Stable Gastric Pentadecapeptide BPC 157, Robert’s Stomach Cytoprotection/Adaptive Cytoprotection/Organoprotection, and Selye’s Stress Coping Response: Progress, Achievements, and the Future
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We reviewed again the significance of the stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 as a likely mediator of Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection and organoprotection and as novel mediator of Selye’s stress coping response to reestablish homeostasis. Specific points of BPC 157 therapy and the original concept of Robert’s cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection are discussed, including the beneficial effects of BPC 157. First, BPC 157 protects stomach cells and maintains gastric integrity against various noxious agents (Robert’s killing cell by contact) and is continuously present in the gastric mucosa and gastric juice. Additionally, BPC 157 protects against the adverse effects of alcohol and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on the gastric epithelium and other epithelia, that is, skin, liver, pancreas, heart (organoprotection), and brain, thereby suggesting its use in wound healing. Additionally, BPC 157 counteracts gastric endothelial injury that precedes and induces damage to the gastric epithelium and generalizes “gastric endothelial protection” to protection of the endothelium of other vessels (thrombosis, prolonged bleeding, and thrombocytopenia). BPC 157 also has an effect on blood vessels, resulting in vessel recruitment that circumvents vessel occlusion and the development of additional shunting and rapid bypass loops to rapidly reestablish the integrity of blood flow (ischemic/reperfusion colitis, duodenal lesions, cecal perforation, and inferior vena cava occlusion). Lastly, BPC 157 counteracts tumor cachexia, muscle wasting, and increases in pro-inflammatory/procachectic cytokines, such as interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-α, and significantly corrects deranged muscle proliferation and myogenesis through changes in the expression of FoxO3a, p-AKT, p-mTOR, and P-GSK-3β (mitigating cancer cachexia). (Gut Liver, Published online June 4, 2019)
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INTRODUCTION

Stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 is a novel anti-ulcer peptide, used in trials for the treatment of ulcerative colitis and now multiple sclerosis, lethal dose (dosage required to kill 1% of the test population, LD1) not reported.¹⁻¹³ We recently reviewed its significance¹⁻¹³ as a likely mediator of the Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection¹⁴⁻¹⁹ and organoprotection,²⁰,²¹ as well as a novel mediator of the Selye’s stress coping response,²²⁻²⁵ generally known, but still not completely clarified.

Briefly, to support the pleotropic beneficial effects of BPC 157 application,¹⁻¹³ we will review the particular background of these essential holistic concepts, the Robert’s stomach cytoprotection¹⁴⁻¹⁹ and organoprotection²⁰,²¹ and the Selye’s stress coping response (Fig. 1).²²⁻²⁵

Background of the Robert’s concept (stomach cytoprotection and organoprotection) versus the Selye’s concept (stress coping response)

Robert’s stomach cytoprotection¹⁴⁻¹⁹ and organoprotection²⁰,²¹ and the Selye’s stress coping response²²⁻²⁵ both intend to reestablish homeostasis. In the late 1970’s the concept of Robert’s
cytoprotective homeostasis (cell protection) was related to the stomach, but soon it was further extended (i.e., as a principle acting in the stomach mucosa integrity maintenance much like in the maintaining of the other epithelia integrity, stomach cytoprotection → organoprotection). Much earlier, Selye's concept was born outside of the gastrointestinal tract, in the adrenal gland, and Selye conceptualized the general syndrome of adaptation (i.e., adrenal gland hypertrophy, thymolymphatic involution, and stomach stress ulcers) to achieve general homeostasis (i.e., adrenal gland hypertrophy, thymolymphatic invasion, and stomach stress ulcers) to achieve general homeostasis in the whole body while the derangement could explain the genesis of many stress-induced diseases.

