

International Conference on Mathematical Inequalities and Applications 2010 MARCH 7 - 13, 2010 LAHORE, PAKISTAN,



## Some recent results about Jensen's operator inequality and its converses with application to operator means

Jadranka Mićić Hot



Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

### Introduction

- Overview of Jensen's inequality
- Overview of the Kantorovich inequality
- Mond-Pečarić method
- Goal of the lecture

### 2 Main results

- Generalization of Jensen's inequality
- · Generalization of converses of Jensen's inequality

### Quasi-arithmetic means

- Monotonicity
- Difference and ratio type inequalities
- Ratio type orde
- Difference type order
- Weighted power means
  - Ratio type inequalities
  - Difference type inequalities

#### Chaotic order

• • • • • • • • • • • •

### Introduction

- Overview of Jensen's inequality
- Overview of the Kantorovich inequality
- Mond-Pečarić method
- Goal of the lecture

### 2 Main results

- Generalization of Jensen's inequality
- · Generalization of converses of Jensen's inequality

### Quasi-arithmetic means

- Monotonicity
- Difference and ratio type inequalities

### Power functions

- Ratio type order
- Difference type order

#### 5

- Ratio type inequalities
  - Difference type inequalities

• • • • • • • • • • • •

### Introduction

- Overview of Jensen's inequality
- Overview of the Kantorovich inequality
- Mond-Pečarić method
- Goal of the lecture

### 2 Main results

- Generalization of Jensen's inequality
- · Generalization of converses of Jensen's inequality
- Quasi-arithmetic means
  - Monotonicity
  - Difference and ratio type inequalities

### Power functions

- Ratio type order
- Difference type order
- Weighted power means
  - Ratio type inequalities
  - Difference type inequalities

MIA2010 2 / 88

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

### Introduction

- Overview of Jensen's inequality
- Overview of the Kantorovich inequality
- Mond-Pečarić method
- Goal of the lecture
- 2 Main results
  - Generalization of Jensen's inequality
  - · Generalization of converses of Jensen's inequality
- Quasi-arithmetic means
  - Monotonicity
  - Difference and ratio type inequalities
- Power functions
  - Ratio type order
  - Difference type order
- Weighted power means
  - Ratio type inequalities
  - Difference type inequalities

### Chaotic order

< D > < P > < D > <</pre>

### Introduction

- Overview of Jensen's inequality
- Overview of the Kantorovich inequality
- Mond-Pečarić method
- Goal of the lecture
- 2 Main results
  - Generalization of Jensen's inequality
  - · Generalization of converses of Jensen's inequality
- Quasi-arithmetic means
  - Monotonicity
  - Difference and ratio type inequalities
- Power functions
  - Ratio type order
  - Difference type order
- Weighted power means
  - Ratio type inequalities
  - Difference type inequalities

### Chaotic order

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

MIA2010 2 / 88

### Introduction

- Overview of Jensen's inequality
- Overview of the Kantorovich inequality
- Mond-Pečarić method
- Goal of the lecture
- 2 Main results
  - Generalization of Jensen's inequality
  - · Generalization of converses of Jensen's inequality
- Quasi-arithmetic means
  - Monotonicity
  - Difference and ratio type inequalities
- Power functions
  - Ratio type order
  - Difference type order
- Weighted power means
  - Ratio type inequalities
  - Difference type inequalities
- Chaotic order

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

MIA2010 2 / 88

### 1.1. Overview of Jensen's inequality

### **Classical Jensen's inequality**

J.L.W.V. Jensen, *Sur les fonctions convexes et les ingalits entre les valeurs moyennes*, Acta Mathematica **30** (1906), 175–193:

If f is <u>a convex function</u> on an interval [m, M] for some scalars m < M, then

$$f(\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_j x_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} t_j f(x_j).$$
(1)

holds for every  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in [m, M]$  and every positive real numbers  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^k t_j = 1$ .

An operator version of (1): Let A be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space H with  $Sp(A) \subset [m, M]$  for some scalars m < M. If f is a convex function on [m, M], then

$$f((Ax,x)) \le (f(A)x,x) \tag{2}$$

for every unit vector  $x \in H$ .

### Jessen's inequality

B.Jessen, *Bemaerkinger om konvekse Funktioner og Uligheder imellem Middelvaerdier I*, Mat.Tidsskrift **B** (1931), 17-28:

Let *E* be a nonempty set and  $\mathfrak{L} = \{g; g : E \to \mathbb{R}\}$  satisfying: L1:  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \land g, h \in \mathfrak{L} \Rightarrow \alpha g + \beta h \in \mathfrak{L},$ L2:  $1 \in \mathfrak{L}.$ 

If f is a convex function on an interval  $I \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Phi$  is a unital positive linear functional, then

$$f(\Phi(g)) \le \Phi(f(g)).$$
 (3)

holds for every  $g \in \mathfrak{L}$ .

#### Overview of Jensen's inequality

### Schwarz operator inequality

C.Davis, *A Schwartz inequality for convex operator functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 42–44:

If f is an operator convex function defined on an interval I and  $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \to B(K)$  is a unital completely positive linear map from a *C*\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to linear operators on a Hilbert space K, then

$$f(\Phi(x)) \leq \Phi(f(x)),$$
 (4)

holds for every self-adjoint element x in A with spectrum in I.

Subsequently in



noted that it is enough to assume that  $\Phi$  is unital and positive. In fact, the restriction of  $\Phi$  to the commutative  $C^{\overline{*}}$ -algebra generated by self-adjoint *x* is automatically completely positive by Theorem 4 in



### W. F.Stinespring, *Positive functions on C\*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 211–216.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 5 / 88

#### Overview of Jensen's inequality

### Schwarz operator inequality

C.Davis, *A Schwartz inequality for convex operator functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 42–44:

If f is an operator convex function defined on an interval I and  $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \to B(K)$  is a unital completely positive linear map from a *C*\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to linear operators on a Hilbert space K, then

$$f(\Phi(x)) \le \Phi(f(x)),$$
 (4)

holds for every self-adjoint element x in  $\mathcal{A}$  with spectrum in I. Subsequently in

M. D. Choi, A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on *C*\*-algebras, Ill. J. Math. **18** (1974), 565–574.

noted that it is enough to assume that  $\Phi$  is unital and positive. In fact, the restriction of  $\Phi$  to the commutative  $C^{\overline{*}}$ -algebra generated by self-adjoint *x* is automatically completely positive by Theorem 4 in



W. F.Stinespring, *Positive functions on C\*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 211–216.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 5 / 88

 F.Hansen and G.K. Pedersen, Jensen's inequality for operators and Löwner's theorem, Ann. Math. 258 (1982), 229–241.
 proved

a Jensen type inequality:

If f is an operator convex function defined on an interval  $I=[0,\alpha)$  (with  $\alpha\leq\infty$  and  $f(0)\leq0)$  then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}^{*}x_{i}a_{i}\right)\leq\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}^{*}f(x_{i})a_{i}$$
(5)

holds for every n-tuple  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  of bounded, self-adjoint operators on an arbitrary Hilbert space H with spectra in I and for every n-tuple  $(a_1,...,a_n)$  operators on H with  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i = \mathbf{1}$ .

<<<

The inequality (5) is in fact just a reformulation of (4) although this was not noticed at the time.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 6 / 88

The restriction on the interval and the requirement  $f(0) \le 0$  in (5) was subsequently removed by

- B.Mond and J.Pečarić, *On Jensen's inequality for operator convex functions*, Houston J. Math., **21** (1995), 739–753.
- F.Hansen and G.K.Pederson, *Jensen's operator inequality*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **35** (2003), 553–564.

Indeed, consider an arbitrary operator convex function f defined on [0,1). The function  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) - f(0)$  satisfies the conditions of (5) and it follows

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} x_{i} a_{i}\right) - f(0)\mathbf{1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} (f(x_{i}) - f(0)\mathbf{1}) a_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} f(x_{i}) a_{i} - f(0)\mathbf{1}.$$

By setting  $g(x) = f((\beta - \alpha)x + \alpha)$  one may reduce the statement for operator convex functions defined on an arbitrary interval  $[\alpha, \beta)$  to operator convex functions defined on the interval [0, 1).

・ロト ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

The restriction on the interval and the requirement  $f(0) \le 0$  in (5) was subsequently removed by

- B.Mond and J.Pečarić, *On Jensen's inequality for operator convex functions*, Houston J. Math., **21** (1995), 739–753.
- F.Hansen and G.K.Pederson, *Jensen's operator inequality*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **35** (2003), 553–564.

Indeed, consider an arbitrary operator convex function *f* defined on [0,1). The function  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) - f(0)$  satisfies the conditions of (5) and it follows

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} x_{i} a_{i}\right) - f(0)\mathbf{1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} \left(f(x_{i}) - f(0)\mathbf{1}\right) a_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} f(x_{i}) a_{i} - f(0)\mathbf{1}.$$

By setting  $g(x) = f((\beta - \alpha)x + \alpha)$  one may reduce the statement for operator convex functions defined on an arbitrary interval  $[\alpha, \beta)$  to operator convex functions defined on the interval [0, 1).

B.Mond and J.Pečarić, Converses of Jensen's inequality for several operators, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx. 23 (1994), 179–183. proved

Jensen's operator inequality:

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \Phi_i(x_i)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \Phi_i(f(x_i))$$

holds for operator convex functions f defined on an interval I, where  $\Phi_i : B(H) \longrightarrow B(K)$  are unital positive linear maps,  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are self-adjoint operators with spectra in I and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  are non-negative real numbers with sum one.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In

 F.Hansen and G.K.Pederson, *Jensen's operator inequality*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **35** (2003), 553–564.
 a version of (5) is given for continuous fields of operators.

Next, we review the basic concepts of continuous fields of (bounded linear) operators on a Hilbert space and fields of positive linear mappings, which will recur throughout the talk.

4 D N 4 B N 4 B N 4 B N

Let *T* be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra of operators on some Hilbert space *H*. We say that a field  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  of operators in  $\mathcal{A}$  is continuous if the function  $t \mapsto x_t$  is norm continuous on *T*. If in addition  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on *T* and the function  $t \mapsto ||x_t||$  is integrable, then we can form *the Bochner integral*  $\int_T x_t d\mu(t)$ , which is the unique element in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\varphi\left(\int_{T} x_t \, d\mu(t)\right) = \int_{T} \varphi(x_t) \, d\mu(t)$$

for every linear functional  $\varphi$  in the norm dual  $\mathcal{A}^*$ .

Assume furthermore that  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is a field of positive linear mappings  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to another  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of operators on a Hilbert space K. We say that such a field is continuous if the function  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(x)$  is continuous for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . If the  $C^*$ -algebras include the identity operators, and the field  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(1)$  is integrable with integral equals 1, we say that  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is *unital*.

### Finally,

F.Hansen, J.Pečarić and I.Perić, *Jensen's operator inequality and its converse*, Math. Scad., **100** (2007), 61–73.

find an inequality which contains the previous inequalities as special cases:

### Theorem

Let  $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$  be an operator convex functions defined on an interval *I*, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a unital *C*<sup>\*</sup>-algebras. If  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  is a unital field of positive linear mappings  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space *T* with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ , then

$$f\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) \, d\mu(t)\right) \leq \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(f(x_t)) \, d\mu(t) \tag{6}$$

holds for every bounded continuous fields  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  of self-adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  with spectra contained in *I*.

### 1.2. Overview of the Kantorovich inequality

The story of the Kantorovich inequality is a very interesting example how a mathematician makes mathematics. It provides a deep insight into how a principle raised from the Kantorovich inequality develops in the field of operator inequality on a Hilbert space, perhaps more importantly, it has given new way of thinking and methods in operator theory, noncommutative differential geometry, quantum information theory and noncommutative probability theory.

### **Classical Kantorovich inequality**

L.V.Kantorovich, *Functional analysis and applied mathematics (in Russian)*, Uspechi Mat. Nauk., **3** (1948), 89–185.

The inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k u_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k^{-1} u_k^2 \le \frac{1}{4} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}} \right]^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^2 \right)^2$$

holds, where m and M being the bounds of the numbers  $\gamma_k$  $0 < m \leq \gamma_k \leq M$ .

In the same paper he gave an operator version of (7):

If an operator A on H is positive such that  $m1 \le A \le M1$  for some scalars 0 < m < M, then

$$\frac{(x,x)^2}{(Ax,x)(A^{-1}x,x)} \ge \frac{4}{\left[\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}\right]^2}$$

holds for every nonzero vector x in H.

The inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k u_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k^{-1} u_k^2 \le \frac{1}{4} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}} \right]^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^2 \right)^2$$

holds, where m and M being the bounds of the numbers  $\gamma_k$  $0 < m \leq \gamma_k \leq M$ .

In the same paper he gave an operator version of (7):

If an operator A on H is positive such that  $m\mathbf{1} \le A \le M\mathbf{1}$  for some scalars 0 < m < M, then

$$\frac{(x,x)^2}{(Ax,x)(A^{-1}x,x)} \ge \frac{4}{\left[\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}\right]^2}$$

holds for every nonzero vector x in H.

