

The contribution of attitudes toward school science in explanation of achievement in STEM school subjects

Nan Devic", Toni Babarovic", Mia Karabegovic", Mira Bazev³, Dubravka Glasnovic Gracis" "Introductionator stanik losans, Rayno, Osata "Caraterlanguas olitosias Jakapan, Nagar "Sakandar Japan Sakapa Thanasita as bioli Soloman, Japan, Gunda "Sakandar Japan Sakapa Thanasita as bioli Soloman, Japan, Gunda

TBM project is being fully supported by the Cri

Introduction

Background

Learning in the field of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) is pro educational policies of numerous countries. evunutional poinces of numerous countries. The achievement of students in Council is notence and mathematics is low in comparison to other school subject/Edimutik, Babarovik, Sakir, 2008), as well as in international studies (e.g. PISA) The debates about what determines achievement in STEM school subjects in primary school still persist. punsa. Several STEM-relevant variables show a significant association with achievement in math and science, including inducts level variables, school level variables and variables of child's broader scial environment [bitling, 2009] Huitt's (2009) Transactional Model of the Teaching/Learning Process can be used as a theoretical framework.

A Transactional Framework of the Teaching/Learning Process



A Transactional Framework of the Teaching/Learning Process

| A Transactional Frame | work of the Teaching/Learning Process |
|-----------------------|---|
| Context | All those factors outside of the classroom that might influence teaching and learning |
| Input | Those qualities or characteristics of teachers and students that they bring with them to the classroom experience |
| Classroom Processes | Teacher and student behaviours in the classroom as well as some other variables such as classroom climate and teacher/student relationships |
| Output | Measures of student learning taken apart from the normal instructional process. |

The input variables

STUDENTS' GENDER:

STUDENTS' GENDER: a somali gender differences in school achievement are found in mathematics (froid; hyde, & Fennema, 1994; hyde, Fennema, & Lamon, 1990) and in science (Murphy & Mithelings, 2006), whill will so duperforming toys, - Girls' and boys' also differ in their motivational orientation to STMA subjects. With spits perfering blogical sciences and chemistry, whereas boys are mon motivated in physical sciences (Weinhough, 1995) and in computer-related task (e.g. Hymynet et al., 2003).

The context variables

- The CONTEXT VARIABLES TANKI SACESSOLUDIO research has scheme that societanessic status (including parental education, employment status and iscome) is the burgetictor of school achievement (Dahl & Lichner, 2005; Millee & Plovater, 2006) and a good predictor of math and science achievement (inprimuty school (inp. 2005). PARENTS SERANDOR PARENTS SERANDOR Inclomanta, DUT-of-School CATIVITES INFORMAL, DUT-of-School CATIVITES INFORMAL, DUT-of-School CATIVITES Involvement Institut sud-of-school activities have considerable impact on STEM educational outcomes (Braund & Reiss, 2004)

The aim of the study

- Do regish now caboo alsolvement in STM school subjects is shaped by sincurally different sphere of influence subjects (and school activities) of more environment and family, lesses activities, hobies and school activities.
 Taking influences subjects and school activities.
 Taking influences and activities in the second activities
- Norma specific aim: To identify the contribution of <u>students' attitudes to school</u> <u>science and experiences with STEM school subjects</u> in explaining STEM school adhievement, after controlling individual characteristics, family influences and experience in out-of-school activities.

Methodology

Respondents

360 primary school students attending grades 6 to 8 (age 12 to 15; M=13.32) 195 boys and 165 girls · Convenient sample, three schools in one municipality (Daruvar area), 21 classes

Assessment

- Paper and pencil method was used
 Group assessment, in the classes during the regular school activities
 Data collection lasted 40 minutes

Measures

- Scales used in the survey are mostly derived and adapted from the ASPIRES project (Archer, et al., 2013, DeWitt, et al., 2013). - Structural validity of all the used scales was checked and items that idi not resemble expected and interpretive structure were removed to obtain clear factor structures. - All scales had acceptable reliability.

Output measure: STEM school achievement is measured as a composite of school marks (GPA) in different STEM school subjects in the previous grade.

Measures - predictors Student's gender: 1 - male; 2 - female Parental education status: • Average of mother and fathe four points scale) Antiging on momentaria statistical execution areas (see parameterized) Periodial antibiotical property (cash): - 7 Liket Type Items (e.g., My parents water to top to university; They know how well i'm doing in school|a~75 Periodia attitudes to scheme (cash) - 3 Likent Type Items (e.g., My parents thick it is important for me to learn science) a~57 Positive images of scientists (scale): - SLikert type items (e.g. Scientists have exciting jobs; make a lot of money) u=.71 Negative images of scientists (scale): 3 Likert type items (e.g. Scientists and people who work in science are odd; do not have other interests) a=.67 Interest for science out of school (scale): - 6 Likert type Items (e.g.: Outside of school, how often do you: Read a book or magazine about science? Visit web sites about science? a - 77 AttRudes: toward school science (scale): - 7 Ziker typeliters (e.g.: We learn interesting things in science lessons; I look forward to myscience lessons; science lessons; are exosting on the science of the science of the science lessons; I look forward to myscience lesson; science lessons; sc

Statistical analysis

We applied hierarchical regression subjects. rder to predict achievement in STEM school The first block of predictors: International concession presentation. Input and Contextual variables: student's gender, parental education status, parental ambilitons/support, parental attitudes to science, positive and negative images of scientists, and interest for science out of school. The second block: Attitudes toward school science - added to check if there is a significant increase in explained variance of STEM school achievement.

