[2270]

SCHUR-CONVEXITY OF ČEBIŠEV FUNCTIONAL

V. Čuljak and J. Pečarić

(Communicated by N. Elezović)

Abstract. In this paper the Čebišev functional T(f,g;a,b) is regarded as a function of two variables

$$T(f,g;x,y) = \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)g(t)dt - (\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt)(\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y g(t)dt), \ (x,y) \in [a,b] \times [a,b]$$

The property of Schur-covexity (Schur-concavity) of this function is considered. Some applications for the means are pointed out.

1. Introduction

Let *I* be an interval with nonempty interior and $\mathbf{x} = (x_i, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_i, y_2, ..., y_n)$ in I^n be two n-tuples such that $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{[i]}, \ k = 1, \dots, n-1$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{[i]} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{[i]},$$

where $x_{[i]}$ denotes the *i* th largest component in *x*.

DEFINITION 1. Function $F: I^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is Schur-convex on I^n if

$$F(x_i, x_2, ..., x_n) \leq F(y_i, y_2, ..., y_n)$$

for each two n-tuples **x** and **y** such that it holds $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$ on I^n . Function *F* is Schur-concave on I^n if and only if -F is Schur-convex.

The next lemma gives us a necessery and sufficient condition for verifying the Schur-convexity property of F when n = 2 ([4, p. 333], [3, p. 57]).

Keywords and phrases: Convex functions, Schur-convex function, Čebišev functional, Gruss inequality, integral means.



1

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary 26D15, Secondary 26D99.

LEMMA A 1. Let $F : I^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function on I^2 and differentiable in interior of I^2 . Then F is Schur-convex if and only if it is symmetric and it holds

$$\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\right)(y - x) \ge 0 \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y \in I$, $x \neq y$.

The authors in [1] were inspired by some inequalities concerning gamma and digamma function and proved the following result for the integral arithmetic mean:

THEOREM A 1. Let f be a continuous function on I. Then

$$F(x,y) = \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt$$

$$F(x,x) = f(x)$$
(2)

is Schur-convex (Schur-concave) on I^2 if and only if f is convex (concave) on I.

Also, in [1], applications to logarithmic mean are given.

COROLLARY A 1. The generalized logarithmic mean defined as follows

$$L_{r}(x,y) = \left(\frac{y^{r} - x^{r}}{r(y - x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}, \ x, y > 0 \tag{3}$$
$$L_{1} = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{x^{x}}{y^{y}}\right)^{\frac{1}{x-y}}$$
$$L_{0} = \frac{y - x}{\log y - \log x}$$
$$L(x,x) = x \tag{4}$$

is Schur-convex for r > 2 and Schur-concave for r < 2.

The Čebišev functional T(f,g;a,b) is defined for two Lebesgue integrable f and g on interval $[a,b] \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$T(f,g;a,b) := \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt - \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt\right) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(t)dt\right)$$

We will consider the function $T(x,y) := T(f,g;x,y), (x,y) \in [a,b] \times [a,b]$. We will use the well-known Čebišev inequality:

THEOREM A 2. Let f and g be Lebesgue integrable on interval [a,b]. If f and g are monotonic in the same sense (in the opposite sense) then

$$T(f,g;a,b) \ge 0 \ (\le 0). \tag{5}$$

In this paper we generalize results in Theorem A 1 and Corollary A 1 As a consequence, a result for the extended generalized logarithmic type mean is pointed out.

2. Results

THEOREM 2.1. Let f and g be Lebesgue integrable functions on I = [a,b]. If they are monotone in the same sense (in the opposite sense) then T(x,y) := T(f,g;x,y), $(x,y) \in [a,b] \times [a,b] \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is Schur-convex (Schur-concave) on $[a,b] \times [a,b]$.

Proof. There are three cases to be considered according momotonity of functions.