(8)

Kantorovich says in the footnote of his paper that (7) is a special case of Pólya-Szegö inequality given in the book about problems and theorems from calculus; Aufgaben 92 in:

G.Pólya and G.Szegö, "Aufgaben und Lehrsötze aus der Analysis", Springer-Verlag, 1, Berlin, 1925.

If the real number  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  (k = 1, ..., n) fulfill the conditions  $0 < m_1 \le a_k \le M_1$  and  $0 < m_2 \le b_k \le M_2$  then

$$1 \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{2}}{\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} b_{k}\right]^{2}} \leq \frac{(M_{1}M_{2} + m_{1}m_{2})^{2}}{4m_{1}m_{2}M_{1}M_{2}}$$

We remark that the Kantorovich constant has the form

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}+\sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}\right)^2=\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}.$$

(9)

Kantorovich says in the footnote of his paper that (7) is a special case of Pólya-Szegö inequality given in the book about problems and theorems from calculus; Aufgaben 92 in:

G.Pólya and G.Szegö, "Aufgaben und Lehrsötze aus der Analysis", Springer-Verlag, 1, Berlin, 1925.

If the real number  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  (k = 1, ..., n) fulfill the conditions  $0 < m_1 \le a_k \le M_1$  and  $0 < m_2 \le b_k \le M_2$  then

$$1 \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{2}}{\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} b_{k}\right]^{2}} \leq \frac{(M_{1}M_{2} + m_{1}m_{2})^{2}}{4m_{1}m_{2}M_{1}M_{2}}.$$

We remark that the Kantorovich constant has the form

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}+\sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}\right)^2=\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}.$$

(9)

In the first paper which is devoted to Kantorovich inequality

W.Greub and W.Rheinboldt, On a generalization of an inequality of L.V. Kantorovich, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 10 (1959), 407–415.
 it is written: "Examining the relation between the two inequalities more closely we found that this remark is well justified and can be made even more specific in that the inequality of Pólya - Szegö in the form (9) is special case of the Kantorovich inequality (7)."

They proved that the generalized Pólya-Szegö inequality:

$$(Ax, Ax)(Bx, Bx) \le \frac{(M_1M_2 + m_1m_2)^2}{4m_1m_2M_1M_2}(Ax, Bx)^2$$
 holds for all  $x \in H$ ,

where A and B are commuting self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space H such that  $0 < m_1 \mathbf{1} \le A \le M_1 \mathbf{1}$  and  $0 < m_2 \mathbf{1} \le B \le M_2 \mathbf{1}$  is equivalent to the Kantorovich inequality:

# $(x,x)^2 \le (Ax,x)(A^{-1}x,x) \le \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}(x,x)^2$ holds for all $x \in H$ ,

where A is a self-adjoint operator on H such that  $Q \leq m_1 \leq A \leq M_1$ .

In the first paper which is devoted to Kantorovich inequality

W.Greub and W.Rheinboldt, On a generalization of an inequality of L.V. Kantorovich, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 10 (1959), 407–415.
 it is written: "Examining the relation between the two inequalities more closely we found that this remark is well justified and can be made even more specific in that the inequality of Pólya - Szegö in the form (9) is special case of the Kantorovich inequality (7)."
 They proved that the generalized Pólya-Szegö inequality:

$$(Ax, Ax)(Bx, Bx) \le \frac{(M_1M_2 + m_1m_2)^2}{4m_1m_2M_1M_2}(Ax, Bx)^2$$
 holds for all  $x \in H$ ,

where *A* and *B* are commuting self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space *H* such that  $0 < m_1 \mathbf{1} \le A \le M_1 \mathbf{1}$  and  $0 < m_2 \mathbf{1} \le B \le M_2 \mathbf{1}$  is equivalent to the Kantorovich inequality:

$$(x,x)^2 \le (Ax,x)(A^{-1}x,x) \le \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}(x,x)^2 \quad \text{holds for all } x \in H,$$

where A is a self-adjoint operator on H such that  $0 < m1 \le A \le M1$ .

After the paper due to Greub and Rheinboldt was published, mathematicians concentrated their energies on the generalization of the Kantorovich inequality and the way to an even simpler proof. We will cite only some of them.



$$|(Tx,y)(x,T^{-1}y)| \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}(x,x)(y,y) \quad \text{for all } x,y \in H.$$

MIA2010 16/88

After the paper due to Greub and Rheinboldt was published, mathematicians concentrated their energies on the generalization of the Kantorovich inequality and the way to an even simpler proof. We will cite only some of them.

In 1960, one year after, in

 W.G.Strang, On the Kantorovich inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 11 (1960), p. 468.
 proved a generalization:

If T is an arbitrary invertible operator on H, and ||T|| = M,  $||T^{-1}|| = m$ , then

$$|(Tx,y)(x,T^{-1}y)| \leq rac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}(x,x)(y,y) \qquad ext{for all } x,y\in H.$$

Furthermore, the bound is best possible.

MIA2010 16 / 88

A.H. Schopf, On the Kantorovich inequality, Numerische Mathematik, 2 (1960), 344-346:

Let  $\Gamma$  be any nonzero complex number, let  $R = |\Gamma|$ , and let  $0 \le r \le R$ . Let A be an operator on H such that  $|A - \Gamma[A]|^2 \le r^2[A]$ , where [A] is the range projection of A. Let  $u \in B(K, H)$  be an operator such that  $u^*[A]u$  is a projection. Then

$$(R^2 - r^2)u^*A^*Au \le R^2(u^*A^*u)(u^*Au).$$



M.Nakamura, *A remark on a paper of Greub and Rheiboldt*, Proc. Japon. Acad., **36** (1960), 198–199.:

For 0 < m < M, the following inequality holds true;

$$\int_{m}^{M} t d\mu(t) \cdot \int_{m}^{M} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) \le \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$$

for any positive Stieltjes measure  $\mu$  on [m,M] with  $\|\mu\| = 1$ 

Jensen's inequality and its converses

A.H. Schopf, On the Kantorovich inequality, Numerische Mathematik, 2 (1960), 344-346:

Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be any nonzero complex number, let  $R = |\Gamma|$ , and let  $0 \le r \le R$ . Let A be an operator on H such that  $|A - \Gamma[A]|^2 \le r^2[A]$ , where [A] is the range projection of A. Let  $u \in B(K, H)$  be an operator such that  $u^*[A]u$  is a projection. Then

$$(R^2 - r^2)u^*A^*Au \le R^2(u^*A^*u)(u^*Au).$$

M.Nakamura, *A remark on a paper of Greub and Rheiboldt*, Proc. Japon. Acad., **36** (1960), 198–199.:

For 0 < m < M, the following inequality holds true;

$$\int_{m}^{M} t d\mu(t) \cdot \int_{m}^{M} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$$

for any positive Stieltjes measure  $\mu$  on [m, M] with  $\|\mu\| = 1$ .

A I > A I >
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

B.C.Rennie, *An inequality which includes that of Kantorovich*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 982.

Rennie improved a function version of the Kantorovich inequality due to Nakamura:

Let f be a measurable function on the probability space such that  $0 < m \le f(x) \le M$ . Then

$$\int \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \int f(x) dx \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4mM}.$$

B.Mond, A matrix version of Rennie's generalization of Kantorovich's inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1965), 1131.
 Mond considered a matrix type of the Kantorovich inequality:
 Let A be a positive definite Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues λ<sub>1</sub> ≥ λ<sub>2</sub> ≥ ··· ≥ λ<sub>n</sub> > 0. Then

$$(A^{-1}x,x)(Ax,x) \leq \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_n)^2}{4\lambda_1\lambda_n}.$$

A B A A B A

B.C.Rennie, *An inequality which includes that of Kantorovich*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 982.

Rennie improved a function version of the Kantorovich inequality due to Nakamura:

Let f be a measurable function on the probability space such that  $0 < m \le f(x) \le M$ . Then

$$\int \frac{1}{f(x)} dx \int f(x) dx \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4mM}.$$

B.Mond, A matrix version of Rennie's generalization of Kantorovich's inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1965), 1131.
 Mond considered a matrix type of the Kantorovich inequality: Let A be a positive definite Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues λ<sub>1</sub> ≥ λ<sub>2</sub> ≥ ··· ≥ λ<sub>n</sub> > 0. Then

$$(A^{-1}x,x)(Ax,x) \leq \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_n)^2}{4\lambda_1\lambda_n}.$$

4 = > 4 = >

Ky Fan, *Some matrix inequalities*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **29** (1966), 185–196. improved a generalization of the Kantorovich inequality for  $f(t) = t^p$  with *p* ∈  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

Let A be a positive definite Hermitian matrix of order n with all its eigenvalues contained in the close interval [m, M], where 0 < m < M. Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  be an finite number of vectors in the unitary n-space such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} ||x_j||^2 = 1$ . Then for every integer  $p \neq 0,1$  (not necessarily positive), we have

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} (A^{p} x_{j}, x_{j})}{\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} (A x_{j}, x_{j})\right]^{p}} \leq \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{p^{p}} \frac{(M^{p}-m^{p})^{p}}{(M-m)(mM^{p}-Mm^{p})^{p-1}}.$$

MIA2010 19/88

### 1.3. Mond-Pečarić method

Afterwards, in the flow of a generalization by Ky Fan, and a reverse of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality by Specht, Mond and Pečarić give definitely the meaning of "Kantorovich inequality". In 1990s, Mond and Pečarić formulate various reverses of Jensen's type inequalities. Here, it may be said that the positioning of Kantorovich inequality becomes clear for the first time in operator theory. Furthermore, they find the viewpoint of the reverse for means behind Kantorovich inequality, that is to say, Kantorovich inequality is the reverse of the arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality.

In a long research series, Mond and Pečarić established the method which gives the reverse to Jensen inequality associated with convex functions. The principle yields a rich harvest in a field of operator inequalities. We call it **the Mond-Pečarić method** for convex functions. One of the most important attributes of Mond-Pečarić method is to offer a totally new viewpoint in the field of operator inequalities.

MIA2010 20 / 88

### 1.3. Mond-Pečarić method

Afterwards, in the flow of a generalization by Ky Fan, and a reverse of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality by Specht, Mond and Pečarić give definitely the meaning of "Kantorovich inequality". In 1990s, Mond and Pečarić formulate various reverses of Jensen's type inequalities. Here, it may be said that the positioning of Kantorovich inequality becomes clear for the first time in operator theory. Furthermore, they find the viewpoint of the reverse for means behind Kantorovich inequality, that is to say, Kantorovich inequality is the reverse of the arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality.

In a long research series, Mond and Pečarić established the method which gives the reverse to Jensen inequality associated with convex functions. The principle yields a rich harvest in a field of operator inequalities. We call it **the Mond-Pečarić method** for convex functions. One of the most important attributes of Mond-Pečarić method is to offer a totally new viewpoint in the field of operator inequalities.

Image: A matrix

Here, we shall present the principle of Mond-Pečarić method:

### Theorem

Let A be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space H such that  $m\mathbf{1} \le A \le M\mathbf{1}$  for some scalars  $m \le M$ . If f is a convex function on [m, M] such that f > 0 on [m, M], then

$$(f(A)x,x) \leq K(m,M,f)f((Ax,x))$$

for every unit vector  $x \in H$ , where

$$\mathcal{K}(m,M,f) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{f(t)}\left(\frac{f(M)-f(m)}{M-m}(t-m)+f(m)\right): m \leq t \leq M\right\}.$$

### Proof

Since f(t) is convex on [m, M], we have

$$f(t) \leq \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}(t - m) + f(m) \quad \text{for all } t \in [m, M].$$

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

Here, we shall present the principle of Mond-Pečarić method:

### Theorem

Let A be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space H such that  $m\mathbf{1} \le A \le M\mathbf{1}$  for some scalars  $m \le M$ . If f is a convex function on [m, M] such that f > 0 on [m, M], then

$$(f(A)x,x) \leq K(m,M,f)f((Ax,x))$$

for every unit vector  $x \in H$ , where

$$K(m,M,f) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{f(t)}\left(\frac{f(M)-f(m)}{M-m}(t-m)+f(m)\right): m \le t \le M\right\}.$$

### Proof

Since f(t) is convex on [m, M], we have

$$f(t) \leq rac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}(t - m) + f(m)$$
 for all  $t \in [m, M]$ .
Using the operator calculus, it follows that

$$f(A) \leq \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}(A - m) + f(m)\mathbf{1}$$

and hence

$$(f(A)x,x) \le \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}((Ax,x) - m) + f(m)$$

for every unit vector  $x \in H$ . Divide both sides by f((Ax, x)) (> 0), and we get

$$\frac{(f(A)x,x)}{f((Ax,x))} \leq \frac{\frac{f(M)-f(m)}{M-m}((Ax,x)-m)+f(m)}{f((Ax,x))}$$
$$\leq \max\left\{\frac{1}{f(t)}\left(\frac{f(M)-f(m)}{M-m}(t-m)+f(m)\right): m \leq t \leq M\right\},\$$

since  $m \leq (Ax, x) \leq M$ .

