

# Implant stability comparison of immediate and delayed maxillary implant placement by use of resonance frequency analysis – a clinical study

Marko Granić<sup>1</sup>, Josip Škaričić<sup>1</sup>, Davor Katanec<sup>1</sup>, Vanja Vučićević Boras<sup>2</sup>, Ana Kotarac Knežević<sup>1</sup>, Dragana Gabrić<sup>1</sup>

614

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral Surgery, School of Dental Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Oral Surgery, School of Dental Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

Topic: Implant insertion after tooth extraction: clinical outcomes with different approaches (including socket preservation, immediate, early and delayed placement)

## Background and Aim

The concept of immediate implant placement has its benefits and risks. Reduction in the number of surgical interventions, shortened treatment time, preservation of bone around the extraction socket, especially preservation of buccal bone, easier and more ideal orientation for implant placement and soft tissue aesthetics have been claimed as the potential advantages of this treatment approach. The main drawbacks of immediate implant placement are lower primary stability of implants compared to implants placed in healed sites and the lack of soft tissue healing with frequent flap dehiscence over extraction sites. Primary stability has been applied as an indicator of future osseointegration and thus long-term success of implant therapy. Since more than a decade, resonance frequency analysis (RFA) has been used as a non-invasive, reliable, easily predictable and objective method for measurement of implant stability. RFA has been widely used to determine loading protocols or assess changes in implant stability over time.

The aim of this clinical study was to compare the stability of 30 dental implants placed immediately after tooth extraction with 30 implants placed at healed sites using resonance frequency analysis.

## Methods and Materials

The study sample consisted of 60 patients, with at least 2 mm of attached keratinized gingiva on the buccal and palatal aspects of the bone. In every patient one Nobel Replace Tapered Groovy Implant (Nobel Biocare, Gothenburg, Sweden) in the maxillary premolar area was inserted. The subjects were divided in two groups, depending on the indication. First group of patients, with clinical indication for immediate implant placement, had 30 implants placed immediately after the tooth extraction. Second group of patients had 30 implants placed in the edentulous maxillary premolar area, four months after tooth extraction. All implants were placed following concept of two stages. After implant placement healing period was 20 weeks and then implants were functionally loaded with single metal-ceramic crown. Follow-up visits were scheduled 1, 2, 6, 12 and 20 weeks after surgery. In this study Osstel Mentor (Integration Diagnostics AB, Goteborg, Sweden), was used for recording Implant Stability Quotient (ISQ) measurement at the time of implant placement (T1) and before loading (T2) after 20 weeks of dental implant placement, for both clinical groups. The manufacturer’s guidelines for SmartPeg placement were followed. Due to more precise measurements, SmartPeg was replaced after every 10 measurements. Four different measurements were taken for each implant and averaged to yield the mean ISQ value.




Figure 1. Comparison of initial and final mean ISQ values regarding immediate and delayed maxillary implant placement.

## References

1. CHEN ST, WILSON, TG, HAMMERLE CH. Immediate or early placement of implants following tooth extraction: review of biologic basis, clinical procedures, and outcomes. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2004;19 Suppl:12-25.
2. VAN DER WEIJDEN F, DELL'ACQUA F, SLOT DE. Alveolar bone dimensional changes of post-extraction sockets in humans: A systematic review. *J Clin Periodontol* 2009;36:1048.
3. KOH RU, RUDEK I, WANG HI. Immediate implant placement: positives and negatives. *Impl Dent* 2010;19:2:98-108.
4. JAVED F, ROMANOS GE. The role of primary stability for successful immediate loading of dental implants. A literature review. *J Dent* 2010;38:8:612-20.
5. GLAUSER R, PORTMANN M, RUHSTALLER P. Initial implant stability using different implant designs and surgical techniques. *Appl Osseointeg Res* 2001;2:6-8.
6. BORNSTEIN MM, HART CN, HALBRITTER SA. Early loading of non-submerged titanium implants with a chemically modified sand-blasted and acid-etched surface: 6-Month results of a prospective case series study in the posterior mandible focusing on peri-implant crestal bone changes and implant stability quotient (ISQ) values. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2009;11:338.
7. SENNERBY L, MEREDITH N. Implant stability measurements using resonance frequency analysis: Biological and biomechanical aspects and clinical implications. *Periodontol* 2000 2008;47:51.

## Results

No implant failures were reported in the follow-up period of 6 months. The mean ISQ values for immediate implant placement was 61.43 (standard deviation, SD 1.65; range ±7) for T1 and 66.23 (SD 1.81; range ±6) for T2 respectively. Implant placed in the healed edentulous sites showed higher ISQ values compared to immediate implant placement. These implants had ISQ averages of 64.17 (SD 1.74; range ±8) for T1 and 68.83 (SD 1.89; range ±9) for T2. These differences in mean ISQ values were statistically significant ( $p < .001$ ). Average ISQ in 20 weeks follow-up raised 4.8 for immediate when compared to 4.67 for delayed sites.




Figure 2. Comparison of initial and final mean ISQ values regarding immediate and delayed maxillary implant placement.

Table 1. Differences between two studied groups in mean ISQ values.

	Paired Differences					t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95%Confidence interval of the Difference				
				Lower	Upper			
Immediate	4,80	1,30	,24	4,32	5,28	20,27	29	,000
Healed site	4,67	,88	,16	4,34	5,00	28,91	29	,000

## Conclusion and Clinical Implication

Although mean ISQ values of immediately placed implants were lower than delayed group, all ISQ values raised to clinically successful value before implant loading. There were no significant differences between stability of implants placed immediately compared to the delayed group. As there were no implant failures in the follow up period, results of this study support the concept of immediate implant placement following tooth extraction under favourable conditions with delayed implant loading.

