Retraction of Near-Deterministic Discrimination of All Bell States with Linear Optics Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 080403 (2011) & Erratum Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 219901 (2011)

Mladen Pavičić

(Dated: July 26, 2012)

arXiv:1111.4273v3 [quant-ph] 25 Jul 2012

I approached the problem of obtaining near-deterministic discrimination of all four Bell states [1, 2] with linear optics that the the no-go proof given by Norbert Lütkenhaus and his co-workers [3] left open.

Since the error from the original paper [1]—which makes the proposed setup unfeasible—proved to escape immediate recognition by the physicists who considered the details of the paper I think that it would be of service to the community to comment on the approaches that can and canot be taken in attempts to reach the aforementioned goal or to prove it unreachable.

I start with a remark that there are too many options to be taken into account by hand calculations. Therefore, the usage of a computer program for carring them out (e.g., Mathematica) seem to be unavoidable. In such calculations I start with the inverse second quantization operator transformation for two types of beam splitters (BS)—polarization-preserving (PP) and non-preserving (PNP), respectively (pp. 64-9, [4]):

$$\hat{a}^\dagger_{1x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{b}^\dagger_{1x} - \hat{b}^\dagger_{2x}), \qquad \hat{a}^\dagger_{1y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{b}^\dagger_{1y} \mp \hat{b}^\dagger_{2y}),$$
$$\hat{a}^\dagger_{2x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{b}^\dagger_{1x}\hat{b}^\dagger_{2x}), \qquad \hat{a}^\dagger_{2y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{b}^\dagger_{1y} \pm \hat{b}^\dagger_{2y}). \qquad (1)$$

Now, any splitting of Bell states $|\Psi^\mp\rangle$, $|\Phi^\mp\rangle$ at a PP (PNP) BS results with split $|\Psi^-\rangle$ ($|\Psi^+\rangle$) state and bunched photons from the oter three states. In the bunched spatial mode photons can be in $|\Phi^\mp\rangle$ but they cannot be in eiter $|\Psi^+\rangle$ or $|\Psi^-\rangle$. The photons that entered BS in $|\Psi^\mp\rangle$ states can only bunch together in a state $|HV\rangle$ (e.g., $|HV\rangle_{11} - |VH\rangle_{11} = 0$). The latter state we cannot manipulate interferometrically so as to split them appart from $|\Phi^\mp\rangle$.

In a Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZ), bunched photons in the aforementioned three states either again bunch together (in PP-PP MZ)

$$\hat{a}^\dagger_{1H}\hat{a}^\dagger_{1V} \rightarrow \hat{c}^\dagger_{2H}\hat{c}^\dagger_{2V}, \qquad \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}_{1H} \mp \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}_{1V} \rightarrow \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}_{2H} \mp \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}_{2V}, \qquad (2)$$

or split (in PP-PNP MZ)

$$\hat{a}^\dagger_{1H}\hat{a}^\dagger_{1V} \rightarrow -\hat{c}^\dagger_{2H}\hat{c}^\dagger_{1V}, \quad \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}_{1H} \mp \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}_{1V} \rightarrow \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}_{2H} \mp \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}_{1V}. \qquad (3)$$

When we make the latter disentangled states split further their states will partially overlap. (Of course, photons in different Bell states never enter the setup at the same time but the setup should stay the same for all of them.) Some complex numerical evaluation of all outcomes and many new splitting and partial recombintions might reveal some detector combination that would unabiguosly discriminate all four states with a probability of over 50% but our attempts to do so have been futile so far.

Another approach that I have considered is to split bunched photons by a series of concatenated beamsplitters. However, the probabilities of having one photon in each of the channels and two in one of them are equal and that gives us the state we started with.

I thank Shi-Lei Su, a student from Yan Bian University, Ji Lin Province, China for bringing the error in my calculation to my attention. Unfortunately all my attempts to patch the error have failed.

[1] M. Pavičić, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 080403 (2011).
[2] M. Pavičić, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 219901 (2011).
[3] N. Lütkenhaus, J. Calsamiglia, and K.-A. Suominen, *Phys. Rev. A* **59**, 3295 (1999).
[4] Z.-Y. J. Ou, *Multi-Photon Quantum Interference* (Springer, New York, 2007).