Moreover, under a general situation, we state explicitly the heart of Mond-Pečarić method:

# Theorem

Let  $f : [m, M] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be a convex continuous function, I an interval such that  $I \supset f([m, M])$  and A a self-adjoint operator such that  $m\mathbf{1} \le A \le M\mathbf{1}$  for some scalars m < M. If F(u, v) is a real function defined on  $I \times I$ , F is bounded and non-decreasing in u, then

$$F[(f(A)x,x),f((Ax,x))] \le \max_{t \in [m,M]} F\left[\frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}(t - m) + f(m), f(t)\right] \\ = \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} F[\theta f(m) + (1 - \theta)f(M), f(\theta m + (1 - \theta)M)]$$

for every unit vector  $x \in H$ .

Next, we use the standard notation for a real valued continuous function  $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\alpha_f := (f(M) - f(m))/(M - m)$  and  $\beta_f := (Mf(m) - mf(M))/(M - m)$ .

MIA2010 23 / 88

Moreover, under a general situation, we state explicitly the heart of Mond-Pečarić method:

# Theorem

Let  $f : [m, M] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be a convex continuous function, I an interval such that  $I \supset f([m, M])$  and A a self-adjoint operator such that  $m\mathbf{1} \le A \le M\mathbf{1}$  for some scalars m < M. If F(u, v) is a real function defined on  $I \times I$ , F is bounded and non-decreasing in u, then

$$F[(f(A)x,x),f((Ax,x))] \leq \max_{t \in [m,M]} F\left[\frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}(t - m) + f(m), f(t)\right]$$
$$= \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} F[\theta f(m) + (1 - \theta)f(M), f(\theta m + (1 - \theta)M)]$$

for every unit vector  $x \in H$ .

Next, we use the standard notation for a real valued continuous function  $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\alpha_f := (f(M) - f(m))/(M - m)$  and  $\beta_f := (Mf(m) - mf(M))/(M - m)$ .

Using the Mond-Pečarić method, F.Hansen, J.Pečarić and I.Perić generalized the previous inequality similar to what they made with Jensen's inequality.

## Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  be a bounded continuous field of self-adjoint elements in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with spectra in [m, M] defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space T equipped with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ , and let  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be a unital field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to another unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $f, g : [m, M] \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $F : U \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions such that  $f([m, M]) \subset U, g([m, M]) \subset V$  and F is bounded. If F is operator monotone in the first variable and f is convex in the interval [m, M], then

$$\mathsf{F}\left[\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(f(x_t)) \, d\mu(t), g\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t)\right)\right] \leq \sup_{m \leq z \leq M} \mathsf{F}\left[\alpha_f z + \beta_f, g(z)\right] \mathbf{1}.$$

In the dual case (when f is operator concave) the opposite inequality holds with sup instead of inf.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

# Books about the Mond-Pečarić method

T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities Monographs in Inequalities 1, Element, Zagreb, 2008

M. Fujii, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo Recent development of Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities manuscript, 2010.

# Books about the Mond-Pečarić method

T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities Monographs in Inequalities 1, Element, Zagreb, 2005.

M. Fujii, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo Recent development of Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities manuscript, 2010.

MIA2010 25 / 88

A D N A B N A B N A B N

# 1.4. Goal of the lecture

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras on a Hilbert spaces H and K. The goal of this lecture is to present a generalization of Jensen's operator inequality and its converses for fields of positive linear mappings  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\int_T \phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k.

At first we give general formulations of Jensen's operator inequality and it's converses. As a consequence, difference and ratio type of converses of Jensen's operator inequality are obtained.

In addition, we discuss the order among quasi-arithmetic means in a general setting. As an application we get some comparison theorems for power functions and power means.

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

# 1.4. Goal of the lecture

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras on a Hilbert spaces H and K. The goal of this lecture is to present a generalization of Jensen's operator inequality and its converses for fields of positive linear mappings  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\int_T \phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k.

At first we give general formulations of Jensen's operator inequality and it's converses. As a consequence, difference and ratio type of converses of Jensen's operator inequality are obtained.

In addition, we discuss the order among quasi-arithmetic means in a general setting. As an application we get some comparison theorems for power functions and power means.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

# 1.4. Goal of the lecture

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras on a Hilbert spaces H and K. The goal of this lecture is to present a generalization of Jensen's operator inequality and its converses for fields of positive linear mappings  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\int_T \phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k.

At first we give general formulations of Jensen's operator inequality and it's converses. As a consequence, difference and ratio type of converses of Jensen's operator inequality are obtained.

In addition, we discuss the order among quasi-arithmetic means in a general setting. As an application we get some comparison theorems for power functions and power means.

# 2.1. Generalization of Jensen's inequality

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras on H and K respectively. Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  be a bounded continuous field of self-adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  with spectra in an interval I defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space T equipped with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ . Furthermore, let  $(\phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be a field of positive linear maps  $\phi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ , such that the field  $t \mapsto \phi_t(\mathbf{1})$  is integrable with  $\int_T \phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k. Then the inequality

$$f\left(\frac{1}{k}\int_{\mathcal{T}}\phi_t(x_t)\,d\mu(t)\right) \leq \frac{1}{k}\int_{\mathcal{T}}\phi_t(f(x_t))\,d\mu(t) \tag{10}$$

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

**MIA2010** 

27/88

holds for each operator convex function  $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$  defined on I. In the dual case (when f is operator concave) the opposite inequality holds in (10).

## Proof

The function  $t \mapsto \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(x_t) \in \mathcal{B}$  is continuous and bounded, hence integrable with respect to the bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ . Furthermore, the integral is an element in the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{B})$ acting on K and we may organize the set  $CB(T, \mathcal{A})$  of bounded continuous functions on T with values in  $\mathcal{A}$  as a normed involutive algebra by applying the point-wise operations with

$$\|(\mathbf{y}_t)_{t\in T}\| = \sup_{t\in T} \|\mathbf{y}_t\| \qquad (\mathbf{y}_t)_{t\in T} \in CB(T, \mathcal{A}),$$

The norm is already complete and satisfy the  $C^*$ -identity. It follows that  $f((x_t)_{t\in T}) = (f(x_t))_{t\in T}$ . Then the mapping  $\pi : CB(T, \mathcal{A}) \to M(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq B(K)$  defined by

$$\pi((\mathbf{x}_t)_{t\in T}) = \int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \, d\mu(t),$$

is a unital positive linear map. Using a Schwarz inequality we obtain the statement of the theorem. We remark that if  $\Phi(\mathbf{1}) = k\mathbf{1}$ , for some positive scalar *k* and *f* is an operator convex function, then  $f(\Phi(A)) \leq \Phi(f(A))$  is not true in general.

## Example

A map  $\Phi : M_2(M_2(\mathbb{C})) \to M_2(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$  defined by

$$\Phi\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0\\0 & B\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}A+B & 0\\0 & A+B\end{array}\right) \quad \text{for } A, B \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

is a positive linear map and  $\Phi(I) = 2I$ . We put  $f(t) = t^2$  and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then}$$
$$f\left(\Phi\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right) - \Phi\left(f\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \ge 0.$$

We remark that if  $\Phi(\mathbf{1}) = k\mathbf{1}$ , for some positive scalar *k* and *f* is an operator convex function, then  $f(\Phi(A)) \le \Phi(f(A))$  is not true in general.

## Example

A map  $\Phi: M_2(M_2(\mathbb{C})) \to M_2(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$  defined by

$$\Phi\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0\\ 0 & B\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}A+B & 0\\ 0 & A+B\end{array}\right) \quad \text{for } A, B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

is a positive linear map and  $\Phi(I) = 2I$ . We put  $f(t) = t^2$  and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then}$$
$$f\left(\Phi\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{array}\right)\right) - \Phi\left(f\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{array}\right)\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq 0.$$

# 2.2. Generalization of converses of Jensen's inequality

We obtain a result of the Li-Mathias type:

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  and  $(\phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in § 2.1.,  $f : [m, M] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : [km, kM] \to \mathbb{R}$ and  $F : U \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  be functions such that  $(kf)([m, M]) \subset U$ ,  $g([km, kM]) \subset V$  and F is bounded. If F is operator monotone in the first variable, then

$$\inf_{\substack{km \le z \le kM}} F\left[k \cdot h_1\left(\frac{1}{k}z\right), g(z)\right] \mathbf{1}$$

$$\leq F\left[\int_T \phi_t(f(x_t)) d\mu(t), g\left(\int_T \phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t)\right)\right]$$

$$\leq \sup_{\substack{km \le z \le kM}} F\left[k \cdot h_2\left(\frac{1}{k}z\right), g(z)\right] \mathbf{1}$$
(11)

holds for every operator convex function  $h_1$  on [m, M] such that  $h_1 \leq f$ and for every operator concave function  $h_2$  on [m, M] such that  $h_2 \geq f$ .

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

#### Proof

We only prove RHS. Let  $h_2$  be operator concave function and  $\leq h_2$  on [m, M]. Thus,  $f(x_t) \leq h_2(x_t)$  for every  $t \in T$ . Then

$$\int_{T} \Phi_t(f(x_t)) d\mu(t) \leq \int_{T} \Phi_t(h_2(x_t)) d\mu(t).$$

Furthermore, the generalized Jensen's inequality gives  $\int_{T} \Phi_t(f(x_t)) d\mu(t) \le k \cdot h_2\left(\frac{1}{k} \int_{T} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t)\right).$ Using operator monotonicity of  $F(\cdot, v)$ , we obtain

$$F\left[\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(f(x_{t})) d\mu(t), g\left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t)\right)\right]$$
  

$$\leq F\left[k \cdot h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{k}\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t)\right), g\left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t)\right)\right]$$
  

$$\leq \sup_{km \leq z \leq kM} F\left[k \cdot h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{k}z\right), g(z)\right] \mathbf{1}. \Box$$

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Applying RHS of (11) for a convex function f (or LHS of (11) for a concave function f) we obtain the following theorem:

## Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in § 2.1.,  $f : [m, M] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : [km, kM] \to \mathbb{R}$ and  $F : U \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  be functions such that  $(kf)([m, M]) \subset U$ ,  $g([km, kM]) \subset V$  and F is bounded. If F is operator monotone in the first variable and f is convex in the interval [m, M], then

$$F\left[\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(f(x_{t})) d\mu(t), g\left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t)\right)\right] \leq \sup_{km \leq z \leq kM} F\left[\alpha_{f} z + \beta_{f} k, g(z)\right] \mathbf{1}$$
(12)

In the dual case (when f is concave) the opposite inequality holds in (12) with inf instead of sup.

#### Proof

If f is convex the inequality  $f(z) \le \alpha_f z + \beta_f$  holds for every  $z \in [m, M]$ and we put  $h_2(z) = \alpha_f z + \beta_f$  in RHS of (11). Applying RHS of (11) for a convex function f (or LHS of (11) for a concave function f) we obtain the following theorem:

# Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in § 2.1.,  $f : [m, M] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : [km, kM] \to \mathbb{R}$ and  $F : U \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  be functions such that  $(kf)([m, M]) \subset U$ ,  $g([km, kM]) \subset V$  and F is bounded. If F is operator monotone in the first variable and f is convex in the interval [m, M], then

$$F\left[\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(f(x_t)) d\mu(t), g\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t)\right)\right] \le \sup_{km \le z \le kM} F\left[\alpha_f z + \beta_f k, g(z)\right] \mathbf{1}$$
(12)

In the dual case (when f is concave) the opposite inequality holds in (12) with inf instead of sup.

## Proof

If f is convex the inequality  $f(z) \le \alpha_f z + \beta_f$  holds for every  $z \in [m, M]$ and we put  $h_2(z) = \alpha_f z + \beta_f$  in RHS of (11). Applying this theorem for the function  $F(u, v) = u - \lambda v$ , we obtain:

# Corollary

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in § 2.1. and f be convex. (i) If  $\lambda g$  is convex differentiable, then

$$\int_{T} \Phi_t(f(x_t)) d\mu(t) \leq \lambda g\left(\int_{T} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t)\right) + C\mathbf{1}, \quad (13)$$

where  $C = \alpha_f z_0 + \beta_f k - \lambda g(z_0)$ ,  $z_0 = g'^{-1}(\alpha_f/\lambda)$  for  $\lambda g'(km) \le \alpha_f \le \lambda g'(kM)$ ;  $z_0 = km$  for  $\lambda g'(km) \ge \alpha_f$ , and  $z_0 = kM$  for  $\lambda g'(kM) \le \alpha_f$ .