Case 1. Let f and g be two increasing functions on [a,b] and x < y. So, we have $f(x) \leq f(t) \leq f(y)$ and $g(x) \leq g(t) \leq g(y)$ and it yields

$$(f(y) - f(t))(f(t) - f(x)) \ge 0,$$
 (6)

$$(g(y) - g(t))(g(t) - g(x)) \ge 0,$$
 (7)

Multiplying these inequalities by $\frac{1}{y-x}$ and integrating over [x,y] produces two inequalities

$$\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f^2(t) dt \le ((f(x) + f(y)) \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt - f(x) f(y),$$

$$\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y g^2(t) dt \le ((g(x) + g(y)) \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y g(t) dt - g(x) g(y).$$

Then, we can estimate T(f, f; x, y)

$$T(f,f;x,y) = \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f^{2}(t)dt - \left(\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \left((f(x) + f(y))\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt - f(x)f(y) - \left(\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt\right)^{2}$$

$$= \left(f(y) - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt\right) \left(\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt - f(x)\right);$$
(8)

and analogues T(g,g;x,y) as follows

$$T(g,g;x,y) = \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} g^{2}(t)dt - \left(\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} g(t)dt\right)^{2} \\ \leqslant \left(g(y) - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} g(t)dt\right) \left(\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} g(t)dt - g(x)\right).$$
(9)

The functional T(f,g;x,y) can be expressed as

$$T(f,g;x,y) = \frac{1}{2(y-x)^2} \int_x^y \int_x^y (f(t) - f(s))(g(t) - g(s))dtds.$$

and analogues T(f, f; x, y) and T(g, g; x, y)

$$T(f,f;x,y) = \frac{1}{2(y-x)^2} \int_x^y \int_x^y (f(t) - f(s))^2 dt ds,$$

$$T(g,g;x,y) = \frac{1}{2(y-x)^2} \int_x^y \int_x^y (g(t) - g(s))^2 dt ds.$$

Using Cuascy inequality we obtein the inequality

$$\begin{split} |T(f,g;x,y)| &\leqslant \frac{1}{2(y-x)^2} \left(\int_x^y \int_x^y (f(t) - f(s))^2 dt ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_x^y \int_x^y (g(t) - g(s))^2 dt ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2(y-x)^2} \int_x^y \int_x^y (f(t) - f(s))^2 dt ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\qquad \times \left(\frac{1}{2(y-x)^2} \int_x^y \int_x^y (g(t) - g(s))^2 dt ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= T(f,f;x,y)^{\frac{1}{2}} T(g,g;x,y)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

In the rest of the proof we will use the short notation for the integral means: $\overline{f} := \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt \text{ and } \overline{g} := \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y g(t) dt \text{ .}$ According (8) and (9) we have the following estimation

$$T(f,g;x,y)| \leq [(f(y) - \overline{f})(\overline{f} - f(x))]^{\frac{1}{2}}[(g(y) - \overline{g})(\overline{g} - g(x))]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= [(\overline{f} - f(x))(\overline{g} - g(x)) \cdot (f(y) - \overline{f})(g(y) - \overline{g})]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The AG inequality implies

$$|T(f,g;x,y)| \leq \frac{1}{2} [(\overline{f} - f(x))(\overline{g} - g(x)) + (f(y) - \overline{f})(g(y) - \overline{g})].$$

Applying Theorem A 3 the inequality (5) we obtain

$$T(f,g;x,y) \leq \frac{1}{2} [(\overline{f} - f(x))(\overline{g} - g(x)) + (f(y) - \overline{f})(g(y) - \overline{g})].$$
(10)

To prove the Schur-convexity of T(f,g;x,y) by Lemma A 1 the inequality (1) it is sufficient to prove $\left(\frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial x}\right)(y-x) \ge 0$, for all $x, y \in [a,b]$, since the function T(x,y) := T(f,g;x,y) is evidently symmetric.