Hartmann's discovery of the cortin for the Selye's concept

Of note, Selye's concept was preceded by Hartmann's discovery of the cortin for the Selye's concept. Cortin was extracted from the adrenal gland cortex, and called life-maintaining factor. It kept adrenalectomized animals alive, and was essential for the treatment of Addison disease patients. On the one hand, these outbreaks initiated the search for and discovery of corticosteroids by Kendall and Reichstein groups. On the other hand, this lead Selye to propose the concept that the most essential role of the “adrenotropic” principle in the coping response was to reestablish homeostasis while two other principles, “thyroropic” and “histaminergic,” remained less emphasized.

Homeostasis

In principle, these concepts consider “homeostasis,” (cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection, organoprotection) as the organ’s protection from injury as well as organ’s recovery after injury, as the most important result. The beneficial effects (endogenous and exogenous) of the agent supposed to mimic the activity of the supposed mediator (i.e., prostaglandins, corticosteroids) should link theory and practice. The achieved beneficial effects should be the practical realization of the theoretical
point of homeostasis (cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection, organoprotection) in the body's maintenance of integrity.4 Unfortunately, the general but insurmountable problem is that neither of the supposed mediators when applied as a therapy could realize in practice the full theoretical assumption that “homeostasis” could be achieved.4

Inadequate standard mediators activity to homeostasis in Robert’s stomach cytoprotection and organoprotection and the Selye’s stress coping response

In general, the inadequate functioning of the highly sophisticated theory in real practice is due to considerable limitation in these mediators activity.

Robert’s stomach cytoprotection and organoprotection

As emphasized,1-13 the beneficial effect of prostaglandins as the concept of cytoprotection only works when they are given before injury, but not when given after injury.14-19 Likewise, commonly thought to have essential wound healing potential,20 the standard peptidergic growth factors for the “wound healing concept” confront with an alike problem in practical realization of the high theoretical potential.1 The angiogenic growth factors for healing in the gastrointestinal tract, and particularly for the healing of the extra-gastrointestinal tissues (i.e., skin, tendon, ligament, muscle, and bone), regularly need special and various delivery systems and various carrier addition.1 This obstacle obscures the inherent beneficial effect of any peptide1 (making uncertain whether the peptide, or the carrier or the peptide+carrier(s) complex might be essential for the gotten effect).1

Selye’s stress coping response

The application of the Selye’s stress coping response concept22 to resolving the practical problem of arthritis,31 resulted in the corticosteroid clinical outbreak.22 However, the first integrative factor in Selye’s stress adaptive response of the body, which could have a large range of beneficial effects that could be accordingly applied as the therapy, remains largely undiscovered.4 The consequence is the evident gap between the theory (reestablished homeostasis, integrative beneficial effects) and the practical realization (limited beneficial activity of the given agent with the harmful effect as well). This missing point illustrates the misleading evidence that the foreseen integrative mediator that integrates the adaptive bodily response to stress, remains obscure in the highly sophisticated latter Selye’s stress concept presentation.25

A point of disillusionment appeared in early 1980’s with the notion that Selye’s concept should be replaced with humber but more precise ideas.25 An increase in hypothalamo-pituitary adrenal activity is seen as having adaptive endocrine effects and behavioral consequences via its influence on memory and arousal; and economy of the body from the way peptides play different function depending upon their localization in the body.29

Link between Robert’s concept and Selye’s stress coping response concept

Thereby, taking these general caveats into account, an interesting link between Robert’s concept14-21 and Selye’s stress coping response concept10-39 recently noticed,19 is that Robert, with his prostaglandins stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection concept,14-21 actually accommodates and extends Selye’s stress concept22,40 toward the stomach and gastrointestinal tract. Robert’s stomach cell protection (cytoprotection) appears as Selye’s “response to damage as such.”23 Robert’s small irritant that protects against strong irritant (adaptive cytoprotection)17 corresponds to Selye’s small stress that protects against severe stress (general adaptation).40 Robert’s stomach cell protection (cytoprotection)14-19 extended to other organs (i.e., liver, pancreas) (organoprotection)20,21 appears as Selye’s reestablished homeostasis27 (as an organoprotective stress coping response that should eliminate damage in any organ (organoprotection), readily reproduced by such agents application).22 Robert’s nonspecific necrotizing agents (strong alcohol, strong base, hypertonic solution, boiled water, given intragastrically; nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs] and prednisolone given systemically), severe necrotizing stomach lesions and perforating intestinal lesions14-19 corresponded to the Selye’s diverse nocuous agents given systemically and left the organism severely damaged.4
Thus, as we already emphasized, it follows that these two concepts have very close interconnections, and thereby, both merit common solution.

