# IMPACTS OF LIMING AND PK-FERTILIZATION ON NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF SOIL AND MAIZE YIELD

Dario ILJKIC<sup>1</sup> – Vlado KOVACEVIC<sup>1</sup> – Imre KADAR<sup>2</sup> – Domagoj RASTIJA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of J. J. Strossmayer, Faculty of Agriculture, Kralja P. Svacica 1d, 31000 Osijek, Croatia e-mail: diljkic@pfos.hr

<sup>2</sup>Research Institute for Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Herman O. Str 15, 1022, Budapest, Hungary

**Abstract:** The stationary field experiment was started in spring 2004 on very acid soil by the application of four levels of NPK 10:30:20 fertilizer up to 3748 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was performed in four replicates and the basic plot size was 77 m<sup>2</sup>. The third and fourth replicates of the experiment were limed with 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> granulated fertdolomite (24.0 % CaO + 16.0 % MgO + 3.0 % N + 2.5 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 3.0 % K<sub>2</sub>O) in the November 13, 2007. Mobile fraction of the individual elements in soil (sampling in Sept. 2009) was extracted with NH<sub>4</sub>-Acetate+EDTA solution (pH 4.65). Liming significantly influenced on soil pH increases for 0.69 and 0.75 units, for pH in H<sub>2</sub>O and KCl, respectively, as well as decrease of hydrolitical acidity for 2.04 Cmol /kg<sup>-1</sup>. However, ameliorative fertilization had low effects on these properties. By application of ameliorative fertilization and liming grain yields of maize were in the 2009 growing season significantly increased for 29% and 8%, respectively. As affected by the fertilization available P, K and Mg in the soil were increased for 29% and 9%, while the remaining tested elements status (Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu and Cd) was independent on the fertilization. However, liming considerably affected on Ca, Mg and Cd soil status (increases for 75%, 123%, and 36% respectively) as well as K, Zn and Cu status (decresse about 20%), while the impacts on P, Fe, and Mn status were lower.

Keywords: ameliorative PK-fertilization, liming, soil properties, yield, maize

## Introduction

Soil acidity and inadequate nutritional status are oft limiting factors of the field crops yield on arable lands in Croatia (Kovacevic and Rastija, 2010; Kovacevic et al., 2011; Stojic et al., 2012; Basic, 2013). Aim of this study was testing impacts of PK-fertilization and liming on soil properties and maize yields in the 2009 growing season.

### Material and methods

#### The field experiment

The stationary field experiment was started in April 24, 2004 on Pavlovac (Bjelovar-Bilogora County) very acid soil by the application treatments as follows: a = conventional fertilization, b = a + NPK-1, c = a + NPK-2, d = a + NPK-3 and e = a + NPK-4. The fertilizer NPK 10:30:20 was source of P and K (using in amounts 416, 1249, 2082, 2916 and 3748 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, for the treatments *a*, *b*, *c*, *d* and *e*, respectively). Nitrogen amount was equalized for all treatments by the addition of adequate quantities of CAN (calcium ammonium nitrate containing 27% N). The experiment was conducted in four replicates and the basic plot size was 77 m<sup>2</sup>. In the following years the plots was fertilized uniformly in the level of conventional fertilization. Crop rotation was as follows: maize (2004) – soybean (2005) – maize (2006) – wheat (2007) – maize (2008) – maize (2009). The third and fourth replicates of the experiment were limed with 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> granulated fertdolomite (24.0% CaO + 16.0% MgO + 3.0% N + 2.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 3.0% K<sub>2</sub>O; product of the Fertilizer Factory Kutina, Croatia) in the November 13, 2007.

21 DOI:10.12666/Novenyterm.62.2013.suppl

Maize (the hybrid OsSK298P developed in Agricultural Institute Osijek) was sown in the April 18, 2009 by the pneumatic sowing machine (distance in row 21.0 cm, interrow spacing 70 cm = 68027 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>). Maize was harvested manually (2 x 2 internal rows from each basic plot for receiving four replicates). Grain yields were calculated on 14% grain moisture basis.

# Sampling and chemical analysis

The first soil sampling from each basic plot of NPK-fertilization was performed in November 15, 2005. The results were shown in the previous study (Rastija et al., 2006). The second soil sampling was performed in the September 3, 2009. Both sampling was performed by the pedologic auger to 0-30 cm of depth. Soil pH reaction was determined electrometrically in a suspension of soil in water and in a solution of 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> potassium chloride (ISO, 1994). Soil organic matter contents were analyzed according to determination of organic carbon by sulfochromic oxidation (ISO, 1998). These analyses were performed in the Department of Agroecology, Faculty of Agriculture in Osijek. Mobile fraction of the individual elements in soil was extracted with NH<sub>4</sub>-Acetate+EDTA solution (pH 4.65) according to Lakanen and Erviö (1971). The soil samples for this procedure were prepared by combine equal parts of four replicates in level of the *a*, *c* and *e* treatments because of inadequate funds for these investigations. Analyses of the elements (P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, Cd) in the soil extract were performed with a Jobin-Yvon Ultrace 238 ICP-OES spectrometer in the laboratory of the RISSAC, Budapest, Hungary.

