

Two divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ summing up to $\delta n + \varepsilon$, for δ and ε even

Workshop on Number Theory and Algebra,
November 26 - 28, 2014, Zagreb

Sanda Bujačić¹

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Rijeka, Croatia

Previous Results

- In [1] Ayad gives sufficient conditions for a polynomial P to be indecomposable¹ in terms of its critical points and critical values and conjectures the following claim

There do not exist two divisors d_1, d_2 of $(p^2 + 1)/2$, greater than 1 such that

$$d_1 + d_2 = p + 1.$$

¹Polynomial P is **indecomposable** if it can be expressed as the composition of two non-constant and non-linear polynomials.

Previous Results

- In [1] Ayad gives sufficient conditions for a polynomial P to be indecomposable¹ in terms of its critical points and critical values and conjectures the following claim

There do not exist two divisors d_1, d_2 of $(p^2 + 1)/2$, greater than 1 such that

$$d_1 + d_2 = p + 1.$$

¹Polynomial P is **indecomposable** if it can be expressed as the composition of two non-constant and non-linear polynomials.

Previous Results

- In [2], Ayad and Luca have proved that there does not exist an odd integer $n > 1$ and two positive divisors d_1, d_2 of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that

$$d_1 + d_2 = n + 1$$

and they also proved that the primitive function of

$$\int (x - x_1)^{(p^2-1)/2} (x - x_2)^{(p^2-1)/2} dx,$$

$$p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad x_1 \neq x_2$$

is indecomposable over \mathbb{C} which was already proved in [1] by a different method

Previous Results

A Diophantine application of the previous claim that is also proved in [2] is

Corollary

Let $a < b, c < d, e$ fixed integers and let $p \leq q$ be odd primes. If Diophantine equation

$$\int_0^x ((t-a)(t-b))^{(p^2-1)/2} dt - \int_0^y ((s-c)(s-d))^{(q^2-1)/2} ds = e$$

has infinitely many solutions (x, y) , then

$$p = q, \quad c - a = d - b = f, \quad e = \int_0^{-f} ((t-a)(t-b))^{(p^2-1)/2} dt.$$

Previous Results

In [3], Dujella and Luca have dealt with a more general issue, where $n + 1$ was replaced with an arbitrary linear polynomial $\delta n + \varepsilon$, where $\delta > 0$ and ε are given integers.

Previous Results

- Since d_1, d_2 are divisors of a sum of two coprime squares we conclude

$$d_1 \equiv d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$d_1 + d_2 = \delta n + \varepsilon,$$

then there are two cases: it is either $\delta \equiv \varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, or $\delta \equiv \varepsilon + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

- In [3] authors have focused on the first case and we deal with the second case.

Previous Results

- Since d_1, d_2 are divisors of a sum of two coprime squares we conclude

$$d_1 \equiv d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$d_1 + d_2 = \delta n + \varepsilon,$$

then there are two cases: it is either $\delta \equiv \varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, or $\delta \equiv \varepsilon + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

- In [3] authors have focused on the first case and we deal with the second case.

We completely solve cases when

- $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,
- $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,
- $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Theorem

If $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then there exist infinitely many positive odd integers n with the property that there exists a pair of positive divisors d_1, d_2 of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = 2n + \varepsilon$.

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

- Let n be an odd integer, $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and d_1, d_2 divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ where

$$d_1 + d_2 = 2n + \varepsilon.$$

- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. We can write $d_1 = gd'_1$, $d_2 = gd'_2$, $d'_1, d'_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Since d_1, d_2 are divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$, we can conclude $gd'_1d'_2 = \text{lcm}(d_1, d_2)$ divides $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$
- There exists a positive integer d such that

$$d_1d_2 = \frac{g(n^2 + 1)}{2d}.$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

- Let n be an odd integer, $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and d_1, d_2 divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ where

$$d_1 + d_2 = 2n + \varepsilon.$$

- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. We can write $d_1 = gd'_1$, $d_2 = gd'_2$, $d'_1, d'_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Since d_1, d_2 are divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$, we can conclude $gd'_1d'_2 = \text{lcm}(d_1, d_2)$ divides $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$
- There exists a positive integer d such that

$$d_1d_2 = \frac{g(n^2 + 1)}{2d}.$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

- Let n be an odd integer, $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and d_1, d_2 divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ where

$$d_1 + d_2 = 2n + \varepsilon.$$

- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. We can write $d_1 = gd'_1$, $d_2 = gd'_2$, $d'_1, d'_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Since d_1, d_2 are divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$, we can conclude $gd'_1d'_2 = \text{lcm}(d_1, d_2)$ divides $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$
- There exists a positive integer d such that

$$d_1d_2 = \frac{g(n^2 + 1)}{2d}.$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