(ii) If  $\lambda g$  is concave differentiable, then the constant C in (13) can be written more precisely as

$$C = \begin{cases} \alpha_f kM + \beta_f k - \lambda g(kM) & \text{for } \alpha_f - \lambda \alpha_{g,k} \ge 0, \\ \alpha_f km + \beta_f k - \lambda g(km) & \text{for } \alpha_f - \lambda \alpha_{g,k} \le 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_{g,k} = \frac{g(kM) - g(km)}{kM - km}$ .

# Setting $\Phi_t(A_t) = \langle A_t \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle$ for $\xi_t \in H$ and $t \in T$ in this corollary, then:

# Corollary

Let  $(A_t)_{t\in T}$  be a continuous field of positive operators on a Hilbert space H defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space T equipped with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ . We assume the spectra are in [m, M] for some 0 < m < M. Let furthermore  $(\xi_t)_{t\in T}$  be a continuous field of vectors in H such that  $\int_T ||\xi_t||^2 d\mu(t) = k$  for some scalar k > 0. Then for any real  $\lambda, q, p$ 

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle A_t^{\mathcal{P}} \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle d\mu(t) - \lambda \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle A_t \xi_t, \xi_t \rangle d\mu(t) \right)^q \leq C,$$
(14)

where the constant  $C \equiv C(\lambda, m, M, p, q, k)$  is

$$C = \begin{cases} (q-1)\lambda \left(\frac{\alpha_p}{\lambda q}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} + \beta_p k & \text{for } \lambda q m^{q-1} \le \frac{\alpha_p}{k^{q-1}} \le \lambda q M^{q-1}, \\ kM^p - \lambda (kM)^q & \text{for } \frac{\alpha_p}{k^{q-1}} \ge \lambda q M^{q-1}, \\ km^p - \lambda (km)^q & \text{for } \frac{\alpha_p}{k^{q-1}} \le \lambda q m^{q-1}, \end{cases}$$
(15)

in the case  $\lambda q(q-1) > 0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0,1)$ 

or

$$C = \begin{cases} kM^p - \lambda(kM)^q & \text{for } \alpha_p - \lambda k^{q-1}\alpha_q \ge 0, \\ km^p - \lambda(km)^q & \text{for } \alpha_p - \lambda k^{q-1}\alpha_q \le 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

in the case  $\lambda q(q-1) < 0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0,1)$ .

In the dual case:  $\lambda q(q-1) < 0$  and  $p \in (0,1)$  the opposite inequality holds in (14) with the opposite condition while determining the constant *C* in (15). But in the dual case:  $\lambda q(q-1) > 0$  and  $p \in (0,1)$  the opposite inequality holds in (14) with the opposite condition while determining the constant *C* in (16).

Constants  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  in terms above are the constants  $\alpha_f$  and  $\beta_f$  associated with the function  $f(z) = z^p$ , i.e.

$$\alpha_{p} = \frac{M^{p} - m^{p}}{M - m}, \quad \beta_{p} = \frac{M m^{p} - m M^{p}}{M - m}$$

6)

Setting  $\Phi_t(A_t) = \langle \exp(rA_t)\xi_t, \xi_t \rangle$  for  $\xi_t \in H$  and  $t \in T$  we have:

# Corollary

Let  $(A_t)_{t\in T}$  and  $(\xi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in previous corollary. Then for any real number  $r \neq 0$  we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle \exp(rA_t)\xi_t, \xi_t \rangle d\mu(t) - \exp\left(r \int_{\mathcal{T}} \langle A_t\xi_t, \xi_t \rangle d\mu(t)\right) \leq C_1, \quad (17)$$

$$\int_{T} \langle \exp(rA_t)\xi_t, \xi_t \rangle d\mu(t) \leq C_2 \, \exp\left(r \int_{T} \langle A_t\xi_t, \xi_t \rangle d\mu(t)\right), \quad (18)$$

where constants  $C_1 \equiv C_1(r, m, M, k)$  and  $C_2 \equiv C_2(r, m, M, k)$  are

$$C_{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{r} \ln\left(\frac{\alpha}{re}\right) + k\beta & \text{for } re^{rkm} \leq \alpha \leq re^{rkM}, \\ kM\alpha + k\beta - e^{rkM} & \text{for } re^{rkM} \leq \alpha, \\ km\alpha + k\beta - e^{rkm} & \text{for } re^{rkm} \geq \alpha \end{cases}$$

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

$$C_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{re} e^{kr\beta/\alpha} & \text{for } kre^{rm} \leq \alpha \leq kre^{rM}, \\ ke^{(1-k)rm} & \text{for } kre^{rm} \geq \alpha, \\ ke^{(1-k)rM} & \text{for } kre^{rM} \leq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in terms above are the constants  $\alpha_f$  and  $\beta_f$  associated with the function  $f(z) = e^{rz}$ , i.e.

$$\alpha = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{rM} - \mathrm{e}^{rm}}{M - m}, \quad \beta_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{M \, \mathrm{e}^{rm} - m \, \mathrm{e}^{rM}}{M - m}.$$

Applying the inequality  $f(x) \leq \frac{M-x}{M-m}f(m) + \frac{x-m}{M-m}f(M)$  (for a convex function *f* on [m, M]) to positive operators  $(A_t)_{t \in T}$  and using  $0 < A_t \leq ||A_t||$ **1**, we obtain the following theorem, which is a generalization of results from



R. Drnovšek, T. Kosem, *Inequalities between* f(||A||) and ||f(|A|)||, Math. Inequal. Appl. 8 (2005) 1–6.

$$C_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{re} e^{kr\beta/\alpha} & \text{for } kre^{rm} \leq \alpha \leq kre^{rM}, \\ ke^{(1-k)rm} & \text{for } kre^{rm} \geq \alpha, \\ ke^{(1-k)rM} & \text{for } kre^{rM} \leq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in terms above are the constants  $\alpha_f$  and  $\beta_f$  associated with the function  $f(z) = e^{rz}$ , i.e.

$$\alpha = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{rM} - \mathrm{e}^{rm}}{M - m}, \quad \beta_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{M \, \mathrm{e}^{rm} - m \, \mathrm{e}^{rM}}{M - m}.$$

Applying the inequality  $f(x) \le \frac{M-x}{M-m}f(m) + \frac{x-m}{M-m}f(M)$  (for a convex function *f* on [*m*,*M*]) to positive operators  $(A_t)_{t\in T}$  and using  $0 < A_t \le ||A_t|| \mathbf{1}$ , we obtain the following theorem, which is a generalization of results from

 R. Drnovšek, T. Kosem, *Inequalities between f(||A||) and ||f(|A|)||*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 8 (2005) 1–6.

#### Theorem

Let f be a convex function on  $[0,\infty)$  and let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a normalized unitarily invariant norm on B(H) for some finite dimensional Hilbert space H. Let  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , where K is a Hilbert space, defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space T equipped with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ . If the field  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(1)$  is integrable with  $\int_T \Phi_t(1) d\mu(t) = k1$  for some positive scalar k, then for every continuous field of positive operators  $(A_t)_{t\in T}$  we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(f(A_t)) \, d\mu(t) \leq k f(0) \mathbf{1} + \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{f(\|A_t\|) - f(0)}{\|A_t\|} \phi_t(A_t) \, d\mu(t).$$

Especially, for  $f(0) \le 0$ , the inequality

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(f(\mathcal{A}_t)) \, d\mu(t) \leq \int_{\mathcal{T}} rac{f(\|\mathcal{A}_t\|)}{\|\mathcal{A}_t\|} \phi_t(\mathcal{A}_t) \, d\mu(t).$$

is valid.

In the present context and by using **subdifferentials** we can give an application of the first theorem in this section.

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in § 2.1.,  $f : [m, M] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : [km, kM] \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $F : U \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  be functions such that  $(kf)([m, M]) \subset U$ ,  $g([km, kM]) \subset V$ , F is bounded and  $f(y) + I(y)(t - y) \in U$  for every  $y, t \in [m, M]$  where I is the subdifferential of f. If F is operator monotone in the first variable and f is convex on [m, M], then

$$F\left[\int_{T} \phi_{t}(f(x_{t})) d\mu(t), g\left(\int_{T} \phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t)\right)\right]$$
  

$$\geq \inf_{km \leq z \leq kM} F[f(y)k + I(y)(z - yk), g(z)]\mathbf{1}$$
(19)

holds for every  $y \in [m, M]$ . In the dual case (when f is concave) the opposite inequality holds in (19) with sup instead of inf.

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

In the present context and by using **subdifferentials** we can give an application of the first theorem in this section.

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in § 2.1.,  $f : [m, M] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : [km, kM] \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $F : U \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  be functions such that  $(kf)([m, M]) \subset U$ ,  $g([km, kM]) \subset V$ , F is bounded and  $f(y) + I(y)(t - y) \in U$  for every  $y, t \in [m, M]$  where I is the subdifferential of f. If F is operator monotone in the first variable and f is convex on [m, M], then

$$F\left[\int_{T} \phi_{t}(f(x_{t})) d\mu(t), g\left(\int_{T} \phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t)\right)\right]$$
  

$$\geq \inf_{km \leq z \leq kM} F[f(y)k + l(y)(z - yk), g(z)]\mathbf{1}$$
(19)

holds for every  $y \in [m, M]$ . In the dual case (when f is concave) the opposite inequality holds in (19) with sup instead of inf.

Though  $f(z) = \ln z$  is operator concave, the Schwarz inequality  $\phi(f(x)) \le f(\phi(x))$  does not hold in the case of non-unital  $\phi$ . Therefore we have the following application of results above.

## Corollary

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in § 2.1. and 0 < m < M. Then

$$C_1 \mathbf{1} \leq \int_T \Phi_t(\ln(x_t)) d\mu(t) - \ln\left(\int_T \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t)\right) \leq C_2 \mathbf{1},$$

$$C_{1} = \begin{cases} k\beta + \ln(e/L(m,M)) & \text{for } km \leq L(m,M) \leq kM, \\ \ln(M^{k-1}/k) & \text{for } kM \leq L(m,M), \\ \ln(m^{k-1}/k) & \text{for } km \geq L(m,M), \end{cases}$$
$$C_{2} = \begin{cases} \ln(\frac{L(m,M)^{k}k^{k-1}}{e^{k}m}) + \frac{m}{L(m,M)} & \text{for } m \leq kL(m,M) \leq M, \\ \ln(M^{k-1}/k) & \text{for } kL(m,M) \geq M, \\ \ln(m^{k-1}/k) & \text{for } kL(m,M) \leq m, \end{cases}$$

where L(m,M) is the logarithmic mean,  $\beta = \frac{M \ln m - m \ln M}{M - m}$ 

By using subdifferentials, we also give an application of the first theorem in this section.

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in § 2.1. and  $f : [m, M] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function then

$$f(y)k\mathbf{1} + I(y)\left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t})d\mu(t) - yk\mathbf{1}\right) \leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(f(x_{t}))d\mu(t)$$
$$\leq f(x)k\mathbf{1} - x\int_{T} \Phi_{t}(I(x_{t}))d\mu(t) + \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(I(x_{t})x_{t})d\mu(t)$$
(20)

for every  $x, y \in [m, M]$ , where *l* is the subdifferential of *f*. In the dual case (*f* is concave) the opposite inequality holds.

**Proof** Since *f* is convex we have  $f(x) \ge f(y) + l(y)(x - y)$  for every  $x, y \in [m, M]$ . Then  $f(x_t) \ge f(y)\mathbf{1} + l(y)(x_t - y\mathbf{1})$  for  $t \in T$ . Applying the positive linear maps  $\Phi_t$  and integrating, LHS of (20) follows. The RHS of (20) follows similarly by using the variable *y*.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

# 3. Quasi-arithmetic mean

# A generalized quasi-arithmetic operator mean:

$$M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi) := \varphi^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t)\right), \qquad (21)$$

under these conditions:  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  is a bounded continuous field of positive operators in a  $C^*$ -algebra B(H) with spectra in [m, M] for some scalars 0 < m < M,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , such that the field  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(1)$  is integrable with  $\int_T \Phi_t(1) d\mu(t) = k1$  for some positive scalar k and  $\varphi \in C[m, M]$  is a strictly monotone function.

This mean is well-defined, since  $m\mathbf{1} \le x_t \le M\mathbf{1}$  for every  $t \in T$ , then •  $\varphi(m) \mathbf{1} \le \int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t) \le \varphi(M) \mathbf{1}$  if  $\varphi$  is increasing, •  $\varphi(M) \mathbf{1} \le \int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t) \le \varphi(m) \mathbf{1}$  if  $\varphi$  is decreasing.

# 3. Quasi-arithmetic mean

# A generalized quasi-arithmetic operator mean:

$$M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi) := \varphi^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t)\right), \qquad (21)$$

under these conditions:  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  is a bounded continuous field of positive operators in a  $C^*$ -algebra B(H) with spectra in [m, M] for some scalars 0 < m < M,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , such that the field  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(\mathbf{1})$  is integrable with  $\int_T \Phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k and  $\varphi \in C[m, M]$  is a strictly monotone function.