**Significance of the pleotropic beneficial effects for Robert’s concept (stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection \(\rightarrow\) organoprotection) and Selye’s stress coping response concept**

Of note, Robert’s concept (stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection \(\rightarrow\) organoprotection) on which more focus is put on may be more workable. However, “homeostasis” (i.e., in the stomach as stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection, and in the other organs as organoprotection) still warrants eventual realization in more advanced practice (providing that prostaglandins analogues have no more than marginal application in gastroduodenal lesions therapy).11

The antecedent Selye’s organoprotective issue, “the damage” consequent to acute nonspecific nocuous agents that produce “the organism severely damaged” in rat experiments22 since the very beginning, clearly indicated what should be the essential proof of concept and interposed agent activity supposed to be involved in the counteractive coping response organization. Evidently, the antecedent cortin was the prototype with its activity (and its huge range of beneficial effects).26,27 Thereby, this proof has to be a pleotropic beneficial effect as the end result of the application of agents that were supposed to have the essential integrative role of the Selye’s (still putative) mediator that integrates the adaptive bodily response to stress22,25 whatever particular mechanism lies behind it.22,25

Likewise, the resolving of the Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection concept and its extension to the other organs lesions (cell protection that should be generalized) would occur by application of the suited agents capable to achieve such large range of beneficial effect (stomach cytoprotection \(\rightarrow\) organoprotection= Selye’s homeostasis). At the same time, this contention realized (demonstrable pleotropic beneficial effects with the given agent) could mean the practical realization of the theory and accommodations of the previous Selye’s original stress concept22 and subsidiary concepts, brain-gut concepts, brain peptides, angiogenic growth factors activities.

This contention brings us to the elaborate again the significance of the pleotropic beneficial effects of the BPC 157 application along with elaboration of the original Robert’s cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection concept.

Original Robert’s cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection concept

Elaboration with the BPC 157 versus elaboration with prostaglandins, somatostatin, sulfhydryls

Original Robert’s cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection concept elaborated with standard cytoprotective agents/mediators, prostaglandins, somatostatin, sulfhydryls

Direct instillation into the stomach of various highly damaging agents (i.e., absolute ethanol) or application of NSAIDs as the initial background

The Robert’s cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection concept as the wound-healing concept

Concept of cytoprotection holds stomach cell protection in order to maintain stomach integrity against various noxious agents (Robert’s killing cell by contact) – induced by direct instillation into the stomach of various highly damaging agents (i.e., absolute ethanol), or application of NSAIDs.14-19 The next extension of the concept means the generalization of the stomach cell protection to the innate cell protection in the other organs (stomach cytoprotection \(\rightarrow\) organoprotection).22,25 Thus, in subsequent order, generalization from stomach epithelia to other epithelia appears, i.e., skin, liver, pancreas, heart, etc., and thereby, the wound-healing concept (providing that the stomach ulcer is much like the skin ulcer a wound that from the unknown reason fail to heal), leading to the axiomatic statement "the healing is always the same."30

Less emphasized, but essentially important is the final point in the original cytoprotection concept, the continuous presentation of the cytoprotective agent in gastric mucosa, and likely in the gastric juice, and thereby, permanently maintaining stomach mucosa integrity.14-19 This however, directly holds that cytoprotective agent would be effective prophylactically (given before injury able to protect stomach mucosa) as well as therapeutically (given after injury, with the pre-existing lesion, able to rescue stomach mucosa).11 This is however not the case with standard agents (i.e., prostaglandins, somatostatin, sulfhydryls); they are effective only when given before injury, and thereby, less likely to play the role of the endogenous cytoprotective agent in permanent maintenance of the stomach and gastrointestinal tract integrity.11