- Let n be an odd integer, $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and d_1, d_2 divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ where

$$d_1 + d_2 = 2n + \varepsilon.$$

- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. We can write $d_1 = gd'_1$, $d_2 = gd'_2$, $d'_1, d'_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Since d_1, d_2 are divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$, we can conclude $gd'_1d'_2 = \text{lcm}(d_1, d_2)$ divides $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$
- There exists a positive integer d such that

$$d_1d_2 = \frac{g(n^2 + 1)}{2d}.$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

From the identity

$$(d_2 - d_1)^2 = (d_1 + d_2)^2 - 4d_1d_2,$$

we can easily obtain

$$(d_2 - d_1)^2 = (2n + \varepsilon)^2 - 4 \frac{g(n^2 + 1)}{2d},$$

$$d(4d - 2g)(d_2 - d_1)^2 = (4d - 2g)^2 n^2 + 4(4d - 2g)d\varepsilon n + 4d^2\varepsilon^2 - 8dg - 2\varepsilon^2 dg + 4g^2. \quad (1)$$

For $X = (4d - 2g)n + 2d\varepsilon$, $Y = d_2 - d_1$, the equation (1) becomes

$$X^2 - d(4d - 2g)Y^2 = 8dg + 2\varepsilon^2 dg - 4g^2.$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

For $g = 1$ the previous equation becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(2d - 1)Y^2 = 2d(4 + \varepsilon^2) - 4. \quad (2)$$

The equation (2) is a Pellian equation. The right-hand side of (2) is greater than zero.

Our goal is to make the right-hand side of (2) a perfect square. That condition can be satisfied by taking $d = \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + 1$.

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

For $g = 1$ the previous equation becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(2d - 1)Y^2 = 2d(4 + \varepsilon^2) - 4. \quad (2)$$

The equation (2) is a Pellian equation. The right-hand side of (2) is greater than zero.

Our goal is to make the right-hand side of (2) a perfect square. That condition can be satisfied by taking $d = \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + 1$.

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Pellian equation (2) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(2d - 1)Y^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)\right)^2. \quad (3)$$

If we set

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U, \quad Y = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)V, \quad (4)$$

the equation (3) becomes

$$U^2 - 2d(2d - 1)V^2 = 1. \quad (5)$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Pellian equation (2) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(2d - 1)Y^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)\right)^2. \quad (3)$$

If we set

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U, \quad Y = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)V, \quad (4)$$

the equation (3) becomes

$$U^2 - 2d(2d - 1)V^2 = 1. \quad (5)$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Equation (5) is a Pell equation which has infinitely many positive integer solutions (U, V) , and consequently, there exist infinitely many positive integer solutions (X, Y) of (3) of the form (4).

We can easily get

$$\sqrt{2d(2d-1)} = [2d-1; \overline{2, 4d-2}].$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Equation (5) is a Pell equation which has infinitely many positive integer solutions (U, V) , and consequently, there exist infinitely many positive integer solutions (X, Y) of (3) of the form (4).

We can easily get

$$\sqrt{2d(2d-1)} = [2d-1; \overline{2, 4d-2}].$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Generally, nonnegative integer solutions of (5) are generated by recursive sequences

$$U_0 = 1, \quad U_1 = 4d - 1, \quad U_{m+2} = 2(4d - 1)U_{m+1} - U_m,$$

$$V_0 = 0, \quad V_1 = 2, \quad V_{m+2} = 2(4d - 1)V_{m+1} - V_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (6)$$

By induction on m , one gets that

$$U_m \equiv 1 \pmod{(4d - 2)}, \quad m \geq 0.$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Generally, nonnegative integer solutions of (5) are generated by recursive sequences

$$U_0 = 1, \quad U_1 = 4d - 1, \quad U_{m+2} = 2(4d - 1)U_{m+1} - U_m,$$

$$V_0 = 0, \quad V_1 = 2, \quad V_{m+2} = 2(4d - 1)V_{m+1} - V_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (6)$$

By induction on m , one gets that

$$U_m \equiv 1 \pmod{(4d - 2)}, \quad m \geq 0.$$

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

It remains to compute the corresponding values of n which arise from

$$X = (4d - 2)n + 2d\varepsilon, \quad X = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U.$$

We obtain

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U - 2d\varepsilon}{4d - 2}.$$

We want the above number n to be a positive integer.

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

It remains to compute the corresponding values of n which arise from

$$X = (4d - 2)n + 2d\varepsilon, \quad X = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U.$$

We obtain

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U - 2d\varepsilon}{4d - 2}.$$

We want the above number n to be a positive integer.

The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Congruences

$$\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U - 2d\varepsilon \equiv -(2d - 1)\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{(4d - 2)},$$

show that all numbers n generated in the specified way are integers.

From the first recursive sequence in (7) we know that $U_m, m \geq 0$, are odd integers so we may conclude

$$\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U - 2d\varepsilon \equiv 2U \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } 4d - 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4},$$

which implies that all such integers n are odd.