This mean is well-defined, since  $m\mathbf{1} \le x_t \le M\mathbf{1}$  for every  $t \in T$ , then •  $\varphi(m) \mathbf{1} \le \int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t) \le \varphi(M) \mathbf{1}$  if  $\varphi$  is increasing, •  $\varphi(M) \mathbf{1} \le \int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t) \le \varphi(m) \mathbf{1}$  if  $\varphi$  is decreasing.

# 3. Quasi-arithmetic mean

# A generalized quasi-arithmetic operator mean:

$$M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi) := \varphi^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t)\right), \qquad (21)$$

under these conditions:  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  is a bounded continuous field of positive operators in a  $C^*$ -algebra B(H) with spectra in [m, M] for some scalars 0 < m < M,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , such that the field  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(\mathbf{1})$  is integrable with  $\int_T \Phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k and  $\varphi \in C[m, M]$  is a strictly monotone function.

This mean is well-defined, since  $m\mathbf{1} \le x_t \le M\mathbf{1}$  for every  $t \in T$ , then

- $\varphi(m) \mathbf{1} \leq \int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t) \leq \varphi(M) \mathbf{1}$  if  $\varphi$  is increasing,
- $\varphi(M) \mathbf{1} \leq \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t) \leq \varphi(m) \mathbf{1}$  if  $\varphi$  is decreasing.

# 3.1. Monotonicity

First, we study the monotonicity of quasi-arithmetic means.

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean (21). Let  $\psi, \varphi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions. If one of the following conditions is satisfied: (i)  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (i')  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (ii)  $\varphi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator concave,

then

$$M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi) \leq M_{\psi}(x,\Phi).$$

# If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(iii)  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (iii')  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (iv)  $\phi^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator convex, then

$$\psi^{-1}(\Phi(\psi(A))) \leq \varphi^{-1}(\Phi(\phi(A))).$$

# Proof

We will prove only the case (i) and (ii). (i) If we put  $f = \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  in the generalized Jensen's inequality • Generalized Jensen's ineq. and replace  $x_t$  with  $\varphi(x_t)$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{split} \psi \circ \varphi^{-1} \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) \, d\mu(t) \right) &\leq \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t\left( \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x_t)) \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\psi(x_t)) \, d\mu(t). \end{split}$$

# (continued)

Since  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, it follows

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}}\frac{1}{k}\Phi_t(\varphi(x_t))\,d\mu(t)\right)\leq \psi^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}}\frac{1}{k}\Phi_t(\psi(x_t))\,d\mu(t)\right)$$

(ii) Since  $\varphi^{-1}$  is operator convex, it follows that

$$\varphi^{-1}(\Phi(\varphi(A))) \leq \Phi(\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi(A)) = \Phi(A).$$

Similarly, since  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator concave, we have

$$\Phi(A) \leq \psi^{-1}(\Phi(\psi(A))).$$

Using two inequalities above, we have the means order in this case.  $\Box$ 

MIA2010 45 / 88

# Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean and  $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{C}[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions. Then

> $M_{\omega}(x,\Phi) = M_{\omega}(x,\Phi)$ for all  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$

if and only if

 $\phi = A\psi + B$ for some real numbers  $A \neq 0$  and B.



😪 R.Bhatia, Matrix Anaysis, Springer, New York, 1997.

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

# Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean and  $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{C}[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions. Then

> $M_{\omega}(x,\Phi) = M_{\omega}(x,\Phi)$ for all  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$

if and only if

 $\phi = A\psi + B$ for some real numbers  $A \neq 0$  and B.

There are many references about operator monotone or operator convex functions, see e.g.



New York, 1997. 8 R.Bhatia, Matrix Anaysis, Springer, New York, 1997.

M. Uchiyama, A new majorization between functions, polynomials, and operator inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 231 (2006), 231-244. Using this we have the following two corollaries.

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
#### Corollary

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  be a bounded continuous field of positive operators in a  $C^*$ -algebra B(H) with spectra in  $[m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , such that  $\int_T \Phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be continuous strictly monotone functions from  $[0, \infty)$  into itself. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  and  $\psi^{-1}$  are operator monotone,
- (ii)  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  is operator convex,  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}(0) = 0$  and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone,

then  $M_{\phi}(x, \Phi) \ge M_{\psi}(x, \Phi)$  holds.

#### Proof

In the case (i) we use the assertion that a real valued continuous function f on an interval  $I = [\alpha, \infty)$  and bounded below is operator monotone on I if and only if f is operator concave on I.

#### Corollary

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  be a bounded continuous field of positive operators in a  $C^*$ -algebra B(H) with spectra in  $[m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , such that  $\int_T \Phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be continuous strictly monotone functions from  $[0, \infty)$  into itself. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  and  $\psi^{-1}$  are operator monotone,
- (ii)  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  is operator convex,  $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}(0) = 0$  and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone,

then  $M_{\phi}(x, \Phi) \ge M_{\psi}(x, \Phi)$  holds.

#### Proof

In the case (i) we use the assertion that a real valued continuous function f on an interval  $I = [\alpha, \infty)$  and bounded below is operator monotone on I if and only if f is operator concave on I.

In the case (ii) we use the assertion that if *f* is a real valued function from  $[0,\infty)$  into itself such that f(0) = 0 and *f* is operator convex, then  $f^{-1}$  is operator monotone. It follows that  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator concave.  $\Box$ 

#### Corollary

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in previous corollary. Let  $\varphi(u) = \alpha u + \beta$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , and  $\psi$  be an strictly monotone function from  $[0,\infty)$  into itself. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $\psi$  is operator convex and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator concave,
- (ii)  $\psi$  is operator convex and  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi$  is operator concave,
- (iv)  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi^{-1}(0) = 0$ ,
- then  $M_{\phi}(x, \Phi) = M_1(x, \Phi) \le M_{\psi}(x, \Phi)$  holds.

In the case (ii) we use the assertion that if *f* is a real valued function from  $[0,\infty)$  into itself such that f(0) = 0 and *f* is operator convex, then  $f^{-1}$  is operator monotone. It follows that  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator concave.  $\Box$ 

#### Corollary

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in previous corollary. Let  $\varphi(u) = \alpha u + \beta$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , and  $\psi$  be an strictly monotone function from  $[0,\infty)$  into itself. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $\psi$  is operator convex and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator concave,
- (ii)  $\psi$  is operator convex and  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,

(iii)  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi$  is operator concave,

(iv)  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi^{-1}(0) = 0$ ,

then  $M_{\phi}(x, \Phi) = M_1(x, \Phi) \leq M_{\psi}(x, \Phi)$  holds.

## 3.2. Difference and ratio type inequalities

Next, we study difference and ratio type inequalities among quasi-arithmetic means. We investigate the estimates of these inequalities, i.e. we will determine real constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

 $M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) - M_{\phi}(x,\Phi) \leq \beta$  1 and  $M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \leq \alpha M_{\phi}(x,\Phi)$ 

# holds. With that in mind, we shall prove the following general result.

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean. Let  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions and  $F : [m, M] \times [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded and operator monotone function in its first variable.

▲ ■ → ■ → へへの
 MIA2010 49/88

## 3.2. Difference and ratio type inequalities

Next, we study difference and ratio type inequalities among quasi-arithmetic means. We investigate the estimates of these inequalities, i.e. we will determine real constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

 $M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) - M_{\phi}(x,\Phi) \leq \beta$  1 and  $M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \leq \alpha M_{\phi}(x,\Phi)$ 

holds. With that in mind, we shall prove the following general result.

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean. Let  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions and  $F : [m, M] \times [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded and operator monotone function in its first variable.

If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i)  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is convex and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (i')  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is concave and  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, then

$$F\left[M_{\psi}(x,\Phi),M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi)\right]$$
(22)  
$$\leq \sup_{0\leq\theta\leq 1}F\left[\psi^{-1}\left(\theta\psi(m)+(1-\theta)\psi(M),\varphi^{-1}\left(\theta\phi(m)+(1-\theta)\phi(M)\right)\right)\right] \mathbf{1}.$$

If one of the following conditions is satisfied: (ii)  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  is concave and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (ii')  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  is convex and  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, then the opposite inequality is valid in (22) with inf instead of sup.

4 D N 4 B N 4 B N

**MIA2010** 

50 / 88

#### Proof

We will prove only the case (i). By using the Mond-Pečarić method and the functional calculus, we obtain that

$$\Psi(x_t) \leq \frac{\varphi_M \mathbf{1} - \varphi(x_t)}{\varphi_M - \varphi_m} \Psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(\varphi_m) + \frac{\varphi(x_t) - \varphi_m \mathbf{1}}{\varphi_M - \varphi_m} \Psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(\varphi_M)$$

holds for every  $t \in T$ , where  $\varphi_m := \min\{\varphi(m), \varphi(M)\}, \varphi_M := \max\{\varphi(m), \varphi(M)\}$ . It follows

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\psi(x_t)) d\mu(t) \leq B \psi(m) + (\mathbf{1} - B) \psi(M),$$

where

$$B = \frac{\varphi(M)\mathbf{1} - \int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t)}{\varphi(M) - \varphi(m)}, \qquad 0 \le B \le \mathbf{1}.$$

We have  $M_{\psi}(x, \Phi) \leq \psi^{-1} (B\psi(m) + (\mathbf{1} - B)\psi(M))$  and  $M_{\varphi}(x, \Phi) = \varphi^{-1} (B\varphi(m) + (\mathbf{1} - B)\varphi(M))$ . Finally, using operator monotonicity of  $F(\cdot, v)$ , we obtain (22).

#### Corollary

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean. Let  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions and  $F : [m, M] \times [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded and operator monotone function in its first variable, such that F(z, z) = C for all  $z \in [m, M]$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i)  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (i')  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, then

$$F\left[M_{\Psi}(x,\Phi),M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi)\right] \ge C\mathbf{1} \tag{23}$$

#### holds.

If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(ii)  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (ii')  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, then the reverse inequality is valid in (23).

#### Corollary

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean. Let  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions and  $F : [m, M] \times [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded and operator monotone function in its first variable, such that F(z, z) = C for all  $z \in [m, M]$ . If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i)  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (i')  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, then

$$F\left[M_{\psi}(x,\Phi),M_{\phi}(x,\Phi)\right] \ge C\mathbf{1} \tag{23}$$

holds.

If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(ii)  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator concave and  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, (ii')  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone, then the reverse inequality is valid in (23).

#### Proof

Suppose (i) or (i'). Applying the monotonicity of quasi-arithmetic means, we have  $M_{\varphi}(x, \Phi) \leq M_{\psi}(x, \Phi)$ . Using assumptions about function *F*, it follows  $F \left[ M_{\psi}(x, \Phi), M_{\Theta}(x, \Phi) \right] \geq F \left[ M_{\varphi}(x, \Phi), M_{\varphi}(x, \Phi) \right] = C\mathbf{1}$ .

#### Remark

It is particularly interesting to observe inequalities when the function F in Theorem F(u,v) has the form F(u,v) = u - v and  $F(u,v) = v^{-1/2}uv^{-1/2}$  (v > 0). E.g. if (i) or (i') of this theorem is satisfied, then

$$M_{\Psi}(x,\Phi) \leq M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi)$$
  
+  $\sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \left\{ \Psi^{-1} \left( \Theta \Psi(m) + (1-\Theta) \Psi(M) \right) - \varphi^{-1} \left( \Theta \varphi(m) + (1-\Theta) \varphi(M) \right) \right\} \mathbf{1},$ 

If in addition  $\phi > 0$ ,then

$$M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \leq \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{\psi^{-1}\left(\theta\psi(m) + (1-\theta)\psi(M)\right)}{\varphi^{-1}\left(\theta\phi(m) + (1-\theta)\phi(M)\right)} \right\} M_{\phi}(x,\Phi).$$

We will investigate the above inequalities, with different assumptions. For this purpose, we introduce some notations for real valued continuous functions  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  $a_{\psi,\phi} = \frac{\psi(M) - \psi(m)}{\phi(M) - \phi(m)}, \quad b_{\psi,\phi} = \frac{M\psi(m) - M\psi(M)}{\phi(M) - \phi(m)}.$ 

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean and  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions. Let  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  be convex (resp. concave).

(i) If  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and subadditive (resp. superadditive) on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then

If in addition  $\phi > 0$ ,then

$$M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \leq \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{\psi^{-1}\left(\theta\psi(m) + (1-\theta)\psi(M)\right)}{\varphi^{-1}\left(\theta\phi(m) + (1-\theta)\phi(M)\right)} \right\} M_{\phi}(x,\Phi).$$

We will investigate the above inequalities, with different assumptions. For this purpose, we introduce some notations for real valued continuous functions  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  $a_{\psi,\phi} = \frac{\psi(M) - \psi(m)}{\phi(M) - \phi(m)}, \quad b_{\psi,\phi} = \frac{M\psi(m) - M\psi(M)}{\phi(M) - \phi(m)}.$ 

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean and  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions. Let  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  be convex (resp. concave).