Original Robert’s cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection concept elaborated with BPC 157 as prototype of cytoprotective agents/mediators

Pleotropic beneficial activity

Unlike the standard agents (i.e., prostaglandins, somatostatin, sulfhydryls) (in)activity,11 the stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157 actually satisfies these full activity criteria completely.11 Essentially stable and native in human gastric juice, BPC 157 is highly effective prophylactically and therapeutically in counter-
acting various lesions in the whole gastrointestinal tract.\textsuperscript{1-13} This effectiveness accordingly includes distinctive ways of administration, acutely and chronically (i.e., bolus application intraperitoneal, intravenous, intragastric, enema, local bath, and continuous application in drinking water; local gel or cream).\textsuperscript{1-13} An interesting point is the local cream application in wound studies (local cream application heals deep skin burns much like stress gastric lesions in mice).\textsuperscript{1-13} As a real mediator of the Robert’s cytoprotection, in addition to the stomach and gastrointestinal lesions, it has a pleotropic beneficial activity, and counteracts also the lesions in the other organs, liver, pancreas, lung, heart and brain.\textsuperscript{1-13} Of particular notation in BPC 157 studies is that each organ lesion counteraction includes different noxious procedures but regularly the same dose regimen which enables to combine the beneficial effects consistently obtained.\textsuperscript{1-13} Illustratively, beneficial activity in heart assay includes counteraction of the doxorubicin cardiomyopathy,\textsuperscript{41} distinctive arrhythmias induced by digitoxins,\textsuperscript{42} hyperkalemia,\textsuperscript{43} hypokalemia,\textsuperscript{44} succinylcholine,\textsuperscript{45} bupivacaine,\textsuperscript{46} and neuroleptics.\textsuperscript{47}

**Pleotropic beneficial activity based on the opposing relationship with alcohol and NSAIDs adverse effects**

In general cytoprotective/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection terms, and pleotropic range of the beneficial activities of BPC 157, and its opposing relationship with the alcohol and NSAIDs adverse effects, already reviewed,\textsuperscript{8,11} this extension is quite logical. The major argument is that the Robert’s standard noxious agents, alcohol and NSAIDs, used as the prototype noxious agents in the initial demonstration of the cytoprotection lesions in the stomach, have pleotropic damaging activity.\textsuperscript{8,11} Alcohol and NSAIDs would also regularly induce various lesions in the other organs as well.\textsuperscript{11} Vice versa, it is likely that if cytoprotective agents induce the innate counteraction of the initial Robert-lesions (alcohol, NSAIDs) in the stomach, the same agent exhibits an alike counteraction of the other lesions regularly induced by alcohol and NSAIDs (i.e., in liver, brain).\textsuperscript{11} As we reported, this special combined point that should characterize the effects of any suited cytoprotective agent in practice fulfills the corresponding range of beneficial effect of BPC 157. We noted the antagonization of the corresponding alcohol-induced\textsuperscript{10-52} and NSAIDs-induced\textsuperscript{12-53} stomach lesions, much like the counteraction of the liver and brain lesions, which were induced by alcohol\textsuperscript{54-60} or NSAIDs.\textsuperscript{51-57,61} To emphasize, much like the prototypic acute alcohol-stomach lesion,\textsuperscript{40-51} the beneficial range of the BPC 157 counteracts alcohol in both acute intoxication (protracted drowsiness, increased alcohol level in blood, hypothermia) and chronic intoxication (withdrawal convulsions).\textsuperscript{55,56} Likewise, it counteracts consequences of the chronic abuse (drinking alcohol for 3 months)\textsuperscript{51} such as chronic gastric lesions\textsuperscript{51} or liver lesions with portal hypertension.\textsuperscript{58} For NSAIDs-adverse effects (i.e., gastrointestinal, liver and brain injuries), BPC 157 counteraction includes both COX-1 and COX-2 block-

