MAGIC observations of MWC 656, the only known Be/BH system
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ABSTRACT

Context. MWC 656 has recently been established as the first observationally detected high-mass X-ray binary system containing a Be star and a black hole (BH). The system has been associated with a gamma-ray flaring event detected by the AGILE satellite in July 2010.

Aims. Our aim is to evaluate if the MWC 656 gamma-ray emission extends to very high energy (VHE > 100 GeV) gamma rays.

Methods. We have observed MWC 656 with the MAGIC telescopes for ~23 hours during two observation periods: between May and June 2012 and June 2013. During the last period, observations were performed contemporaneously with X-ray (XMM-Newton) and optical (STELLA) instruments.

Results. We have not detected the MAGIC observations in the TeV energy range with the MAGIC telescopes in either of the two campaigns carried out. Upper limits (ULs) to the integral flux above 300 GeV have been set, as well as differential ULs at a level of ~ 5% of the Crab Nebula flux. The results obtained from the MAGIC observations do not support persistent emission of very high energy gamma rays from this system at a level of 2.4% the Crab flux.
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1. Introduction

High-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs) are systems composed of a massive star (M∗ ≥ 10 M⊙) and a compact object, either a black hole (BH) or a neutron star (NS). The search for GeV and TeV emission from HMXBs has been the aim of extensive studies during the past few decades. Despite the large number of observations devoted to the search, only a few of those systems have been confirmed as gamma-ray emitters. A particular group of five systems are regularly detected at TeV energies: the gamma-ray binaries (see Dubus 2013 and references therein). Two other HMXBs have been the object of extensive searches: Cygnus X-3, which emits in the high-energy (HE; 100 MeV < E < 100 GeV) domain (Fermi-LAT Collaboration et al. 2009; Tavani et al. 2009b), and Cyg X-1, which has been reported to emit at HE (Sabatini et al. 2013; Malyshev et al. 2009; Tavani et al. 2009b) and showed a ~ 4σ excess at VHE (Albert et al. 2007). To investigate the gamma-ray mech-
anisms in this type of sources, observational campaigns on other HMXBs have been carried out. The recently discovered object MWC 656 \cite{Lucarelli2010} is a HMXB system and has been proposed as a new gamma-ray binary candidate \cite{Williams2010}. On July 2010, AGILE \cite{Tavani2009a} detected a gamma-ray point-like source dubbed AGL J2241+4454 with a significant excess above 5 sigma, displaying an integral flux above 100 MeV of $15 \times 10^{-6}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ \cite{Lucarelli2010}. The source was first detected during the period between 25th July at 01:00 UT (MJD = 55402.042) and 26th July 2010 at 23:30 UT (MJD = 55403.979). The source is located at ($l,b$) = (100.0$^\circ$, $-12.2^\circ$) $\pm$ 0.6 ($95\%$stat.) $\pm$ 0.1 ($\sigma$yst.). At the time of writing, no further flares from this source have been reported and no spectrum has been published.

Fermi-LAT \cite{Atwood2009} could not confirm the detection by AGILE and an analysis\footnote{\url{http://fermisky.blogspot.com.es/2010/07/extra-note-july-28-2814.html}} of simultaneous data from the same direction yielded an upper limit (UL) of $10^{-7}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ ($95\%$ confidence level, from now on CL) above 100 MeV, assuming a photon index $\Gamma = 2$. A more extended analysis of Fermi-LAT data, including 3.5 years of data on the AGL J2241+4454 source location, also led to no evidence of HE gamma-ray emission. A 90\% CL UL was set at the level of $9.4 \times 10^{-10}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for 3.5 years of observations \cite{Mori2013}.

The Be star MWC 656, also known as HD 215227, lies within the error bars of the AGILE best-fit source position. It was proposed as the optical counterpart of the excess claimed by the AGILE collaboration \cite{Williams2010}. The system displays optical photometric modulation with a period of 60.37 $\pm$ 0.04 days \cite{Williams2010,Paredes-Fortuny2012}. Optical spectroscopic measurements of MWC 656 confirmed its binary nature \cite{Casares2012}. Recent optical spectroscopic measurements improved the spectral classification and reduced the uncertainties in the spectrophotometric distance, placing the system at a distance of $2.6 \pm 0.6$ kpc. These measurements also revealed that the compact object is a stellar-mass BH of $3.8 - 6.9$ solar masses, making this the first known case of a Be/BH system \cite{Casares2014}.

MWC 656 was also observed in radio with the European VLBI Network (EVN) and was not detected \cite{Moldon2012} reported 3$\sigma$ radio flux density ULs at 30–66 $\mu$Jy level. X-ray observations were performed by XMM-Newton when the source was at an orbital phase $\phi = 0.084$ \cite{Munar-Adrover2014}. The X-ray flux measured was compared with the radio ULs, resulting in a ratio compatible with the correlation derived in \cite{Corbel2013} for BH LMXBs, and comparable to the faintest BH LMXBs detected. A search for hard X-ray emission has been conducted with INTEGRAL \cite{Li2013} with no positive detection in the 18–60 keV energy band reported for a total exposure time of 2.1 Ms.