Direct calculation yields that

$$\left(\frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial x}\right)(y-x)$$

$$= \left\{\frac{1}{y-x}\left[-2T(f,g;x,y) + f(x)g(x) + f(y)g(y) + 2\overline{f}\overline{g}\right] - f(y)\overline{g} - g(y)\overline{f} + f(x)\overline{g} + g(x)\overline{f}\right\}(y-x)$$
(11)

$$= 2\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[(\overline{f} - f(x))(\overline{g} - g(x)) + (f(y) - \overline{f})(g(y) - \overline{g})\right] - T(f, g; x, y)\right\}.$$
 (12)

Then, the inequalitiey (10) implies

$$\left(\frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial x}\right)(y-x) \ge 0.$$

We have to remark that for x > y the inequalities in (6) and (7) stil are valid. Furthermore, according the equations in (11) and (11) it is obviously $\left(\frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial x}\right)(y-x) \ge 0.$

Case 2. Suppose that f and g are both decreasing functions on [a,b] and x < y. Since $f(x) \ge f(t) \ge f(y)$ and $g(x) \ge g(t) \ge g(y)$ the inequalities in (6) and (7) again are valid and the proof is the same as in Case 1.

If x > y then the conclusion is tha same as in remark in Case 1.

Case 3. Let f be an increasing function and g decreasing function. Note that we can consider Case 1. for function f and -g.

According inequality in (10) we have

$$T(f, -g; x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2} [(\overline{f} - f(x))(-\overline{g} + g(x)) + (f(y) - \overline{f})(-g(y) + \overline{g})].$$

By definition of T(f, -g; x, y) it holds

$$-T(f,g;x,y) \leqslant -\frac{1}{2} [(\overline{f} - f(x))(\overline{g} - g(x)) + (f(y) - \overline{f})(g(y) - \overline{g})]$$

and finally we obtain the opposit inequality in (10) for functions f and g:

$$T(f,g;x,y) \ge \frac{1}{2} [(\overline{f} - f(x))(\overline{g} - g(x)) + (f(y) - \overline{f})(g(y) - \overline{g})].$$
(13)

Similarly as in Case 1., according (11) we conclude that

$$\left(\frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial T(f,g;x,y)}{\partial x}\right)(y-x) \leqslant 0.$$

and according Lemma A we prove Schur-concavity of Čebišev functional T(f,g;x,y) with (x,y) in $[a,b] \times [a,b] \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

COROLLARY 2.1. For the generalised logaritmic mean defined by (3) it holds

(*i*) if $(r,s) \in (1,\infty) \times (1,\infty) \cup (-\infty,1) \times (-\infty,1)$, then $G_{rs}(x,y) := L_{r+s-1}^{r+s}(x,y) - L_{r+1}^{r}(x,y) \cdot L_{s+1}^{s}(x,y)$

is Schur-convex with $(x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$;

(ii) if $(r,s) \in (1,\infty) \times (-\infty,1) \cup (-\infty,1) \times (1,\infty)$, then $G_{rs}(x,y)$ is Schur-concave with $(x,y) \in (0,\infty) \times (0,\infty)$.

Proof. We use Theorem 1 for a function $f(t) = t^{r-1}$ and $g(t) = t^{s-1}$. Function f and g are both increasing for r-1 > 0 and s-1 > 0 and both decreasing for r-1 < 0 and s-1 < 0. Function f and g are monotone in the opposit sence for r-1 > 0 and s-1 < 0 or r-1 < 0 and s-1 > 0. \Box

REMARK 2.1. One attempt to obtain Schur convrxity of Čebišev functional is done in [2].

V. Čuljak and J. Pečarić

REFERENCES

- [1] N. ELEZOVIĆ AND J. PEČARIĆ, A Note on Schur-convrx functions, Rocky Mountain J. of Mathematics, **30**, 3 (2000), 853–856.
- [2] HUAN-NAN SHI AND JIAN ZHANG, Schur-convexity and Schur-geometric convexity of Čebišev functional, RGMIA, **30**, 3 (2000), 853–856.
- [3] A.W.MARSHALL AND I. OLKIN, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [4] J. E. PEČARIĆ, F. PROSCHAN, AND Y. L.TONG, *Convex functions, partial orderings, and statistical applications*, Academic Press Inc, 1992.

(Received February 23, 2010)

V. Čuljak Department of Mathematics Faculty of Civil Engineering University of Zagreb Kačićeva 26 10 000 Zagreb Croatia e-mail: vera@master.grad.hr

> J. Pečarić Faculty of Textile Technology University of Zagreb Pierottijeva 6 10 000 Zagreb Croatia e-mail: pecaric@hazu.hr

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications www.ele-math.com mia@ele-math.com