ers.\textsuperscript{52-57,61} BPC 157 reduces bleeding after amputation, and may also counteract aspirin induced prolongation of bleeding and thrombocytopenia.\textsuperscript{50,55} An interesting point is that BPC 157 may also prevent and reverse adjuvant arthritis in rats.\textsuperscript{64} Thus, unlike mentioned standard agents, BPC 157 achieves stomach cytoprotection \textrightarrow{} organoprotection.\textsuperscript{11}

An important point is that this innate stability (as mentioned, resistant to degradation in human gastric juice more than 24 hours) provides the advantage of the administration using various routes of applications.\textsuperscript{1-13}

**BPC 157 with the stomach cytoprotection concept \textrightarrow{} wound healing concept**

In addition, the other point considers the stomach cytoprotection concept \textrightarrow{} wound healing concept.\textsuperscript{1} Note, the original cytoprotective agenda (with the generalized cell protection, within the same “cytoprotective” background) includes the improved healing in different tissues.\textsuperscript{8,10,21} Indicatively, BPC 157 improves the healing of the skin wounds,\textsuperscript{58-60} muscle,\textsuperscript{68-77} tendon,\textsuperscript{78} ligament,\textsuperscript{79} and bone injuries.\textsuperscript{80-81} Furthermore, the observed considerable recovery of the skin wound and muscle, tendon, ligament and bone, after severe injury that could be not spontaneously healed\textsuperscript{58-82} may be quite indicative. These healing processes may suggest that BPC 157 may distinctively affect tissue healing (i.e., with BPC 157, tendon heals with the tendon, not with the bone; detached rat Achilles tendon from calcaneus would be properly reattached to calcaneus without surgical intervention).\textsuperscript{75,77} Thus, we suggested that BPC 157 exerts its effect simultaneously in the healing of different tissues, and accommodate the healing processes in different tissues.\textsuperscript{1} As a supportive analogy a clear demonstration of the rat fistulas healing appears,\textsuperscript{82-87} and thereby simultaneously achieves healing in different tissues. In rat fistulas studies,\textsuperscript{82-87} creation of the fistulas by anastomoses between the different tissues, accurately provides the defined defect in each of the tissues. Due to the comparative small size of the rats and large size of the defects, these defects would fairly mimic large fistulas in patients that would hardly heal spontaneously.\textsuperscript{82-87} A similar demonstration should be the creation of the different gastrointestinal anastomoses\textsuperscript{58,88-92} that would accordingly heal as opposed to commonly poor healing in the corresponding control rats. Thus, the healing of the esophagocutaneous,\textsuperscript{83} gastrocutaneous,\textsuperscript{84} duodenocutaneous,\textsuperscript{85} colocolocutaneous,\textsuperscript{86} vesicovaginalis,\textsuperscript{87} and rectovaginalis fistulas,\textsuperscript{88} assessed grossly, biomechanically and microscopically means demonstration of the both external and internal fistulas healing\textsuperscript{82-87} and consequently, the realization of the simultaneous healing of different tissues. Likewise, is the demonstration of the improved anastomoses healing,\textsuperscript{58,88-92} (gastrointestinal (i.e., esophagogastric, esophagojejunal ileoileal, jejunoileal, colon anastomoses),\textsuperscript{58,88-92} but also nerve (sciatic nerve)\textsuperscript{93} and vascular (abdominal aorta).\textsuperscript{94} Consequently, the evidence that it may close and heal the various fistulas\textsuperscript{82-87} and improve anastomosis healing,\textsuperscript{58,88-94} may be
a proof of the fulfilled wound healing concept.