The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Congruences

$$\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U - 2d\varepsilon \equiv -(2d - 1)\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{(4d - 2)},$$

show that all numbers n generated in the specified way are integers. From the first recursive sequence in (7) we know that $U_m, m \geq 0$, are odd integers so we may conclude

$$\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4)U - 2d\varepsilon \equiv 2U \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } 4d - 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4},$$

which implies that all such integers n are odd.



The case $\delta = 2$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

The first few values of number n , which we get from U_1, U_2, U_3 , are

$$\begin{cases} n = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^2 - 3\varepsilon + 6), \\ d_1 = 1, \\ d_2 = \varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 5. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} n = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^4 - 6\varepsilon^3 + 20\varepsilon^2 - 33\varepsilon + 34), \\ d_1 = \varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 5, \\ d_2 = \varepsilon^4 - 6\varepsilon^3 + 19\varepsilon^2 - 30\varepsilon + 29. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} n = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon^6 - 10\varepsilon^5 + 50\varepsilon^4 - 148\varepsilon^3 + 281\varepsilon^2 - 323\varepsilon + 198), \\ d_1 = \varepsilon^4 - 6\varepsilon^3 + 19\varepsilon^2 - 30\varepsilon + 29, \\ d_2 = \varepsilon^6 - 10\varepsilon^5 + 49\varepsilon^4 - 142\varepsilon^3 + 262\varepsilon^2 - 292\varepsilon + 169. \end{cases}$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Theorem

If $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then there exist infinitely many positive odd integers n with the property that there exists a pair of positive divisors d_1, d_2 of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = 4n + \varepsilon$.

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Let n be an odd integer, $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and d_1, d_2 divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ where

$$d_1 + d_2 = 4n + \varepsilon.$$

Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$ and d is a positive integer which satisfies the equation

$$d_1 d_2 = \frac{g(n^2 + 1)}{2d}.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Let n be an odd integer, $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and d_1, d_2 divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ where

$$d_1 + d_2 = 4n + \varepsilon.$$

Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$ and d is a positive integer which satisfies the equation

$$d_1 d_2 = \frac{g(n^2 + 1)}{2d}.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

From the identity

$$(d_2 - d_1)^2 = (d_1 + d_2)^2 - 4d_1d_2,$$

we obtain the equation

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - g)Y^2 = 32dg + 2\varepsilon^2dg - 4g^2, \quad (7)$$

where X, Y are $X = (16d - 2g)n + 4d\varepsilon$ and $Y = d_2 - d_1$.

For $g = 1$ (7) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - 1)Y^2 = 2d(16 + \varepsilon^2) - 4. \quad (8)$$

The right-hand side of (8) is always greater than zero.

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

From the identity

$$(d_2 - d_1)^2 = (d_1 + d_2)^2 - 4d_1d_2,$$

we obtain the equation

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - g)Y^2 = 32dg + 2\varepsilon^2dg - 4g^2, \quad (7)$$

where X, Y are $X = (16d - 2g)n + 4d\varepsilon$ and $Y = d_2 - d_1$.

For $g = 1$ (7) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - 1)Y^2 = 2d(16 + \varepsilon^2) - 4. \quad (8)$$

The right-hand side of (8) is always greater than zero.

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

If we take

$$d = \frac{1}{32}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon + \frac{5}{8},$$

the right-hand side of (8) is a perfect square and Pellian equation (8) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - 1)Y^2 = \left(\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)\right)^2. \quad (9)$$

We must notice that d is an integer if $\varepsilon \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, and it is not an integer if $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. So, we split the proof in two subcases.

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Let $\varepsilon \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. We set

$$X = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W, \quad Y = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)Z. \quad (10)$$

and the equation (9) becomes

$$W^2 - 2d(8d - 1)Z^2 = 1. \quad (11)$$

The equation (11) is a Pell equation which has infinitely many positive integer solutions (W, Z) , and consequently, there exist infinitely many positive integer solutions (X, Y) of (9) of the form (10).

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Let $\varepsilon \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. We set

$$X = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W, \quad Y = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)Z. \quad (10)$$

and the equation (9) becomes

$$W^2 - 2d(8d - 1)Z^2 = 1. \quad (11)$$

The equation (11) is a Pell equation which has infinitely many positive integer solutions (W, Z) , and consequently, there exist infinitely many positive integer solutions (X, Y) of (9) of the form (10).