Results



Correlations

| | STEM GRA | Gender | Parental education | Parental ambitions/ support | Parental attitudes to science | Postitive images of scientists | Negative images of scientists | Interest for science out of school |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Gender | 0.16 | | | | | | | |
| Parental education | 0.36 | -0.17 | | | | | | |
| Parental ambitions/support | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.12 | | | | | |
| Parental attitudes to science | 0.13 | -8.20 | 0.13 | 0.44 | | | | |
| Postitive images of scientists | 0.12 | -0.03 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.22 | | | |
| Negative images of scientists | -0.12 | 0.01 | -0.07 | 0.09 | -0.04 | 0.15 | | |
| interest for science out of school | 0.19 | -0.08 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.25 | -0.14 | |
| Attitudes toward school science | 6.6 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.46 | | -0.07 | 0.4 |

Hierarchical regression model

| | Reta . | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------------|
| | Step 1 | Step 2 | |
| Gender | 0.225 | 0.188 | |
| Parental education | 0.222 | (0.204) | Attitudes toward |
| Parental ambitions/support | 0.185 | 0.182 | school science |
| Parental attitudes to science | 0.004 | -0.080 | explained 5.4% of |
| Positive images of scientists | 0.027 | -0.035 | added variance in |
| Negative images of scientists | -0.508 | -0.097 | STEM achievement, |
| Interest for science out of school | 0.095 | 0.002 | after controlling |
| Attitudes toward school science | | 0.295 | personal and contex |
| k | 0.478 | 0.521 | variables |
| R Square | 0.228 | 0.282 | |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.211 | 0.264 | |
| R Square Change | | 0.054 | |

Discussion

- Girls are slightly better then boys in STEM school achievement
- The STEM achievement is related to parental education, parental ambitions and support It is less related to out of school STEM activities, and perception of scientists

It is less resteted to out of school STEM activities, and perception of scientists Attitudes toward school science is important predictor of STEM school achievement Attitudes toward school science as "Classroom process variable" ads significant proportion of VAF, beyond percent variables in explaining STEM school achievement.

Conclusions

- Findings stress importance of quality of teacher and student behaviour in the classroom, classroom climate and teacher/student relationships in STEM classes for enhancing STEM achievement
- Students' STEM achievement is largely STEM teachers responsibility



References

| Brand, M. & Balas, M. J. (2006). Annulog science autoint the closenson. Psychology Prevs. |
|--|
| Anvill (), Antarest (), Klasti (e) (200), Sanjale evolveurije eksamelt perignala v enereter Terlama logabile Handle Libertit, samela, Satita pelin 2017/2000, London bi legistaj, Japak MCW2 (Hather distanzionament ke Han |
| Sahiji K. K. Kinitson, J. (2001) The impact of family income an abilitable amount Cambridge, Saki National Ramanah Ramanah Ramanah |
| DeWey, L, Dohnee, J., Anher, J., Wills, H., & Wile, B., & Wile, B., & Wile, B. (2018). Young shidnes's aspination in science. The anaplastical, the same science of the control science of the same of the same science of the sam |
| Ner, X, K. Dan, M. (2001). Purental inducementant indexed and international interior analysis. Educational purchasing service, 31(1), 122. |
| Prox1, i. K., Hyde, i. K., & Perneme, X. (1996). Gender, maltematics generating and mathematics related attitudes and after it invise analysis synthesis. International Society 4, 143, 153, 186. |
| Gatman, L.M., & Miligley, C. (2001). The role of protective Caterocirs suggesting the available arbitrarment of pseudoleum involves students during the middle school transition isomating south and addressmee, 20(3), 223- 265. |
| Nation, J. & C. (2009). Visible Interview J. spectrum in decrementation and part activity in antisectures. New York: Resultation |
| Neparel, A., My, C., Narsan, S., Milanin, C. (2003). Frange preprint IC7 2003. London, 2005. |
| No. 10, W. (2011). A transactional model of the transforg Barring presents. Assembly Educational Physiology Interesties, Validation, Gal. Validational Date University |
| Paplie, J. S., Pernema, E., & Jammer, S. J. (2003). Genetical differences in mechanistics parliameness a meta-analysis. Psychological Uniferin, 2015), 233- |
| Kells, T.J., Freq. L& (2003). Multischend Information and entering Similarities and efforement group. U.C.L. Multy: C.K. Reporte (24, Separate |
| HEINS, J., & Pinzele, S. & (200), Fasters of allow 302 household What advantation intermed? Journal of Introduced Psychology, 20(3), 183. |
| Microles, P., & Microlesg, J. (2000). double the Physics Characters of the Research on the Participation of Carls in Physics. Institute of Physics. |
| Scin, S.A. (2005). Subsementic values and audientic advisement. Annual spectral research. Annual (values) respects 1, 2015, 312–313. |
| Weinlaugh W. (1996). Gendend Effernans in student attitudes Imaged values & metric analysis of the Efferences 1950 to 1995. Journal of Brownik Indianew Treating, 20(4), 343-386. |
| |
| |

Thank you for your attention!

toni.babarovic@pilar.hr ivan.devic@pilar.hr