After harvest mass of cobs was weighed by precise electronic balance. Than ten cobs from each treatments was used for determination of grain moisture and grain share in cob. Grain moisture was determined by electronic grain moisture instrument.

Data were statistically analyzed by ANOVA and treatment means were compared using t-test and LSD at 0.05 probability level.

# The soil and weather characteristics

The soil of the experiment is very acid and low supplied with available calcium and magnesium and adequate in remaining tested nutrients. Also, harmful heavy metal cadmium status is low and production of health food is possible on this soil (*Table 1.*).

| Table 1. | Chemical | properties | of the soil at | starting of | the experime | ent (Kovacevic | et al., 2011) |
|----------|----------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|
|          |          | P P        |                |             |              | (              |               |

| Soil characteristics (0-30 cm) at the start of the experiment before fertilization (April 23, 2004) |        |       |          |                  |     |        |           |         |      |      |       |
|---|--------|-------|----------|------------------|-----|--------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|
| pH % Concentrations (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) in NH <sub>4</sub> -Acetate+EE                           |        |       |          |                  |     | DTA so | lution (p | H 4.65) |      |      |       |
| H <sub>2</sub> O  | 1n KCl | Humus | $P_2O_5$ | K <sub>2</sub> O | Ca  | Mg     | Fe        | Mn      | Zn   | Cu   | Cd    |
| 5.44  | 3.99   | 1.93  | 90       | 171              | 456 | 77     | 496       | 296     | 2.45 | 3.56 | 0.086 |

The growing season 2009 was unfavorable for maize because of two long dry periods. In the 30-day period from July 11 to August 10, was only 17 mm precipitation. In the next 10-days period the field crops received rain in amount 40 mm. Then again was the next 40 days long drought period with only 4 mm precipitation. The precipitation in the May-September period was about 50% of average. At the same time, air-temperature was for 1.3  $^{\circ}$ C higher (Stojic et al., 2012).

In general, the higher yields of maize were found in the growing seasons characterizing the higher precipitation and the lower temperatures, especially in two summer months July and August (Markulj et al., 2010).

# **Results and discussion**

By application of ameliorative fertilization and liming grain yields of maize were in the 2009 growing season significantly increased for 6% and 8%, respectively (*Table 2.*). Effect of liming on maize yieds was found also in the other study (Kovacevic and Rastija, 2010). By application of the ameliorative rates the fertilizer, grain yields of maize significantly increased to level of 14% in 2004 and 7% in 2006. Response of soybean (2005) to the fertilization was considerably higher compared to maize, because yields of soybeans were increased up to 32% (*Table 2.*).

Table 2. Impacts of PK-fertilization (spring 2004) and liming (autumn 2007) on the field crop yields

| Fer                             | tilization                                       | in 2004               | PK-fert | PK-fertilization effects |             |         |           |                      |         |             |               |                    |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|-----------|----------------------|---------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|
| RP                              | D = realiz                                       | zed plant             | density | in % of j                | planned (H  | PD 680  | 027 plant | s ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |         | (2004-2006) |               |                    |
| Fertilization Liming (A)        |  |                       | Mean    | Limir                    | ıg (A)      | Mean    | RPD       | Maize                | Soybean | Maize       |               |                    |
| (B)                             |  |                       | 0       | 10                       | В           | 0       | 10        | В                    |         |             |               |                    |
|                                 | P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> K <sub>2</sub> O M |                       |         |                          | aize (the g | growing | season 2  | 009)                 | 2004    | 2005        | 2006          |                    |
|                                 | kg/  | ha                    | Grai    | n moistu                 | re (%)      | Grai    | n yield ( | t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | %       | Gra         | in yield (t l | ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| a                               | 0  | 0                     | 20.4    | 90.7                     | 20.5        | 9.9     | 10.5      | 10.2                 | 90.7    | 12.33       | 3.88          | 10.88              |
| b                               | 250  | 168                   | 21.3    | 91.2                     | 20.6        | 10.3    | 10.8      | 10.5                 | 91.2    | 13.18       | 4.87          | 11.16              |
| С                               | 500  | 336                   | 20.2    | 90.0                     | 20.0        | 10.6    | 11.1      | 10.9                 | 90.0    | 14.00       | 4.73          | 11.27              |
| d                               | 750  | 504                   | 20.0    | 90.5                     | 20.0        | 10.6    | 11.3      | 10.9                 | 90.5    | 14.09       | 4.98          | 11.60              |
| е                               | 1000   | 672                   | 20.5    | 90.0                     | 20.3        | 10.8    | 11.2      | 11.0                 | 90.0    | 13.73       | 5.14          | 11.52              |
|                                 | Mean A 20.5 20.1 9                               |                       |         |                          |             | 10.4    | 11.0      | 10.7                 | 90.5    | 13.47       | 4.72          | 11.28              |
| * Fertdolomite composition:     |  |                       |         |                          |             | А       | В         | AB                   |         |             |               |                    |
| 24.0 % CaO + 16.0% MgO + 3.0% N |  |                       |         |                          | P 0.05      | 0.47    | 0.40      | ns                   | P 0.05  | 0.52        | 0.72          | 0.38               |
| + 2                             | .5% P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -              | + 3.0% K <sub>2</sub> | 0       |                          | P 0.01      | ns      | 0.55      |                      | P 0.01  | 0.73        | ns            | ns                 |