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

All positive solutions of (11) are given by (W_m, Z_m) for some $m \geq 0$. Generally, nonnegative integer solutions of (11) are generated by recursive sequences

$$W_0 = 1, \quad W_1 = 16d - 1, \quad W_{m+2} = 2(16d - 1)W_{m+1} - W_m, \quad (12)$$

$$Z_0 = 0, \quad Z_1 = 4, \quad Z_{m+2} = 2(16d - 1)Z_{m+1} - Z_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

By induction on m , one gets that

$$W_m \equiv 1 \pmod{(16d - 2)}, \quad m \geq 0.$$

It remains to compute the corresponding values of n which arise from

$$X = (16d - 2)n + 4d\varepsilon, \quad X = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W.$$

We obtain

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W - 4d\varepsilon}{16d - 2}.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

By induction on m , one gets that

$$W_m \equiv 1 \pmod{(16d - 2)}, \quad m \geq 0.$$

It remains to compute the corresponding values of n which arise from

$$X = (16d - 2)n + 4d\varepsilon, \quad X = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W.$$

We obtain

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W - 4d\varepsilon}{16d - 2}.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

By induction on m , one gets that

$$W_m \equiv 1 \pmod{(16d - 2)}, \quad m \geq 0.$$

It remains to compute the corresponding values of n which arise from

$$X = (16d - 2)n + 4d\varepsilon, \quad X = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W.$$

We obtain

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W - 4d\varepsilon}{16d - 2}.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

The congruences

$$\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W - 4d\varepsilon \equiv (8d - 1)\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{(16d - 2)}$$

show us that all numbers n generated in the specified way are integers.

From recursive sequence (12) and because of the following congruences

$$\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W - 4d\varepsilon \equiv 2W \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } 16d - 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

we can conclude that such integers n are odd.

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

The congruences

$$\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W - 4d\varepsilon \equiv (8d - 1)\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{(16d - 2)}$$

show us that all numbers n generated in the specified way are integers.

From recursive sequence (12) and because of the following congruences

$$\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 16)W - 4d\varepsilon \equiv 2W \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } 16d - 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

we can conclude that such integers n are odd.

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

The first few values of number n , which we get from W_1, W_2, W_3 , are

$$\begin{cases} n = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^2 - 3\varepsilon + 18), \\ d_1 = 1 \\ d_2 = \varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 17. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} n = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^4 - 6\varepsilon^3 + 44\varepsilon^2 - 105\varepsilon + 322), \\ d_1 = \varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 17, \\ d_2 = \varepsilon^4 - 6\varepsilon^3 + 43\varepsilon^2 - 102\varepsilon + 305. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} n = \frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon^6 - 10\varepsilon^5 + 86\varepsilon^4 - 388\varepsilon^3 + 1529\varepsilon^2 - 3155\varepsilon + 5778), \\ d_1 = \varepsilon^4 - 6\varepsilon^3 + 43\varepsilon^2 - 102\varepsilon + 305, \\ d_2 = \varepsilon^6 - 10\varepsilon^5 + 85\varepsilon^4 - 382\varepsilon^3 + 1486\varepsilon^2 - 3052\varepsilon + 5473. \end{cases}$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Now, we deal with the case when $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, where $\varepsilon = 8k + 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $g = d_1 = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4$, the equation (7) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - g)Y^2 = \frac{2d - 1}{4}\varepsilon^4 + 8\varepsilon^2(2d - 1) + 64(2d - 1).$$

The right-hand side of the equation will be a perfect square if $2d - 1$ is a perfect square. Motivated by the experimental data, we take

$$d = \frac{1}{512}\varepsilon^4 - \frac{1}{64}\varepsilon^3 + \frac{7}{64}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{5}{16}\varepsilon + \frac{41}{32}.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Now, we deal with the case when $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, where

$\varepsilon = 8k + 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $g = d_1 = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4$, the equation (7) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - g)Y^2 = \frac{2d - 1}{4}\varepsilon^4 + 8\varepsilon^2(2d - 1) + 64(2d - 1).$$

The right-hand side of the equation will be a perfect square if $2d - 1$ is a perfect square. Motivated by the experimental data, we take

$$d = \frac{1}{512}\varepsilon^4 - \frac{1}{64}\varepsilon^3 + \frac{7}{64}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{5}{16}\varepsilon + \frac{41}{32}.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Now, we deal with the case when $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, where

$$\varepsilon = 8k + 2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For $g = d_1 = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4$, the equation (7) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - g)Y^2 = \frac{2d - 1}{4}\varepsilon^4 + 8\varepsilon^2(2d - 1) + 64(2d - 1).$$

The right-hand side of the equation will be a perfect square if $2d - 1$ is a perfect square. Motivated by the experimental data, we take

$$d = \frac{1}{512}\varepsilon^4 - \frac{1}{64}\varepsilon^3 + \frac{7}{64}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{5}{16}\varepsilon + \frac{41}{32}.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

So, the equation (7) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - g)Y^2 = \left(\frac{1}{32}(\varepsilon^2 + 16)(\varepsilon^2 - 4\varepsilon + 20) \right)^2. \quad (13)$$

We consider the corresponding Pell equation

$$U^2 - 2d(8d - g)V^2 = 1. \quad (14)$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

So, the equation (7) becomes

$$X^2 - 2d(8d - g)Y^2 = \left(\frac{1}{32}(\varepsilon^2 + 16)(\varepsilon^2 - 4\varepsilon + 20) \right)^2. \quad (13)$$

