants. In more recent studies cranial non-metric variants have been successfully used to assess biological relatedness (36) and evolutionary relationships (38-41), in addition to the most recent identification of familial relationships (42). However, Berry and Berry (37) made no attempt to distinguish between ossicle at lambda, and the true “interparietal” Inca bone formed from the membraneous part of the occipital bone. On the other hand, a variant of a classical 3-part Inca bone observed in the individual from a single burial 3 in our study is considered an anomaly as a result of irregular ossification of the upper portion of the occipital squama (28). It was present in association with the lambdoid ossicles (28).

Although some studies suggest artificial cranial deformation having influence on discontinuous morphological traits (43), specifically on the increased complexity of the lambdoid suture (44), the research of Konigsberg et al (45) also showed that biodistances derived by using non-
metric traits of deformed crania differ little from distances calculated without such traits. The presence of lambdoid ossicles in both female individuals from the Zvonimirovo site, is not combined with any form of cranial deformation, and it is most likely a question of inherited variants (37). The obtained results of genomic DNA analysis matching with the shared non-metric variants suggest a possible genetic relationship between the 2 individuals in question.

A single or several loci dropout, or multiple alleles obtained in the present study, is usually considered to be the result of DNA degradation or bacterial DNA contamination from the soil. In our case the extremely cautious handling of the material prior to DNA typing and analysis performed after repeated DNA extraction and purification, in addition to the fact that no one from the staff/investigators matched the multiple allele genotypes, suggests authentic DNA amplification. It has to be emphasized that matching of multiple alleles was performed by a separate investigator. If any of the multiallelic profiles matched those of the staff/investigators, we would consider them likely a case of contamination (5) and they would be excluded from the study. We also employed the necessary procedure to confirm that the inhibitors of Taq polymerase (11) were not present in the preparation. In addition, the molecular and morphological sex was in accordance for 3 female samples supplementing the authenticity of early mediaeval DNA (5). However, environmental factors and the taphonomic conditions may induce destructive processes in the teeth DNA, resulting in multiple allele presence (“shadow bands”) at some loci, as reported by Ricaut et al (46). The latter is considered to be an indicator of significant degradation of the DNA target (46), which is not unusual for ancient samples.

In reference to the previously mentioned Zvonimirovo series affiliation, Šlaus (12) also reports on the affiliation of the Zvonimirovo (and Josipovo) mediaeval sites with early mediaeval Croatian populations of Slavic ancestry, along with excavations in Stenjevac, Đelekovac, and Đakovo phase 1. On the other hand, a more recent study of the craniometric relationship among mediaeval populations of Central Europe has generated a classification of Zvonimirovo to the Bijelo Brdo population, and Josipovo to the early mediaeval Croatian population (47). However, the discriminating analyses in those studies were generated on a rather small sample, both for the Zvonimirovo/Josipovo site and beyond. In terms of the early mediaeval archaeological material recovered from Zvonimirovo, it was assigned by Tomičić to the Bijelo Brdo Culture (22). Both Slavs and Hungarians, with a rather heterogeneous Slavic component, have been defined as bearers of the Bijelo Brdo Culture (48).

Despite controversial data on the affiliation of the Zvonimirovo mediaeval series, our study showed that a certain gene flow could be presumed, in terms of the presence of alleles that were not common in the general Croatian population, due to migrations. The latter refers to allele 20 of the FGA locus, which has a low frequency in the general Croatian population. One also has to point out to the presence of allele 10 of the D5S818 locus, which has an even lower frequency in the general Croatian population. However, its presence in conjunction with other alleles generated the increased summarized allelic frequencies.

Recent results of the study of the Y chromosomal heritage of the Croatian population on an Adriatic island revealed relatively high frequencies of lineages/mitochondrial haplogroups unusual for European populations (49). They indicate connections of the Croatian population with central Asian populations, possibly derived from the Avars (49). This is particularly interesting in terms of the obtained cranial phenotype affiliation of the 2 female crania from the Zvonimirovo neighboring burials 3 and 4, as they differ somewhat from the rest of the Zvonimirovo early series. The earliest study of the Zvonimirovo-
vo cranial series suggested the presence of cranial phenotypes with a mixture of Slavic and Mongoloid, ie Avar, characteristics (28). However, any further discussion on a “population” level at this point, in reference to the presence of alleles in the Zvonimirovo mediaeval series that are not characteristic of the general Croatian population, requires a more extensive Zvonimirovo genetic database. Furthermore, PI values over 6.00, in reference to presence of a shared rare allele 20 of the FGA locus point to high matching probabilities of the 2 pairs of samples processed for STR genotyping (samples 1 and 2, and 3 and 4). However, Biruš et al (16) have found that in some cases in DNA typing of war victims even a relatively high PI over 10.00 might not be sufficiently high for the correct determination of identity without bearing in mind the important role of some classical anthropological data besides molecular elements, likewise employed in the present study.

Low frequency of the allele 20 of the FGA locus and its presence, individually or in conjunction with other alleles, in all 4 individuals, points to possible local inbreeding. It is well known that the greater the presence of alleles with low frequency in a population, the higher the possibility of relatedness within a population (18). The observed clear case of homozygosis in the individual from a single burial 4 supports the possibility of local inbreeding, considering that inbreeding increases homozygosis. Inbreeding has been an important issue, especially when it is the question of small populations where the expectation of relationship between individuals is more likely. In terms of the mediaeval Zvonimirovo series, even some historical incidents (50) may have influenced local inbreeding at some point. However, final conclusion on the homozygosis frequency at the Zvonimirovo site will be possible after generating a more extensive database for molecular analysis.

In conclusion, the obtained elevated probability percentages for autosomal STR loci matches and high paternity index in reference to the presence of a rare allele shared among matched individuals supported their possible relatedness in accordance with bioarchaeological data. However, at this point the kinship lineages remain unknown. We assume that it is the question of an intentional double burial based on a close familial relationship, ie 2 single neighboring inhumations based on consanguinity, rather than a strong social relationship. In addition, results for sex-determination of the amelogenin locus were compatible with sex-estimation for the 3 female individuals whose sex could be estimated from the morphological analysis in the previous (28) and present studies. They substantiate the authenticity of early mediaeval DNA amplification (5). The next step in the analysis of the remains from the Zvonimirovo site will include the mitochondrial DNA analysis, as well as the generation of a more extensive Zvonimirovo database for molecular genetic analysis. Future studies will provide additional answers in reference to the kinship lineages within the cemetery complex and allow broader insights into the burial organization, ie funerary behavior in reference to possible relatedness or the social positions of early mediaeval individuals buried at the Zvonimirovo site.
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