(i) If  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and subadditive (resp. superadditive) on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then

If in addition  $\phi > 0$ ,then

$$M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \leq \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{\psi^{-1}\left(\theta\psi(m) + (1-\theta)\psi(M)\right)}{\varphi^{-1}\left(\theta\phi(m) + (1-\theta)\phi(M)\right)} \right\} M_{\phi}(x,\Phi).$$

We will investigate the above inequalities, with different assumptions. For this purpose, we introduce some notations for real valued continuous functions  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  $a_{\psi,\phi} = \frac{\psi(M) - \psi(m)}{\phi(M) - \phi(m)}, \quad b_{\psi,\phi} = \frac{M\psi(m) - M\psi(M)}{\phi(M) - \phi(m)}.$ 

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean and  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions. Let  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  be convex (resp. concave).

(i) If  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and subadditive (resp. superadditive) on  $\mathbb{R}^+,$  then

$$\begin{split} & M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \leq M_{\phi}(x,\Phi) + \psi^{-1}(\beta)\mathbf{1} \\ & \text{resp. } M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \geq M_{\phi}(x,\Phi) + \psi^{-1}(\beta)\mathbf{1} \ ), \end{split} \tag{24}$$

- (i') if  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and subadditive (resp. superadditive) on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then the opposite inequality is valid in (24),
- (ii) if  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and superadditive (resp. subadditive) on  $\mathbb R,$  then

$$M_{\Psi}(x,\Phi) \le M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi) - \varphi^{-1}(-\beta)\mathbf{1}$$
(25)  
(resp.  $M_{\Psi}(x,\Phi) \ge M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi) - \varphi^{-1}(-\beta)\mathbf{1}$ ),

(ii') if  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and superadditive (resp. subadditive) on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the opposite inequality is valid in (25), where

$$\beta = \max_{\varphi_m \le z \le \varphi_M} \left\{ a_{\psi,\varphi} z + b_{\psi,\varphi} - \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(z) \right\} \left( \text{resp. } \beta = \min_{\varphi_m \le z \le \varphi_M} \left\{ \cdots \right\} \right).$$

▲ ■ → ■ → へへの MIA2010 55/88

Furthermore, if  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is strictly convex (resp. strictly concave) differentiable, then the constant  $\beta \equiv \beta(m, M, \varphi, \psi)$  can be written more precisely as  $\beta = a_{\psi,\varphi} z_0 + b_{\psi,\varphi} - \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(z_0)$ , where  $z_0$  is the unique solution of the equation  $(\psi \circ \varphi^{-1})'(z) = a_{\psi,\varphi}, (\varphi_m < z_0 < \varphi_M).$ 

#### Proof

We will prove only the case (i). Putting in Corollary  $\bullet F(u,v) = u - \lambda v$   $\lambda = 1$ ,  $f = g = \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  and replacing  $\Phi_t$  by  $\frac{1}{k} \Phi_t$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\psi(x_t)) \, d\mu(t) &= \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t\left(\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi(x_t)\right)\right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) \, d\mu(t)\right) + \beta \mathbf{1}, \end{split}$$

where  $\beta$  as in the theorem statement. Since  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and subadditive on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then we obtain  $M_{\psi}(x, \Phi) \leq \psi^{-1} \left( \psi \circ \varphi^{-1} \left( \int_T \Phi_t(\varphi(x_t)) d\mu(t) \right) + \beta \mathbf{1} \right) \leq M_{\varphi}(x, \Phi) + \psi^{-1}(\beta) \mathbf{1}.$ 

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean and  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions. Let  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  be convex and  $\psi > 0$  on [m, M].

(i) If  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and submultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then

$$M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \leq \psi^{-1}(\alpha) M_{\phi}(x,\Phi),$$
 (26)

(i') if  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and submultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then the opposite inequality is valid in (26),

(ii) if  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and supermultiplicative on  $\mathbb R,$  then

$$M_{\Psi}(x,\Phi) \le \left[\Psi^{-1}(\alpha^{-1})\right]^{-1} M_{\Phi}(x,\Phi),$$
 (27)

(ii') if  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and supermultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the opposite inequality is valid in (27),

#### where

#### Theorem

Let  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$ ,  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  be as in the definition of the quasi-arithmetic mean and  $\psi, \phi \in C[m, M]$  be strictly monotone functions. Let  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  be convex and  $\psi > 0$  on [m, M].

(i) If  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and submultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then

$$M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \leq \psi^{-1}(\alpha) M_{\phi}(x,\Phi), \qquad (26)$$

- (i') if  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and submultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , then the opposite inequality is valid in (26),
- (ii) if  $\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and supermultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$M_{\psi}(x,\Phi) \le \left[\psi^{-1}(\alpha^{-1})\right]^{-1} M_{\phi}(x,\Phi),$$
 (27)

(ii') if  $-\psi^{-1}$  is operator monotone and supermultiplicative on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the opposite inequality is valid in (27),

where

$$\alpha = \max_{\varphi_m \leq z \leq \varphi_M} \left\{ \frac{a_{\psi,\varphi}z + b_{\psi,\varphi}}{\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(z)} \right\} \quad \left( \text{resp. } \alpha = \min_{\varphi_m \leq z \leq \varphi_M} \left\{ \frac{a_{\psi,\varphi}z + b_{\psi,\varphi}}{\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(z)} \right\} \right).$$

Furthermore, if  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is strictly convex differentiable, then the constant  $\alpha \equiv \alpha(m, M, \varphi, \psi)$  can be written more precisely as

$$\alpha = \frac{a_{\psi,\phi}z_0 + b_{\psi,\phi}}{\psi \circ \phi^{-1}(z_0)},$$

where  $z_0$  is the unique solution of the equation  $(\psi \circ \varphi^{-1})'(a_{\psi,\varphi}z + a_{\psi,\varphi}) = a_{\psi,\varphi} \cdot \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(z), \ (\varphi_m < z_0 < \varphi_M).$ 

#### Remark

We can obtain order among quasi-arithmetic means using the function order of positive operator in the same way as we will demonstrate for power functions in the next section.

$$\alpha = \max_{\varphi_m \leq z \leq \varphi_M} \left\{ \frac{a_{\psi,\varphi}z + b_{\psi,\varphi}}{\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(z)} \right\} \quad \left( \text{resp. } \alpha = \min_{\varphi_m \leq z \leq \varphi_M} \left\{ \frac{a_{\psi,\varphi}z + b_{\psi,\varphi}}{\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(z)} \right\} \right).$$

Furthermore, if  $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is strictly convex differentiable, then the constant  $\alpha \equiv \alpha(m, M, \varphi, \psi)$  can be written more precisely as

$$\alpha = \frac{a_{\psi,\phi}z_0 + b_{\psi,\phi}}{\psi \circ \phi^{-1}(z_0)},$$

where  $z_0$  is the unique solution of the equation  $(\psi \circ \phi^{-1})'(a_{\psi,\phi}z + a_{\psi,\phi}) = a_{\psi,\phi} \cdot \psi \circ \phi^{-1}(z), \ (\phi_m < z_0 < \phi_M).$ 

#### Remark

We can obtain order among quasi-arithmetic means using the function order of positive operator in the same way as we will demonstrate for power functions in the next section.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

MIA2010

59/88

If we put  $\varphi(t) = t^r$  and  $\psi(t) = t^s$  in Theorem about monotonicity of quasi-arithmetic means, then we obtain the order among power means:

Let  $(A_t)_{t\in T}$  is a bounded continuous field of positive operators in a  $C^*$ -algebra B(H) with spectra in [m, M] for some scalars 0 < m < M, defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space T equipped with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , such that  $\int_T \Phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = \mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k. Then

$$\left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{t}^{r}\right) d\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)\right)^{1/r} \leq \left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{t}^{s}\right) d\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)\right)^{1/s}$$

holds for either  $r \le s$ ,  $r \notin (-1,1)$ ,  $s \notin (-1,1)$  or  $1/2 \le r \le 1 \le s$  or  $r \le -1 \le s \le -1/2$ .

In the remaining cases we need to use the function order of positive operator.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

## 4. Power functions

We consider the order among the following power functions:

$$I_{r}(x,\Phi) := \left(\int_{T} \Phi_{t}\left(x_{t}^{r}\right) d\mu(t)\right)^{1/r} \quad \text{if} \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (28)$$

with these conditions:  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  is a bounded continuous field of positive elements in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with spectra in [m, M] for some scalars 0 < m < M, defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space T equipped with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to another unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , such that the field  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(\mathbf{1})$  is integrable with  $\int_T \Phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = k\mathbf{1}$  for some positive scalar k.

J.Mićić, J.Pečarić and Y.Seo, *Converses of Jensen's operator inequality*, Oper. and Matr. (2010), accepted

MIA2010 60 / 88

## 4.1. Some previous results for the ratio

We wish to observe the ratio type order among power functions. In order this to get we need some previous results given in the following lemmas.

#### Lemma

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the power function (28). If 0 < n < 1 then

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t \left( x_t^p \right) \, d\mu(t) \le k^{1-p} \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t) \right)^p. \tag{29}$$

If  $-1 \le p < 0$  or  $1 \le p \le 2$ , then the opposite inequality holds in (29).

#### Proof

### We obtain this lemma by applying generalized Jensen's inequality. $\hfill \square$

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 61 / 88

## 4.1. Some previous results for the ratio

We wish to observe the ratio type order among power functions. In order this to get we need some previous results given in the following lemmas.

#### Lemma

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the power function (28). If 0 , then $<math display="block">\int_T \Phi_t (x_t^p) d\mu(t) \le k^{1-p} \left( \int_T \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t) \right)^p.$ (29) If  $-1 \le p < 0$  or  $1 \le p \le 2$ , then the opposite inequality holds in (29).

#### Proof

We obtain this lemma by applying generalized Jensen's inequality.  $\hfill \square$ 

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 61 / 88

## 4.1. Some previous results for the ratio

We wish to observe the ratio type order among power functions. In order this to get we need some previous results given in the following lemmas.

#### Lemma

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the power function (28). If 0 , then $<math display="block">\int_T \Phi_t (x_t^p) d\mu(t) \le k^{1-p} \left( \int_T \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t) \right)^p.$ (29) If  $-1 \le p < 0$  or  $1 \le p \le 2$ , then the opposite inequality holds in (29).

#### Proof

We obtain this lemma by applying generalized Jensen's inequality.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

Π

61/88

MIA2010

#### Lemma

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the power function. If 0 , then

$$egin{aligned} &k^{1-
ho}\mathcal{K}(m,M,
ho)\,\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}}\Phi_t(x_t)d\mu(t)
ight)^{
ho}&\leq&\int_{\mathcal{T}}\Phi_t\left(x_t^{
ho}
ight)\,d\mu(t)\ &\leq&k^{1-
ho}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}}\Phi_t(x_t)d\mu(t)
ight)^{
ho}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $-1 \le p < 0$  or  $1 \le p \le 2$ , then

$$k^{1-p} \left( \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) \right)^{p} \leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t} \left( x_{t}^{p} \right) d\mu(t)$$
  
 
$$\leq k^{1-p} K(m, M, p) \left( \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) \right)^{p},$$

#### Lemma

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the power function. If 0 , then

$$egin{aligned} &k^{1-p}\mathcal{K}(m,M,p)\,\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}}\Phi_t(x_t)d\mu(t)
ight)^p&\leq&\int_{\mathcal{T}}\Phi_t\left(x_t^p
ight)\,d\mu(t)\ &\leq&k^{1-p}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}}\Phi_t(x_t)d\mu(t)
ight)^p, \end{aligned}$$

if  $-1 \le p < 0$  or  $1 \le p \le 2$ , then

$$egin{aligned} &k^{1-
ho}\left(\int_{T}\Phi_{t}(x_{t})d\mu(t)
ight)^{
ho}&\leq&\int_{T}\Phi_{t}\left(x_{t}^{
ho}
ight)d\mu(t)\ &\leq&k^{1-
ho}\mathcal{K}(m,M,
ho)\left(\int_{T}\Phi_{t}(x_{t})d\mu(t)
ight)^{
ho}, \end{aligned}$$

if p < -1 or p > 2, then

$$k^{1-p} \mathcal{K}(m, M, p)^{-1} \left( \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) \right)^{p} \leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}\left( x_{t}^{p} \right) d\mu(t)$$
  
 
$$\leq k^{1-p} \mathcal{K}(m, M, p) \left( \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) \right)^{p},$$

where  $K(m, M, p) \equiv K(h, p)$ ,  $h = \frac{M}{m} \ge 1$ , is the generalized Kantorovich constant defined by

$$K(h,p) = \frac{h^{p} - h}{(p-1)(h-1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{h^{p} - 1}{h^{p} - h}\right)^{p}, \quad \text{fo}$$

or all  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Proof

We obtain this lemma by applying Corollary  $\bullet F(u,v) = u - \lambda v$ .