We consider the corresponding Pell equation

$$U^2 - 2d(8d - g)V^2 = 1. \quad (14)$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Let (U_0, V_0) be the least positive integer solution of (14). That equation has infinitely many solutions. From (14) we get that

$$U^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{(16d - 2g)}.$$

Motivated by experimental data, we can also set

$$d_2 = d_1^2 - 16kd_1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For $Y = d_2 - d_1$ we get

$$Y = \left(\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4\right)^2 - (2\varepsilon - 3) \left(\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4\right) = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{16} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} + \frac{11\varepsilon^2}{4} - 8\varepsilon + 28.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Let (U_0, V_0) be the least positive integer solution of (14). That equation has infinitely many solutions. From (14) we get that

$$U^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{(16d - 2g)}.$$

Motivated by experimental data, we can also set

$$d_2 = d_1^2 - 16kd_1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For $Y = d_2 - d_1$ we get

$$Y = \left(\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4\right)^2 - (2\varepsilon - 3) \left(\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4\right) = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{16} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} + \frac{11\varepsilon^2}{4} - 8\varepsilon + 28.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Let (U_0, V_0) be the least positive integer solution of (14). That equation has infinitely many solutions. From (14) we get that

$$U^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{(16d - 2g)}.$$

Motivated by experimental data, we can also set

$$d_2 = d_1^2 - 16kd_1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For $Y = d_2 - d_1$ we get

$$Y = \left(\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4\right)^2 - (2\varepsilon - 3) \left(\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + 4\right) = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{16} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} + \frac{11\varepsilon^2}{4} - 8\varepsilon + 28.$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

From (13), we obtain:

$$X = \frac{(\varepsilon^2 + 16)(\varepsilon^6 - 16\varepsilon^5 + 140\varepsilon^4 - 768\varepsilon^3 + 3120\varepsilon^2 - 8704\varepsilon + 14400)}{2048}.$$

We claim that X satisfies the congruence

$$X \equiv 4d\varepsilon \pmod{(16d - 2g)}. \quad (15)$$

Indeed,

$$16d - 2g = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{32} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{4} + \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{4} - 5\varepsilon + \frac{25}{2},$$

$$X - 4d\varepsilon = \left(\frac{\varepsilon^4}{32} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{4} + \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{4} - 5\varepsilon + \frac{25}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon^4}{64} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{8} + \frac{13\varepsilon^2}{16} - \frac{9\varepsilon}{4} + 9 \right).$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

From (13), we obtain:

$$X = \frac{(\varepsilon^2 + 16)(\varepsilon^6 - 16\varepsilon^5 + 140\varepsilon^4 - 768\varepsilon^3 + 3120\varepsilon^2 - 8704\varepsilon + 14400)}{2048}.$$

We claim that X satisfies the congruence

$$X \equiv 4d\varepsilon \pmod{(16d - 2g)}. \quad (15)$$

Indeed,

$$16d - 2g = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{32} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{4} + \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{4} - 5\varepsilon + \frac{25}{2},$$

$$X - 4d\varepsilon = \left(\frac{\varepsilon^4}{32} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{4} + \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{4} - 5\varepsilon + \frac{25}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon^4}{64} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{8} + \frac{13\varepsilon^2}{16} - \frac{9\varepsilon}{4} + 9 \right).$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

From (13), we obtain:

$$X = \frac{(\varepsilon^2 + 16)(\varepsilon^6 - 16\varepsilon^5 + 140\varepsilon^4 - 768\varepsilon^3 + 3120\varepsilon^2 - 8704\varepsilon + 14400)}{2048}.$$

We claim that X satisfies the congruence

$$X \equiv 4d\varepsilon \pmod{(16d - 2g)}. \quad (15)$$

Indeed,

$$16d - 2g = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{32} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{4} + \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{4} - 5\varepsilon + \frac{25}{2},$$

$$X - 4d\varepsilon = \left(\frac{\varepsilon^4}{32} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{4} + \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{4} - 5\varepsilon + \frac{25}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon^4}{64} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{8} + \frac{13\varepsilon^2}{16} - \frac{9\varepsilon}{4} + 9 \right).$$

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

From $n = \frac{X-4d\varepsilon}{16d-2g}$, we get

$$n = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{64} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{8} + \frac{13\varepsilon^2}{16} - \frac{9\varepsilon}{4} + 9 = 64k^4 + 28k^2 + 7,$$

and we see that n is an odd integer.

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

We set $X = X_0$ and $Y = Y_0$. Because (X_0, Y_0) is a solution of (13), solutions of (13) are also

$$X_i + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}Y_i = \left(X_0 + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}Y_0 \right) \left(U_0 + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}V_0 \right)^{2i}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (16)$$

From the equation (16), we get

$$X_i \equiv U_0^{2i} X_0 \equiv X_0 \equiv 4d\varepsilon \pmod{(16d - 2g)}.$$

So, there are infinitely many solutions (X_i, Y_i) of (13) where X_i satisfies the congruence (15).