| Factor B:<br>Fertilization<br>(2004) |          |                  | Impacts of fertilization (factor B) and liming (factor A) on soil status (HY = hydrolytical acidity): sampling 0-30 cm in term Sept 3, 2009 |          |        |                                  |                       |      |               |                          |      |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------------------|---|----------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------|--------------------------|------|--|--|
|                                      |          |                  | Liming (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Mean   |          |        | Liming (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Mea |                       |      | Liming        | Mean                     |      |  |  |
|                                      | kg/ha    |                  | 0   | 10       | В      | 0                                | 10                    | В    | 0             | 10                       | В    |  |  |
|                                      | $P_2O_5$ | K <sub>2</sub> O | pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)   |          |        |                                  | pH (1n K              | CI)  | HY (Cmol /kg) |                          |      |  |  |
| а                                    | 0        | 0                | 4.92  | 5.67     | 5.30   | 3.80                             | 4.44                  | 4.12 | 6.82          | 4.85                     | 5.84 |  |  |
| b                                    | 250      | 168              | 4.97  | 5.46     | 5.21   | 3.87                             | 4.32                  | 4.10 | 6.54          | 5.20                     | 5.87 |  |  |
| с                                    | 500      | 336              | 4.94  | 5.73     | 5.34   | 3.80                             | 4.80                  | 4.30 | 6.56          | 4.64                     | 5.60 |  |  |
| d                                    | 750      | 504              | 4.98  | 5.58     | 5.28   | 3.81                             | 4.55                  | 4.18 | 7.12          | 4.92                     | 6.02 |  |  |
| e                                    | 1000     | 672              | 4.90  | 5.69     | 5.30   | 3.75                             | 4.67                  | 4.21 | 7.57          | 4.78                     | 6.17 |  |  |
|                                      | Mean A   |                  | 4.94  | 5.63     |        | 3.81                             | 4.56                  |      | 6.92          | 4.88                     |      |  |  |
|                                      | P 0.05   |                  | A: 0.   | 10 B: ns | AB: ns | A: 0.1                           | A: 0.10 B: ns AB:0.23 |      |               | A: 0.52 B: 0.23 AB: 0.63 |      |  |  |
| P 0.01                               |          |                  | 0.24  |          | 0.24   |                                  | 0.32                  | 1.20 | 0.31          | 1.30                     |      |  |  |

Table 3. Soil pH and hydrolitical acidity (Kovacevic et al., 2011)

Liming significantly influenced on soil pH increases for 0.69 and 0.75 units, for pH in  $H_2O$  and KCl, respectively, as well as decrease of hydrolitical acidity for 2.04 Cmol/kg<sup>-1</sup>. However, ameliorative fertilization had low effects on these properties (*Table 3.*).