A generalization of the Kantorovich inequality is firstly initiated by Ky Fan in 1966.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 63 / 88

We shall need some properties of the generalized Specht ratio. In 1960 Specht estimated the upper boundary of the arithmetic mean by the geometric one for positive numbers: For  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [m, M]$  with  $M \ge m > 0$ ,

$$\frac{(h-1)h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}{e\log h}\sqrt[n]{x_1\cdots x_n} \geq \frac{x_1+\cdots x_n}{n},$$

where  $h = \frac{M}{m} (\geq 1)$ . The Specht ratio is defined by

$$S(h) = rac{(h-1)h^{rac{1}{h-1}}}{e \ln h} \ (h 
eq 1) \quad ext{and} \quad S(1) = 1.$$

We have the representation of the Specht ratio by the limit of Kantorovich constant  $\lim_{r\to 0} K(h^r, \frac{p}{r}) = S(h^p)$ . The generalized Specht ratio is defined for h > 0 and  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$  by:

$$\Delta(h, r, s) = \begin{cases} K(h^r, \frac{s}{r})^{\frac{1}{s}} & \text{if } rs \neq 0, \\ \Delta(h, 0, s) = S(h^s)^{\frac{1}{s}} & \text{if } r = 0, \\ \Delta(h, r, 0) = S(h^r)^{-\frac{1}{r}} & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the generalized Specht ratio for  $rs \neq 0$ :

$$\Delta(h,r,s) = \left\{\frac{r(h^s - h^r)}{(s - r)(h^r - 1)}\right\}^{1/s} \left\{\frac{s(h^r - h^s)}{(r - s)(h^s - 1)}\right\}^{-1/r}, \ h = \frac{M}{m}$$

#### Lemma

Let M > m > 0,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\Delta(h,r,1) = \frac{r(h-h^r)}{(1-r)(h^r-1)} \left(\frac{h^r-h}{(r-1)(h-1)}\right)^{-1/r}, \qquad h = \frac{M}{m}.$$

A function Δ(r) ≡ Δ(h, r, 1) is strictly decreasing for all r ∈ ℝ,
 lim Δ(h, r, 1) = 1 and lim Δ(h, r, 1) = S(h), where S(h) is the Specht ratio,
 lim Δ(h, r, 1) = 1/h, and lim Δ(h, r, 1) = h

Obviously, the generalized Specht ratio for  $rs \neq 0$ :

$$\Delta(h,r,s) = \left\{\frac{r(h^s - h^r)}{(s - r)(h^r - 1)}\right\}^{1/s} \left\{\frac{s(h^r - h^s)}{(r - s)(h^s - 1)}\right\}^{-1/r}, \ h = \frac{M}{m}$$

#### Lemma

Let M > m > 0,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\Delta(h,r,1) = \frac{r(h-h^r)}{(1-r)(h^r-1)} \left(\frac{h^r-h}{(r-1)(h-1)}\right)^{-1/r}, \qquad h = \frac{M}{m}$$

A function Δ(r) ≡ Δ(h,r,1) is strictly decreasing for all r ∈ ℝ,
 lim Δ(h,r,1) = 1 and lim Δ(h,r,1) = S(h), where S(h) is the Specht ratio,
 lim Δ(h,r,1) = 1/h and lim Δ(h,r,1) = h.



#### Proof

We use differential calculus. Refer to

J. Mićić and J. Pečarić, Order among power means of positive operators, II, Sci. Math. Japon. Online (2009), 677–693.



#### Proof

We use differential calculus. Refer to

J. Mićić and J. Pečarić, Order among power means of positive operators, II, Sci. Math. Japon. Online (2009), 677–693.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

MIA2010

66 / 88

Also, we need the following function order of positive operators:

#### Theorem

If  $A, B \in B_+(H)$ ,  $A \ge B > 0$  such that  $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [n, N]$  and  $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$  for some scalars 0 < n < N and 0 < m < M, then

K(n, N, p)	$A^{ ho} \geq B^{ ho} > 0$	for all	p > 1,
K(m, M, p)	$A^{ ho} \geq B^{ ho} > 0$	for all	p > 1,
K(n, N, p)	$B^{ ho} \geq A^{ ho} > 0$	for all	<i>p</i> < −1,
K(m, M, p)	$B^{ ho} \geq A^{ ho} > 0$	for all	<i>p</i> < -1.

#### Refer to

- J.Mićić, J.Pečarić and Y.Seo, Function order of positive operators based on the Mond-Pečarić method, Linear Algebra and Appl., 360 (2003), 15–34.
- J.Pečarić and J.Mićić, *Some functions reversing the order of positive operators*, Linear Algebra and Appl., **396** (2005), 175–187

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 67 / 88

## 4.2. Ratio type inequalities with power functions

We give the ratio type order among power functions. We observe regions  $(i) - (v)_1$  as in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Regions in the (r, s)-plain

#### Ratio type order

#### Theorem

Let regions (i)  $-(v)_1$  be as in Figure 2. If (r, s) in (i), then

$$k^{\frac{s-r}{rs}}\Delta(h,r,s)^{-1}\ I_{s}(x,\Phi) \leq I_{r}(x,\Phi) \leq k^{\frac{s-r}{rs}}\ I_{s}(x,\Phi),$$

if (r, s) in (ii) or (iii), then

$$k^{\frac{s-r}{rs}}\Delta(h,r,s)^{-1}\ l_s(x,\Phi) \leq l_r(x,\Phi) \leq k^{\frac{s-r}{rs}}\Delta(h,r,s)\ l_s(x,\Phi)$$

if (r, s) in (iv), then

$$k^{\frac{s-r}{rs}} \Delta(h,s,1)^{-1} \Delta(h,r,s)^{-1} I_s(x,\Phi) \le I_r(x,\Phi)$$
$$\le k^{\frac{s-r}{rs}} \min\{\Delta(h,r,1), \Delta(h,s,1)\Delta(h,r,s)\} I_s(x,\Phi),$$

if (r, s) in (v) or  $(iv)_1$  or  $(v)_1$ , then

 $k^{\frac{s-r}{rs}}\Delta(h,s,1)^{-1}\Delta(h,r,s)^{-1}\ I_{s}(x,\Phi) \leq I_{r}(x,\Phi) \leq k^{\frac{s-r}{rs}}\Delta(h,s,1)\ I_{s}(x,\Phi).$
#### Ratio type order

#### Proof

This theorem follows from second lemma by putting p = s/r or p = r/s and then using the Löwner-Heinz theorem, function order of positive operators and we choose better bounds by using third lemma.

As an application, we can obtain the ratio type order among of the weighted power means of operators:

$$M_r(x,\Phi) := \left(\int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(x_t^r) d\mu(t)\right)^{1/r} \quad \text{if} \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

at the same conditions as above.

Since a field  $(\frac{1}{k} \Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  in this case is unital, this result will be given in §5.1.

#### Ratio type order

#### Proof

This theorem follows from second lemma by putting p = s/r or p = r/s and then using the Löwner-Heinz theorem, function order of positive operators and we choose better bounds by using third lemma.

As an application, we can obtain the ratio type order among of the weighted power means of operators:

$$M_r(x,\Phi) := \left(\int_T \frac{1}{k} \Phi_t(x_t^r) d\mu(t)\right)^{1/r} \quad \text{if} \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

at the same conditions as above.

Since a field  $(\frac{1}{k} \Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  in this case is unital, this result will be given in §5.1.

## 4.3. Some previous results for the difference

## We wish to observe the difference type order among power functions. We need some previous results.

#### Lemma

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the power function. If 0 , then

$$\alpha_{p} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{p} \mathbf{1} \leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}^{p}) d\mu(t) \leq k^{1-p} \left( \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) \right)^{p},$$

$$f -1 \leq p < 0 \quad or \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad then$$

$$(1)$$

$$k^{1-\rho}\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t)\right) \leq \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t^{\rho}) d\mu(t) \leq \alpha_{\rho} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{\rho} \mathbf{1},$$
(31)

## 4.3. Some previous results for the difference

We wish to observe the difference type order among power functions. We need some previous results.

#### Lemma

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the power function. If 0 , then

$$\alpha_{p} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{p} \mathbf{1} \leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}^{p}) d\mu(t) \leq k^{1-p} \left( \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) \right)^{p},$$

$$(30)$$

$$k^{1-\rho} \left( \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t) \right)^{\rho} \leq \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t^{\rho}) d\mu(t) \leq \alpha_{\rho} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{\rho} \mathbf{1},$$

## 4.3. Some previous results for the difference

We wish to observe the difference type order among power functions. We need some previous results.

#### Lemma

Let  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  be as in the definition of the power function. If 0 , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{p} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{p} \mathbf{1} &\leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}^{p}) d\mu(t) \leq k^{1-p} \left( \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) \right)^{p}, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$if \quad -1 \leq p < 0 \quad or \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad then$$

$$k^{1-p} \left( \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) \right)^{p} \leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}^{p}) d\mu(t) \leq \alpha_{p} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{p} \mathbf{1}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

(continued)

if p < -1 or p > 2, then

$$py^{p-1} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k(1-p)y^{p} \mathbf{1} \leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}^{p}) d\mu(t)$$
$$\leq \alpha_{p} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{p} \mathbf{1}$$
(32)

for every  $y \in [m, M]$ . Constants  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  are the constants  $\alpha_f$  and  $\beta_f$  associated with the function  $f(z) = z^p$ .

#### Proof

RHS of (30) and LHS of (31) follows from the generalized Jensen's inequality. LHS of (30) and RHS of (31) and (32) follow from Corollary  $\bullet F(u,v) = u - \lambda v$  for  $f(z) = z^p$ , g(z) = z and  $\lambda = \alpha_p$ . LHS of (32) follows from Theorem  $\bullet$  Subdifferentials by putting  $f(y) = y^p$  and  $l(y) = py^{p-1}$ .

#### Remark

Setting  $y = (\alpha_p/p)^{1/(p-1)} \in [m, M]$  in the last inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\rho} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k(1-\rho) \left(\alpha_{\rho}/\rho\right)^{\rho/(\rho-1)} \mathbf{1} &\leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}^{\rho}) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \alpha_{\rho} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{\rho} \mathbf{1} \quad \text{for } \rho < -1 \text{ or } \rho > 2. \end{aligned}$$
(33)

Furthermore, setting y = m or y = M gives

$$pm^{p-1} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t) + k(1-p)m^p \mathbf{1} \le \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t^p) d\mu(t)$$
  
$$\le \alpha_p \int_{\mathcal{T}} \Phi_t(x_t) d\mu(t) + k\beta_p \mathbf{1}$$
(34)

or

$$\rho M^{\rho-1} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k(1-\rho) M^{\rho} \mathbf{1} \leq \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}^{\rho}) d\mu(t) \\
\leq \alpha_{\rho} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k\beta_{\rho} \mathbf{1}.$$
(35)

#### (continued)

We remark that the operator in LHS of (34) is positive for p > 2, since

$$0 < km^{p} \mathbf{1} \le pm^{p-1} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k(1-p)m^{p} \mathbf{1}$$
  
$$\le k(pm^{p-1}M + (1-p)m^{p})\mathbf{1} < kM^{p} \mathbf{1}$$
(36)

and the operator in LHS of (35) is positive for p < -1, since

$$0 < kM^{p}\mathbf{1} \le pM^{p-1} \int_{T} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}) d\mu(t) + k(1-p)M^{p}\mathbf{1}$$
  
$$\le k(pM^{p-1}m + (1-p)M^{p})\mathbf{1} < km^{p}\mathbf{1}.$$
(37)

(We have the inequality  $pm^{p-1}M + (1-p)m^p < M^p$  in RHS of (36) and  $pM^{p-1}m + (1-p)M^p < m^p$  in RHS of (37) by using Bernoulli's inequality.)

We shall need some properties of a constant C(m, M, p) (this type of a generalized Kantorovich constant for difference) defined by

$$C(m,M,p) = (p-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}\frac{M^p - m^p}{M - m}\right)^{p/(p-1)} + \frac{Mm^p - mM^p}{M - m} \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If we put  $f(t) = t^{\rho}$  in a difference type reverse of Jensen's inequality (obtain by using the Mond-Pečarić method), then we have a difference type reverse of Hölder-McCarthy inequality:

Let *A* be a self-adjoint operator such that  $m\mathbf{1} \le A \le M\mathbf{1}$  for some scalars  $m \le M$ . Then

$$0 \le (A^p x, x) - (Ax, x)^p \le C(m, M, p) \qquad \text{for all } p \notin [0, 1]$$

and

$$C(m, M, p) \le (A^p x, x) - (Ax, x)^p \le 0$$
 for all  $p \in [0, 1]$ 

#### for every unit vector $x \in H$ .

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

We shall need some properties of a constant C(m, M, p) (this type of a generalized Kantorovich constant for difference) defined by

$$C(m,M,p) = (p-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}\frac{M^p - m^p}{M - m}\right)^{p/(p-1)} + \frac{Mm^p - mM^p}{M - m} \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If we put  $f(t) = t^{p}$  in a difference type reverse of Jensen's inequality (obtain by using the Mond-Pečarić method), then we have a difference type reverse of Hölder-McCarthy inequality:

Let *A* be a self-adjoint operator such that  $m\mathbf{1} \le A \le M\mathbf{1}$  for some scalars  $m \le M$ . Then

$$0 \leq (A^p x, x) - (Ax, x)^p \leq C(m, M, p)$$
 for all  $p \notin [0, 1]$ 

and

$$C(m,M,p) \leq (A^{\rho}x,x) - (Ax,x)^{\rho} \leq 0$$
 for all  $\rho \in [0,1]$ 

for every unit vector  $x \in H$ .