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

We set $X = X_0$ and $Y = Y_0$. Because (X_0, Y_0) is a solution of (13), solutions of (13) are also

$$X_i + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}Y_i = \left(X_0 + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}Y_0 \right) \left(U_0 + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}V_0 \right)^{2i}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (16)$$

From the equation (16), we get

$$X_i \equiv U_0^{2i} X_0 \equiv X_0 \equiv 4d\varepsilon \pmod{(16d - 2g)}.$$

So, there are infinitely many solutions (X_i, Y_i) of (13) where X_i satisfies the congruence (15).

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

We set $X = X_0$ and $Y = Y_0$. Because (X_0, Y_0) is a solution of (13), solutions of (13) are also

$$X_i + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}Y_i = \left(X_0 + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}Y_0 \right) \left(U_0 + \sqrt{2d(8d - g)}V_0 \right)^{2i}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (16)$$

From the equation (16), we get

$$X_i \equiv U_0^{2i} X_0 \equiv X_0 \equiv 4d\varepsilon \pmod{(16d - 2g)}.$$

So, there are infinitely many solutions (X_i, Y_i) of (13) where X_i satisfies the congruence (15).

The case $\delta = 4$ and $\varepsilon \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Therefore, by

$$n_i = \frac{X_i - 4d\varepsilon}{16d - 2g}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

we get infinitely many integers n_i with the required properties. It is easy to see that number n_i defined in this way is odd. Indeed, we have $16d - 2g \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $X_0 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and since (14) implies that U_0 is odd and V_0 is even, we get from (15) that

$$X_i - 4d\varepsilon \equiv X_i \equiv U_0^{2i} X_0 \equiv X_0 \equiv 2 \pmod{4},$$

so every n_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is an odd integer.



Two divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ summing up to $\delta n + \varepsilon$, for δ and ε even

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta = 2$

1 Introduction

2 The case $\delta = 2$

3 The case $\delta = 4$

4 The case $\varepsilon = 0$

■ $\delta = 2$

■ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

Two divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ summing up to $\delta n + \varepsilon$, for δ and ε even

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

Proposition

There exist infinitely many positive odd integers n with the property that there exists a pair of positive divisors d_1, d_2 of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = 2n$. These solutions satisfy $\gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1$ and $d_1 d_2 = \frac{n^2+1}{2}$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

- Let n be an odd integer and let d_1, d_2 be positive divisors of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = 2n$.
- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. Then $g|(2n)$ and $g|(n^2 + 1)$ which implies that $g|((2n)^2 + 4)$ so we can conclude that $g|4$.
- Because g is the greatest common divisor of d_1, d_2 and d_1, d_2 are odd numbers, we can also conclude that g is an odd number.
- So, $g = 1$.
- Like we did in the proofs of the previous theorems, we define a positive integer d which satisfies the equation $d_1 d_2 = \frac{n^2+1}{2d}$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

- Let n be an odd integer and let d_1, d_2 be positive divisors of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = 2n$.
- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. Then $g|(2n)$ and $g|(n^2 + 1)$ which implies that $g|((2n)^2 + 4)$ so we can conclude that $g|4$.
- Because g is the greatest common divisor of d_1, d_2 and d_1, d_2 are odd numbers, we can also conclude that g is an odd number.
- So, $g = 1$.
- Like we did in the proofs of the previous theorems, we define a positive integer d which satisfies the equation $d_1 d_2 = \frac{n^2+1}{2d}$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

- Let n be an odd integer and let d_1, d_2 be positive divisors of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = 2n$.
- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. Then $g|(2n)$ and $g|(n^2 + 1)$ which implies that $g|((2n)^2 + 4)$ so we can conclude that $g|4$.
- Because g is the greatest common divisor of d_1, d_2 and d_1, d_2 are odd numbers, we can also conclude that g is an odd number.
- So, $g = 1$.
- Like we did in the proofs of the previous theorems, we define a positive integer d which satisfies the equation $d_1 d_2 = \frac{n^2+1}{2d}$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

- Let n be an odd integer and let d_1, d_2 be positive divisors of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = 2n$.
- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. Then $g|(2n)$ and $g|(n^2 + 1)$ which implies that $g|((2n)^2 + 4)$ so we can conclude that $g|4$.
- Because g is the greatest common divisor of d_1, d_2 and d_1, d_2 are odd numbers, we can also conclude that g is an odd number.
- So, $g = 1$.
- Like we did in the proofs of the previous theorems, we define a positive integer d which satisfies the equation $d_1 d_2 = \frac{n^2+1}{2d}$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

- Let n be an odd integer and let d_1, d_2 be positive divisors of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = 2n$.
- Let $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2)$. Then $g|(2n)$ and $g|(n^2 + 1)$ which implies that $g|((2n)^2 + 4)$ so we can conclude that $g|4$.
- Because g is the greatest common divisor of d_1, d_2 and d_1, d_2 are odd numbers, we can also conclude that g is an odd number.
- So, $g = 1$.
- Like we did in the proofs of the previous theorems, we define a positive integer d which satisfies the equation $d_1 d_2 = \frac{n^2+1}{2d}$.