Table 4. Mobile fraction of individual elements in the soil

23 DOI:10.12666/Novenyterm.62.2013.suppl

| Fer | rtilization | n (2004)         | Impacts   | Impacts of fertilization and liming on soil status (sampling 0-30 cm in Sept 3, 2009)     |            |                              |           |         |                              |            |      |  |  |
|-----|-------------|------------------|-----------|---|------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------------------|------------|------|--|--|
|     | kg/ha       |                  | Liming    | $(t ha^{-1})$   | Mean       | Liming (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |           | Mean    | Liming (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |            | Mean |  |  |
|     | $P_2O_5$    | K <sub>2</sub> O | 0         | 10  |            | 0                            | 10        |         | 0                            | 10         |      |  |  |
|     |             |                  |           | Concentrations (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) in NH <sub>4</sub> -Acetate+EDTA solution (pH 4.65) |            |                              |           |         |                              |            |      |  |  |
|     |             |                  | Pho       | sphorus   | $(P_2O_5)$ | Pot                          | tassium ( | $K_2O)$ | C                            | Calcium (C | Ca)  |  |  |
| а   | 0           | 0                | 94        | 104   | 99         | 132                          | 121       | 127     | 461                          | 732        | 597  |  |  |
| с   | 500         | 336              | 101       | 112   | 106        | 151                          | 124       | 138     | 502                          | 818        | 660  |  |  |
| e   | 1000        | 672              | 116       | 140   | 128        | 165                          | 112       | 139     | 427                          | 874        | 651  |  |  |
|     | Mean        |                  | 105       | 119   |            | 149                          | 119       |         | 463                          | 808        |      |  |  |
|     |             |                  | Ma        | gnesium   | (Mg)       | Iron (Fe)                    |           |         | Manganese (Mn)               |            |      |  |  |
| а   | 0           | 0                | 75        | 151   | 113        | 335                          | 381       | 358     | 183                          | 208        | 196  |  |  |
| с   | 500         | 336              | 89        | 175   | 132        | 289                          | 326       | 308     | 177                          | 213        | 195  |  |  |
| e   | 1000        | 672              | 66        | 191   | 129        | 414                          | 267       | 341     | 192                          | 177        | 185  |  |  |
|     | Mear        | n                | 77        | 172   |            | 346                          | 325       |         | 184                          | 199        |      |  |  |
|     |             |                  | Zinc (Zn) |   |            | Copper (Cu)                  |           |         | Cadmium (Cd)                 |            |      |  |  |
| а   | 0           | 0                | 1.63      | 1.23  | 1.43       | 3.42                         | 2.57      | 3.00    | 0.064                        | 0.087      | 76   |  |  |
| с   | 500         | 336              | 1.00      | 1.58  | 1.29       | 3.11                         | 2.76      | 2.94    | 0.061                        | 0.094      | 78   |  |  |
| e   | 1000        | 672              | 1.28      | 1.32  | 1.30       | 3.58                         | 2.75      | 3.17    | 0.072                        | 0.088      | 80   |  |  |
|     | Mear        | n                | 1.30      | 101   |            | 337                          | 269       |         | 0.066                        | 0.090      |      |  |  |

As affected by the fertilization available P, K and Mg in the soil were increased for 29% and 9%, while the remaining elements (*Table 4.*) status was independent on the fertilization. However, liming considerably affected on Ca, Mg and Cd soil status (increases for 75%, 123%, and 36% respectively) as well as K, Zn and Cu status (decreses about 20%), while the impacts on P, Fe, and Mn status were the lower. However, Cd status in the soil was very low with aspect of helth food production.

## Conclusions

In general, maize responded moderately to the fertilization and liming by yield increases below 10%, probably becuse of drought in the 2009 growing season. Also, liming had the higher effects on the soil properties than PK-fertilization with exception of soil P and K status.

### References

Basic F.: 2013. The Soils of Croatia (World Soils Book Series), Springer.

ISO: 1994. Soil quality. Determination of pH. ISO 10390

- ISO: 1998. Soil quality. Determination of organic carbon by sulfochromic oxidation. ISO 14235.
- Kovacevic V. Rastija M. Josipovic M. Loncaric Z.: 2011. Impacts of liming and fertilization with phosphorus and potassium on soil status. Proc. of Intern. Conference "Soil, Plant and Food Interactions", 6 – 8 Sept. 2011, Faculty of Agronomy, Mendel University in Brno, Czech Republic.

Kovacevic V. - Rastija M.: 2010. Impacts of liming by dolomite on the maize and barley grain yields, Poljoprivreda/Agriculture 16 (2): 3-8.

Lakanen E. - Erviö R.: 1971. A comparison of eifht extractans for the determination of plant available micronutrients in soils. Acta Agr. Fenn. 123:223-232

Markulj A. - Marijanović M. - Tkalec M. - Jozic A. - Kovacevic V.: 2010. Effects of precipitation and temperature regimes on maize yields in northwestern Croatia. Acta Agriculturae Serbica, 29: 39-45.

Rastija, M. - Kovacevic, V. - Vrataric, M. - Sudaric, A. - Krizmanic, M.: 2006. Response of maize and soybeans to ameliorative fertilization in Bjelovar-Bilogora County. Cereal Res. Comm. 34: 1. 641-644.

Stojic B. - Kovacevic V. - Seput M. - Kaucic D. - Mikoc V.: 2012. Maize yields variation among years as function of weather regimes and fertilization. Növénytermelés, **61** (Suppl.): 85-88.