We collect basic properties of C(m, M, p) in Lemma 2.59 in our the first book.

#### Lemma

Let M > m > 0,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$C(m^{r}, M^{r}, 1/r) := \frac{1-r}{r} \left( r \frac{M-m}{M^{r}-m^{r}} \right)^{1/(1-r)} + \frac{M^{r}m - m^{r}M}{M^{r}-m^{r}}$$

 A function C(r) ≡ C(m<sup>r</sup>, M<sup>r</sup>, 1/r) is strictly decreasing for all r ∈ ℝ,
 lim<sub>r→1</sub> C(m<sup>r</sup>, M<sup>r</sup>, 1/r) = 0 and lim<sub>r→0</sub> C(m<sup>r</sup>, M<sup>r</sup>, 1/r) = L(m, M) ln S(M/m), where L(m, M) is the logarithmic mean and S(h) is the Specht ratio.



$$\lim_{r \to \infty} C(m', M', 1/r) = m - M \quad and \quad \lim_{r \to -\infty} C(m', M', 1/r) = M - m.$$

∢ ∃ ▶

We collect basic properties of C(m, M, p) in Lemma 2.59 in our the first book.

#### Lemma

Let M > m > 0,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$C(m^{r}, M^{r}, 1/r) := \frac{1-r}{r} \left( r \frac{M-m}{M^{r}-m^{r}} \right)^{1/(1-r)} + \frac{M^{r}m - m^{r}M}{M^{r}-m^{r}}$$

 A function C(r) ≡ C(m<sup>r</sup>, M<sup>r</sup>, 1/r) is strictly decreasing for all r ∈ ℝ,
 lim C(m<sup>r</sup>, M<sup>r</sup>, 1/r) = 0 and lim C(m<sup>r</sup>, M<sup>r</sup>, 1/r) = L(m, M) ln S(M/m), where L(m, M) is the logarithmic mean and S(h) is the Specht ratio.

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} C(m^r, M^r, 1/r) = m - M \quad and \quad \lim_{r\to-\infty} C(m^r, M^r, 1/r) = M - m.$$

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



#### Proof

We use differential calculus. Refer to

J. Mićić and J. Pečarić, Order among power means of positive operators, II, Sci. Math. Japon. Online (2009), 677–693.



#### Proof

We use differential calculus. Refer to

J. Mićić and J. Pečarić, Order among power means of positive operators, II, Sci. Math. Japon. Online (2009), 677–693.

Also, we need the following function order of positive operators:

#### Theorem

Let A, B be positive operators in B(H). If  $A \ge B > 0$  and the spectrum Sp(B)  $\subseteq$  [m,M] for some scalars 0 < m < M, then

$$A^p + C(m, M, p)$$
**1**  $\geq B^p$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

But, if  $A \ge B > 0$  and the spectrum  $Sp(A) \subseteq [m, M]$ , 0 < m < M, then

$$B^p + C(m, M, p)$$
**1**  $\geq A^p$  for all  $p \leq -1$ ,

Refer to

► Order

## 4.4. Difference type inequalities with power functions

We give the difference type order among power functions. We observe regions  $(i)_1 - (v)_1$  as in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Regions in the (r, s)-plain

#### Theorem

Let regions  $(i)_1 - (v)_1$  be as in Figure 4. Then

$$C_2 \mathbf{1} \le I_s(x, \Phi) - I_r(x, \Phi) \le C_1 \mathbf{1},$$
 (38)

where constants  $C_1 \equiv C_1(m, M, s, r, k)$  and  $C_2 \equiv C_2(m, M, s, r, k)$  are

$$C_{1} = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\Delta}_{k}, & \text{for } (r, s) \text{ in } (i)_{1} \text{ or } (ii)_{1} \text{ or } (iii)_{1}; \\ \widetilde{\Delta}_{k} + \min \{C_{k}(s), C_{k}(r)\}, & \text{for } (r, s) \text{ in } (iv) \text{ or } (v) \text{ or } (v)_{1} \text{ or } (v)_{1}; \end{cases}$$

$$C_{2} = \begin{cases} (k^{1/s} - k^{1/r}) m, & \text{for } (r, s) \text{ in } (i)_{1}; \\ \widetilde{D}_{k}, & \text{for } (r, s) \text{ in } (i)_{1}; \\ \overline{D}_{k}, & \text{for } (r, s) \text{ in } (ii)_{1}; \\ \max \{\widetilde{D}_{k} - C_{k}(s), (k^{1/s} - k^{1/r}) m - C_{k}(r)\}, & \text{for } (r, s) \text{ in } (iv); \\ \max \{\overline{D}_{k} - C_{k}(r), (k^{1/s} - k^{1/r}) m - C_{k}(s)\}, & \text{for } (r, s) \text{ in } (v); \\ (k^{1/s} - k^{1/r}) m - \min \{C_{k}(r), C_{k}(s)\}, & \text{for } (r, s) \text{ in } (iv)_{1} \text{ or } (v)_{1}. \end{cases}$$

< E

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

#### Difference type order

#### (continued)

A constant  $\widetilde{\Delta}_k \equiv \widetilde{\Delta}_k(m, M, r, s)$  is

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{k} = \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ k^{1/s} [\theta M^{s} + (1-\theta)m^{s}]^{1/s} - k^{1/r} [\theta M^{r} + (1-\theta)m^{r}]^{1/r} \right\},\$$

 $\widetilde{D}_k \equiv \widetilde{D}_k(m, M, r, s)$  is

$$\widetilde{D}_{k} = \min\left\{\left(k^{\frac{1}{s}} - k^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)m, k^{\frac{1}{s}}m\left(s\frac{M^{r} - m^{r}}{rm^{r}} + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} - k^{\frac{1}{r}}M\right\}$$

 $\overline{D}_k \equiv \overline{D}_k(m, M, r, s) = -\widetilde{D}_k(M, m, s, r)$ and  $C_k(p) \equiv C_k(m, M, p)$  is

$$C_k(p) = k^{1/p} \cdot C(m^p, M^p, 1/p) \quad \text{for } p \neq 0.$$

MIA2010 81/88

4 14 14 **B** 5

## 5. Weighted power means

As an application §4, we can obtain the order among of the weighted power means of operators:

$$M_r(x,\Phi) := \left(\int_T \Phi_t(x_t^r) d\mu(t)\right)^{1/r} \quad \text{if} \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

with these conditions:  $(x_t)_{t\in T}$  is a bounded continuous field of positive operator in a  $C^*$ -algebra B(H) with spectra in [m, M] for some scalars 0 < m < M, defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space Tequipped with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t\in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , such that  $\int_T \Phi_t(1) d\mu(t) = 1$ .

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

## 5. Weighted power means

As an application §4, we can obtain the order among of the weighted power means of operators:

$$M_r(x,\Phi) := \left(\int_T \Phi_t(x_t^r) \, d\mu(t)\right)^{1/r} \quad \text{if} \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

with these conditions:  $(x_t)_{t \in T}$  is a bounded continuous field of positive operator in a  $C^*$ -algebra B(H) with spectra in [m, M] for some scalars 0 < m < M, defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space T equipped with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$  and  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  is a field of positive linear maps  $\Phi_t : B(H) \to B(K)$ , such that  $\int_T \Phi_t(\mathbf{1}) d\mu(t) = \mathbf{1}$ .

• □ ▶ • @ ▶ • E ▶ • E ▶ · ·

## 5.1. Ratio type inequalities

#### Corollary

Let regions (i)  $-(v)_1$  be as in Figure 2. If (r, s) in (i), then

$$\Delta(h,r,s)^{-1} M_{s}(x,\Phi) \leq M_{r}(x,\Phi) \leq M_{s}(x,\Phi),$$

if (r, s) in (ii) or (iii), then

$$\Delta(h,r,s)^{-1} M_s(x,\Phi) \leq M_r(x,\Phi) \leq \Delta(h,r,s) M_s(x,\Phi),$$

if (r, s) in (iv), then

$$\Delta(h, s, 1)^{-1}\Delta(h, r, s)^{-1} M_s(x, \Phi) \leq M_r(x, \Phi)$$
  
 
$$\leq \min\{\Delta(h, r, 1), \Delta(h, s, 1)\Delta(h, r, s)\} M_s(x, \Phi),$$

if (r, s) in (v) or  $(iv)_1$  or  $(v)_1$ , then

 $\Delta(h,s,1)^{-1}\Delta(h,r,s)^{-1} M_{s}(x,\Phi) \leq M_{r}(x,\Phi) \leq \Delta(h,s,1) M_{s}(x,\Phi).$ 

## 5.2. Difference type inequalities

#### Corollary

Let regions (i)  $-(v)_1$  be as in Figure 2. If (r, s) in (i), then

$$0 \leq M_{s}(x,\Phi) - M_{r}(x,\Phi) \leq \tilde{\Delta}\mathbf{1},$$

if (r, s) in (ii), then

$$\left(m\left(\frac{s}{r}\frac{M^{r}}{m^{r}}+1-\frac{s}{r}\right)^{1/s}-M\right)\mathbf{1}\leq M_{s}(x,\Phi)-M_{r}(x,\Phi)\leq \tilde{\Delta}\mathbf{1},$$

if (r, s) in (iii), then

$$\left(m-M\left(\frac{r}{s}\frac{m^s}{M^s}+1-\frac{r}{s}\right)^{1/r}\right)\mathbf{1}\leq M_s(x,\Phi)-M_r(x,\Phi)\leq \tilde{\Delta}\mathbf{1},$$

#### (continued)

if (r, s) in (iv), then

$$\max\{m\left(\frac{s}{r}\frac{M^{r}}{m^{r}}+\frac{r-s}{r}\right)^{1/s}-M-C(m^{s},M^{s},1/s),-C(m^{r},M^{r},1/r)\}\mathbf{1}$$
$$M_{s}(x,\Phi)-M_{r}(x,\Phi)\leq\left(\tilde{\Delta}+C(m^{s},M^{s},1/s)\right)\mathbf{1},$$

if (r, s) in (v) or  $(iv)_1$  or  $(v)_1$ , then

$$-C(m^s, M^s, 1/s)\mathbf{1} \leq M_s(x, \Phi) - M_r(x, \Phi) \leq \left( ilde{\Delta} + C(m^s, M^s, 1/s)\right)\mathbf{1},$$

where  $\tilde{\Delta} = \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ [\theta M^s + (1-\theta)m^s]^{1/s} - [\theta M^r + (1-\theta)m^r]^{1/r} \right\}.$ 

MIA2010 85/88

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

## 6. Chaotic order version of these results

We can obtain chaotic order among quasi-arithmetic means in a general setting and chaotic order among power means.

If  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi$  is operator monotone then

 $M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi) \ll M_{\Psi}(x,\Phi).$ 

We are working on this.

## 6. Chaotic order version of these results

We can obtain chaotic order among quasi-arithmetic means in a general setting and chaotic order among power means.

E.g.

If  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  is operator convex and  $\psi$  is operator monotone then

 $M_{\varphi}(x,\Phi) \ll M_{\Psi}(x,\Phi).$ 

#### We are working on this.

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 86 / 88

For  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  we define the *r*-th power operator mean as

$$M_{r}(x,\Phi) := \begin{cases} \left( \int_{T} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_{t}(x_{t}^{r}) d\mu(t) \right)^{1/r}, & r \neq 0; \\ \exp\left( \int_{T} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_{t}(\ln(x_{t}^{r})) d\mu(t) \right)^{1/r}, & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

The limit  $\mathbf{s} - \lim_{r \to 0} M_r(x, \Phi) = M_0(x, \Phi)$  exists.

#### Theorem

If  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ , r < s, then

 $\Delta(h,r,s)^{-1}M_{s}(x,\Phi) \ll M_{r}(x,\Phi) \ll M_{s}(x,\Phi).$ 

where  $\Delta(h, r, s)$  is the generalized Specht ratio. ( Gen. Specht ratio

Jadranka Mićić Hot ()

Jensen's inequality and its converses

MIA2010 87/88

• □ ▶ • @ ▶ • E ▶ • E ▶ · ·



International Conference on Mathematical Inequalities and Applications 2010 MARCH 7 - 13, 2010 LAHORE, PAKISTAN,



# Thank you very much for your attention

MIA2010 88 / 88