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

From the identity

$$(d_2 - d_1)^2 = (d_1 + d_2)^2 - 4d_1d_2,$$

we can easily obtain

$$(2d - 1)n^2 - 2dy^2 = 1, \tag{17}$$

where $d_2 - d_1 = 2y$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

We will use the next lemma, which is Criterion 1 from [4] to check if there exists a solution for (17).

Lemma (Grelak, Grytczuk)

Let $a > 1$, b be positive integers such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and $D = ab$ is not a perfect square. Moreover, let (u_0, v_0) denote the least positive integer solution of the Pell equation

$$u^2 - Dv^2 = 1.$$

Then equation $ax^2 - by^2 = 1$ has a solution in positive integers x, y if and only if

$$2a \mid (u_0 + 1) \text{ and } 2b \mid (u_0 - 1).$$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

In order to find solutions of (17), for start we solve the Pell equation

$$U^2 - 2d(2d - 1)V^2 = 1, \quad (18)$$

where $n = U$, $y = V$.

Its least positive integer solution is $(4d - 1, 2)$.

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

In order to find solutions of (17), for start we solve the Pell equation

$$U^2 - 2d(2d - 1)V^2 = 1, \quad (18)$$

where $n = U$, $y = V$.

Its least positive integer solution is $(4d - 1, 2)$.

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

According to introduced Lemma, conditions that have to be satisfied are

$$2(2d - 1) | 4d \text{ and } 4d | (4d - 2),$$

which is not true for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. So, for Pellian equation (17) there are no integer solutions (n, y) when $a = 2d - 1 > 1$.

Finally, we have to check the remaining case for $a = 1$, which is the case that is not included in Lemma. The condition $a = 1$ implies that $d = 1$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

According to introduced Lemma, conditions that have to be satisfied are

$$2(2d - 1) | 4d \text{ and } 4d | (4d - 2),$$

which is not true for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. So, for Pellian equation (17) there are no integer solutions (n, y) when $a = 2d - 1 > 1$.

Finally, we have to check the remaining case for $a = 1$, which is the case that is not included in Lemma. The condition $a = 1$ implies that $d = 1$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

From (17) and $d = 1$, we get the Pell equation

$$n^2 - 2y^2 = 1, \quad (19)$$

which has infinitely many solutions $n = U_m$, $y = V_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$
where

$$U_0 = 1, \quad U_1 = 3, \quad U_{m+2} = 6U_{m+1} - U_m,$$
$$V_0 = 0, \quad V_1 = 2, \quad V_{m+2} = 6V_{m+1} - V_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

From the first few values (U_i, V_i) which are

$$(U_0, V_0) = (1, 0), \quad (U_1, V_1) = (3, 2), \quad (U_2, V_2) = (17, 12), \dots$$

we can easily generate (n, d_1, d_2)

$$(n, d_1, d_2) = (3, 1, 5), \quad (17, 5, 29), \quad (99, 29, 169), \dots$$

We have proved that in this case is $g = 1$ and $d = 1$, so we conclude that numbers d_1 and d_2 are coprime and that

$$d_1 d_2 = \frac{n^2 + 1}{2}.$$



└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta = 2$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta = 2$

From the first few values (U_i, V_i) which are

$$(U_0, V_0) = (1, 0), \quad (U_1, V_1) = (3, 2), \quad (U_2, V_2) = (17, 12), \dots$$

we can easily generate (n, d_1, d_2)

$$(n, d_1, d_2) = (3, 1, 5), \quad (17, 5, 29), \quad (99, 29, 169), \dots$$

We have proved that in this case is $g = 1$ and $d = 1$, so we conclude that numbers d_1 and d_2 are coprime and that

$$d_1 d_2 = \frac{n^2 + 1}{2}.$$


Two divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ summing up to $\delta n + \varepsilon$, for δ and ε even

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

1 Introduction

2 The case $\delta = 2$

3 The case $\delta = 4$

4 The case $\varepsilon = 0$

■ $\delta = 2$

■ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

Two divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ summing up to $\delta n + \varepsilon$, for δ and ε even

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

Theorem

Let $\delta \geq 6$ be a positive integer such that $\delta = 4k + 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there does not exist a positive odd integer n with the property that there exists a pair of positive divisors d_1, d_2 of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = \delta n$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

- Suppose on the contrary that this is not so and let the number δ be the smallest positive integer $\delta = 4k + 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists an odd integer n and a pair of positive divisors d_1, d_2 of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = \delta n$.
- We assume that $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2) > 1$ which leads us to contradiction.
- So, in this case $g = 1$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