In addition, the MAXI mission, which continuously monitors the X-ray sky in the 2–20 keV band, has not detected emission coming from the AGL J2241+4454 position\footnote{\url{http://fermisky.blogspot.com.es/2010/07/extra-note-july-28-2814.html}} on the same date as of the AGILE detection.

In this work we present the results of the observations of MWC 656 carried out with the MAGIC telescopes in 2012 and 2013. X-ray and optical observations were performed during the 2013 campaign to study the behavior of the source in a multi-wavelength context.

### 2. Observations

VHE observations of MWC 656 were carried out using the MAGIC telescopes, which are located at the observatory of El Roque de Los Muchachos (28°N, 18°W, 2200 m above the sea level) on the island of La Palma, Canary Islands, Spain. The system consists of two 17 m diameter Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes (IACTs) each one with a pixelized camera containing photo-multipliers, covering a field of view of 3.5°.

The current sensitivity of the MAGIC stereoscopic system is $0.71\% \pm 0.02\%$ of the Crab Nebula flux in 50 h of observation for energies above 250 GeV \cite{Aleksic2014}. The spatial resolution at these energies is $\lesssim 0.1^\circ$ and the energy resolution is $\sim 18\%$. In the case of monoscopic observations (also referred as mono observations) the integral sensitivity above 280 GeV is about 1.6% of the Crab Nebula flux in 50 hours \cite{Ahluwalia2009}. The observations are performed using wobble mode, in which the telescopes point at two different symmetric regions situated $0.4^\circ$ away from the source position to simultaneously evaluate the background.

The observations of MWC 656 were performed during two different epochs: May-June 2012 and June 2013. The observations in 2012 were performed between 23rd of May and 19th of June in mono mode with MAGIC-II (the MAGIC-I telescope was not operational) for 23.4 hours. After selecting good-quality data, a total of 21.3 hours remained. The 2013 observations were performed between June 3rd and 5th, just after the periastron passage (see Figure\footref{fig:1}) in stereo mode. The source was observed for a total of $\sim 3.3$ h during this period. A summary of the observations is shown in Table\footref{tab:1}.

The observation of June 4, 2013 ($\phi = 0.08$) lasted for $\sim 1$ h and was taken almost simultaneously with a XMM-Newton observation (XMM-Newton started observing right after MAGIC finished its observations), the results of which are reported in \cite{Munar-Adrover2014}. MWC 656 was also observed with the fiber-fed STELLA Echelle Spectrograph (SES) of the 1.2 m robotic STELLA-I (ST) optical telescope \cite{Strassmeier2004} at the Observatorio del Teide in Tenerife on the nights of 2nd, 3rd, 5th and 8th of June 2013. The spectra cover the wavelength range 3870–8800 Å with increasing inter-order gaps starting at 7200 Å. The spectrograph provides an effective resolving power of $R = 55000$. Two spectra were obtained on the nights of 2 and 5 June and one on the nights of 3 and 8 June. The integration time was set to 1800 s per spectrum while the automatic pipeline products were used for the extraction and calibration of the spectra.

### Table 1: Observations of MWC 656 performed by MAGIC in 2012 and 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (MJD)</th>
<th>Orbital Phase (°)</th>
<th>Zenith Angle Range (°)</th>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
<th>Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56070 - 56078</td>
<td>0.83 - 0.95</td>
<td>23 - 30</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>mono</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56092 - 56097</td>
<td>0.20 - 0.28</td>
<td>22 - 51</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>mono</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56446 - 56448</td>
<td>0.06 - 0.08</td>
<td>28 - 45</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>stereo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
No significant gamma-ray emission was detected from MWC 656 in either observational campaign. Furthermore, no significant signal was detected in a day-to-day analysis.

We computed 95% confidence level (CL) integral flux ULs above 300 GeV. The integral flux UL for the whole observational campaign of MWC 656 is \( F(E > 300 \text{ GeV}) = 2.0 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (~2.4% of the Crab Nebula flux at the same energy and corresponding to a luminosity \( L_{\text{VHE}} \sim 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) at 95% CL, assuming a power-law model with a photon index \( \Gamma = 2.5 \).