**BPC 157 stomach cytoprotection concept → wound healing concept with respect to angiogenesis and distinction from the standard angiogenic growth factors**

The other generalization of the stomach cytoprotection concept → wound healing concept is the application of standard peptidergic growth factors (providing that they are mediators of healing) in both gastrointestinal tract healing (i.e., ulceration healing) and skin wound and soft tissue injuries (i.e., muscle, tendon, ligament, bone healing). In particular, this is due to the effect on the angiogenesis as a common healing point. A careful comparison between BPC 157 and standard angiogenic growth factors provides the convincing evidence in favor of BPC 157 over standard angiogenic growth factors. First, BPC 157 significantly exceeds regular anti-ulcer agents and their effect on angiogenesis. Second, its angiogenic response is quite special, it integrates its healing assets and a number of molecular pathways. Illustratively, BPC 157 heals corneal ulcer and lesions and maintains corneal transparency.

Third, BPC 157 exerts its effect within the same dose range in healing of the lesions in the whole gastrointestinal tract, as well as the healing of the skin wound, the healing of the severely injured (including transsection) muscle, tendon, ligament, and finally bone healing (including pseudoarthrosis healing). Contrarily, the standard angiogenic growth factors have limited effect in gastrointestinal tract, and their effect could be different from one part to another. Especially, for the skin wound, muscle, tendon, ligament and bone healing they obviously need various carriers in addition, and thereby, they could exert mostly a local effect. Besides, standard angiogenic growth factors need a different carrier addition, and together may have uncertain activity attribution (peptide; carrier; peptide+carrier complex). Unlike this common negative point for the standard agents application, BPC 157 always given alone, acts alone, and therefore, all of the effects could be clearly described to its own peptide activity. In addition, the important point is that BPC 157 may induce also nerve healing, peripherally and centrally.

Such clear distinction in angiogenesis is essential (and thereby, “controlling of angiogenesis”) and regular with BPC 157. In particular, this should be emphasized since alike distinction is unusual for the standard growth factors, and thereby (angiogenesis/healing/tumor promoting), the fear of tumor promoting known with standard growth factors. Contrarily, “controlling of angiogenesis” is along with an increased angiogenesis to promote healing, an increased expression of VEGF and subsequent pathways as and as study of Kang et al. documented, BPC 157 fully counteracted tumor cachexia, and prolonged survival, counteracted increase of the pro-cachectic and pro-inflammatory cytokines, and related molecular pathways effects. In addition, a study showing that BPC 157 actually counteracted tumor promoting effect of VEGF should be also mentioned.

This point was already elaborated especially in our short-bowel syndrome study. In rats which underwent large resection of the small bowel, BPC 157 leads to the balanced adaptation of all three intestinal wall layers, resulting in normal weigh achievement, and attenuation of the remote organs damages (i.e., liver, brain), unlike rapidly presented and progressed short-bowel syndrome.

**The concept of cytoprotection as the injury of the stomach endothelium that would precede and induce stomach epithelia damage and BPC 157 “stomach endothelium protection” to the protection of the endothelium of other vessels**

The next essential point of the concept of cytoprotection is the injury of stomach endothelium that would precede and induce the stomach epithelia damage, and thereby stomach endothelium protection to protect stomach epithelia as an innate effect of the cytoprotective agents. While the originally noted endothelium protection remains quite strictly confined to the stomach endothelium protection, a point we described, is that this means generalization of the “stomach endothelium protection” to the protection of the endothelium of other vessels. Thus, with BPC 157 use in the resolving of the cytoprotection concept, its local in the stomach to its systemic concept terms, this combined effect could envisage its controlling of endothelium maintenance (as before the stomach cell protection) to be suited for an alike therapy generalization. This point was illustrated by the evidence obtained in rats in which abdominal aorta anastomosis (and thereby arterial thrombosis), or inferior caval vein occlusion (and thereby venous thrombosis), or amputation and anticoagulants application (and thereby prolonged bleeding and thrombocytopenias) were present. Specifically, in rats, with the anastomosed abdominal aorta, thrombosis was either prevented, or once established, reversed. In rats, with the ligation of the inferior caval vein, direct vein injury and thrombosis were counteracted (occlusion up to the right ovarian vein leading to vessel injury, stasis, thrombosis and hemodynamic changes a recapitulation of Virchow). In rats with tail or foot amputation, and heparin, warfarin and aspirin application, without or with NO-agents, NOS-blocker L-NAME or NOS-substrate L-arginine, prolonged bleeding and thrombocytopenia were counteracted. Likewise, in rats with inferior caval vein-ligation thrombocytopenia and prolonged bleeding were all counteracted.