- Suppose on the contrary that this is not so and let the number δ be the smallest positive integer $\delta = 4k + 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists an odd integer n and a pair of positive divisors d_1, d_2 of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = \delta n$.
- We assume that $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2) > 1$ which leads us to contradiction.
- So, in this case $g = 1$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

- Suppose on the contrary that this is not so and let the number δ be the smallest positive integer $\delta = 4k + 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists an odd integer n and a pair of positive divisors d_1, d_2 of $\frac{n^2+1}{2}$ such that $d_1 + d_2 = \delta n$.
- We assume that $g = \gcd(d_1, d_2) > 1$ which leads us to contradiction.
- So, in this case $g = 1$.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

From the identity

$$(d_2 - d_1)^2 = (d_1 + d_2)^2 - 4d_1d_2,$$

and using $g = 1$, we obtain

$$(\delta^2 d - 2)n^2 - d(d_2 - d_1)^2 = 2.$$

We set $(d_2 - d_1) = 2y$ (number $d_2 - d_1$ is an even number because d_1, d_2 are odd integers), and get

$$(\delta^2 d - 2)n^2 - 4dy^2 = 2.$$

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

From the identity

$$(d_2 - d_1)^2 = (d_1 + d_2)^2 - 4d_1d_2,$$

and using $g = 1$, we obtain

$$(\delta^2 d - 2)n^2 - d(d_2 - d_1)^2 = 2.$$

We set $(d_2 - d_1) = 2y$ (number $d_2 - d_1$ is an even number because d_1, d_2 are odd integers), and get

$$(\delta^2 d - 2)n^2 - 4dy^2 = 2.$$

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

If we divide both sides by 2 and define $\delta' = \frac{\delta}{2} = 2k + 1$, the previous equation becomes

$$(2\delta'^2 d - 1)n^2 - 2dy^2 = 1. \quad (20)$$

We will use introduced Lemma from [4] to prove that the above Pell equation (20) has no solutions.

First, we find the least positive integer solution of the equation

$$u^2 - 2d(2\delta'^2 d - 1)v^2 = 1. \quad (21)$$

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

If we divide both sides by 2 and define $\delta' = \frac{\delta}{2} = 2k + 1$, the previous equation becomes

$$(2\delta'^2 d - 1)n^2 - 2dy^2 = 1. \quad (20)$$

We will use introduced Lemma from [4] to prove that the above Pell equation (20) has no solutions.

First, we find the least positive integer solution of the equation

$$u^2 - 2d(2\delta'^2 d - 1)v^2 = 1. \quad (21)$$

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

If we divide both sides by 2 and define $\delta' = \frac{\delta}{2} = 2k + 1$, the previous equation becomes

$$(2\delta'^2 d - 1)n^2 - 2dy^2 = 1. \quad (20)$$

We will use introduced Lemma from [4] to prove that the above Pell equation (20) has no solutions.

First, we find the least positive integer solution of the equation

$$u^2 - 2d(2\delta'^2 d - 1)v^2 = 1. \quad (21)$$

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The least positive integer solution of equation (21) is

$$(u_0, v_0) = (4\delta'^2 d - 1, 2\delta').$$

According to Lemma from [4] conditions that have to be satisfied are

$$(4\delta'^2 d - 2) | 4\delta'^2 d, \quad 4d | (4\delta'^2 d - 2).$$

We can easily see that $4d | (4\delta'^2 d - 2)$ if and only if $4d | 2$ which is not possible because d is an integer.



└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$ └ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The case $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

The least positive integer solution of equation (21) is

$$(u_0, v_0) = (4\delta'^2 d - 1, 2\delta').$$

According to Lemma from [4] conditions that have to be satisfied are

$$(4\delta'^2 d - 2) | 4\delta'^2 d, \quad 4d | (4\delta'^2 d - 2).$$

We can easily see that $4d | (4\delta'^2 d - 2)$ if and only if $4d | 2$ which is not possible because d is an integer.



Two divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ summing up to $\delta n + \varepsilon$, for δ and ε even

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Professor Andrej Dujella for many valuable suggestions and a great help.

└ The case $\varepsilon = 0$

└ $\delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\delta \geq 6$

Bibliography

- [1] M. Ayad, *Critical points, critical values of a prime polynomial*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **51** (2006), 143–160.
- [2] Ayad, M. and Luca, F., *Two divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$ summing up to $n + 1$* , J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, 19 (2007), 561–566.
- [3] Dujella A. and Luca F., *A. Dujella and F. Luca, On the sum of two divisors of $(n^2 + 1)/2$* , Period. Math. Hungar., 65 (2012), 83–96.
- [4] Grelak, A. and Grytczuk, A., *On the Diophantine equation $ax^2 - by^2 = c$* , Publ. Math. Debrecen, 44 (1994), 291–299.