We divided the observational periods into four different phase bins, using a bin width of 0.1 in phase, along with the most recent ephemeris (Casares et al. 2014). The phase was binned as follows: phases 0.8–0.9, 0.9–1.0 and 0.2–0.3 for the 2012 campaign, covering the orbit before the periastron passage and also post-periastron phases (see Figure 1). The 2013 campaign covered the phase range 0.0–0.1, just after the periastron passage.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

We have searched for a VHE counterpart of the only known Be/BH binary system, MWC 656. The VHE observations performed by MAGIC can exclude a VHE flux based on the extrapolation of the emission of the AGILE detection. Assuming a power-law spectrum and a photon index \( \Gamma = 2.5 \), this emission would be ~ \( 4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ TeV}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) at 300 GeV (\( L_{\text{VHE}} \sim 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \)), which is well above the UL imposed by MAGIC. However, no flaring episodes were reported during the MAGIC observations, limiting the conclusions we can derive from the HE/VHE comparison.

In this type of binary, several mechanisms have been proposed that would result in gamma-ray emission above tens of GeV (Remillard & McClintock 2006; Fender 2000; Zdziarski et al. 2014). Unfortunately, the lack of contemporaneous data at other wavelengths during the AGILE flare made conclusions on the type of emission model highly speculative. It is even possible that the AGILE detection was just a transient event of an unknown nature in the direction of the binary system but not related to it. Nevertheless, different emission levels could be expected depending on the state where the system is, i.e.; quiescence or accreting state.

No signal was detected and integral ULs for bins of ~0.1 in phase have also been computed. (See Table 1)

We have computed differential flux ULs from the energy threshold of our analysis (245 GeV) up to 6.3 TeV at 95% CL, with five bins per decade of energy (see Figure 2).

The MAGIC observations carried out on June 4, 2013 were performed almost simultaneously with an XMM-Newton observation. The detected low X-ray flux was consistent with the source being in the quiescent state (defined in terms of the Eddington luminosity, when \( L < 10^{-5} L_{\text{Edd}} \)) during the observation (Munar-Adrover et al. 2014). The MAGIC integral flux UL for June 4 is \( F(E > 300 \text{GeV}) < 4.9 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). There is no specific information about the X-ray state of the binary system during the 2012 observations. Other space missions such as MAXI have not reported emission from MWC 656 during the 2012–2013 campaign, which might be indicative of a quiescent state as well.

Finally, the STELLA spectra, contemporaneous with the 2013 MAGIC campaign, shows the presence of the double peaked He II \( \lambda 4686 \) emission line with an equivalent width comparable to that reported in Casares et al. (2012). We also detect other emission lines, mainly H\( \beta \), H\( \beta \) and weak FeII lines with comparable strength to that measured by Casares et al. (2012).

Therefore, we conclude that MWC 656 is in a similar optical state as in past observations, the 2013 X-ray observations indicate a quiescent state, and hence the accretion activity should be very similar.
During simultaneous X-ray and VHE observations the X-ray luminosity of the source in the $0.3 - 5.5$ keV energy range was $L_X(0.3 - 5.5$ keV) $\approx 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ $\equiv (3.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5} L_{\text{Edd}}$ ([Munar-Adrover et al. 2014]) for the estimated BH mass range 3.8–6.9 $M_\odot$ ([Casares et al. 2014]). The low X-ray luminosity is characteristic of systems in quiescent states (defined in terms of the Eddington luminosity, when $L_X < 10^{-5} L_{\text{Edd}}$) ([Plotkin et al. 2013]). For instance, the X-ray luminosity is $\sim 5$ orders of magnitude lower than the one typically observed in Cygnus X-1, which has also been observed by MAGIC ([Albert et al. 2007]). Even if we consider an increase in the X-ray flux consistent with a flaring state in the 2012 observations, and a ratio between X-rays and VHE gamma rays of $F_X/F_{\gamma\text{VHE}} \sim 10$ (similar to that observed for Cygnus X-1 in [Albert et al. 2007]), the expected VHE emission would be a factor $\sim 1.5 \times 10^5$ of the Crab Nebula flux (~ $2 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) in this case, also well below the detectable levels for the current IACTs and even for the next generation of Cherenkov telescopes: the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA). The integral sensitivity of CTA is expected to be $\sim 10^{-12}$ TeV cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 1 h observation, considering array E configuration ([Acharya et al. 2013]), still not enough to detect MWC 656 in relatively short time in this simple approximation.

Figure 2 shows the spectral energy distribution (SED) of MWC 656. The absence of a detection of steady emission at high and very high energies implies that the X-ray emission cannot continue to increase with energy indefinitely and must turn over in the SED. The MAGIC differential ULs correspond only to June 2013 data, because for the 2012 observations X-ray information is not available. We also plotted the AGILE measurement in the SED along with the Fermi-LAT upper limit, obtained with observations performed on the same dates of the AGILE detection. Although the Fermi-LAT UL contradicts the AGILE measurement, it is worth noting that the observation modes of these telescopes are different and that the integration time might not be exactly the same. Therefore, the observations are not strictly simultaneous and Fermi-LAT might have missed short (< 1 hour) gamma-ray flares from MWC 656.
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