Finally, a general argumentation within the commonly accepted concepts, holds that the wound healing process accomplished all of the 4 major events (vascular constriction, loose platelet plug, fibrin mesh to ensure stability of platelet plug, dissolution of the clot) that occur in a set order following the loss of vascular integrity. Thereby, an agent implemented in wound healing, such as stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC
157, has shown to be effective in wound healing but also effective in bleeding disorders.62

**Stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157-NO-system-relation**

For effective application of the background of BPC 157, of particular significance should be BPC 157 interaction with NO-system. This interaction seems to be always present, while the relevance could be high knowing general importance of NO-system, and providing a clear demonstration of the interaction between BPC 157 and the whole NO-system in various models and species.1-11 The data so far presented suggested that BPC 157 may modulate NO-system effect, providing that its effectiveness could override the effects of NOS-blockade (induced by NOS-blocker L-NAME), NOS-overstimulation (induced by NOS-substrate L-arginine application), or NOS-system immobilization (induced by simultaneous administration of L-NAME and L-arginine).1-13 The point of homeostasis that may be induced by BPC 157 was shown using the example of L-NAME hypertension, and L-arginine-hypotension, both counteracted with BPC 157 application (while BPC 157 has no influence on normal blood pressure).49

More specifically, stable gastric pentadecapeptide BPC 157-NO-system-relation could suggest its close participation in Moncada’s (maintained vascular integrity, platelets control) homeostatic healing response of NO-system to injury.7 Seen from the viewpoint of the BPC 157-NO-system-relation, it is important that BPC 157’s particular healing effect also affects all events after the loss of vascular integrity.7 Depending on circumstances, it reduces either thrombosis (abdominal aorta anastomosis; inferior caval vein occlusion101) or bleeding/thrombocytopenia (amputation;62,63 heparin, warfarin, aspirin;101 after prolonged period of inferior caval vein occlusion101). Furthermore, in a series of different injurious models, acute and chronic,1-11 BPC 157 consistently promotes healing after severe injuries in various tissues which are spontaneously unable to heal;1-13 stimulates egr-1 and naB2 genes and different pathways;96-101 exhibits high safety (LD1 not achieved).1-13 Thus, a hypothesis is that BPC 157 (since it is formed constitutively in the gastric mucosa, stable in human gastric juice, along with significance of NO-synthase and the basal formation of NO in the gastric mucosa, stable in human gastric juice, along with hypothesi is that BPC 157 (since it is formed constitutively in chronic,59-60 much like the antagonization of thiopeptanl anesthetic effect,100 Cardiovascular disturbances, chronic heart failure, pulmonary hypertension, and arrhythmias appear as a particular point of counteraction, much like the disturbances after hypokalemia and hyperkalemia,41-45 and potassium-cell membrane dysfunction or complex muscle disorders produced by potassium43-45 or magnesium overload,111 or pupil paralysis with atropine.112 Finally, the BPC 157-NO-system-relation includes complex healing failure, proved by the fistulas healing,82,84-86 or particular anastomoses healing88 or vessel occlusion101,113 or cytostatic agents application (i.e., doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide).41-14 The notation that BPC 157-NO-system-relation interferes with the effects of neuroleptics merits further elaboration,115,116 Finally, BPC 157 application lead to normalization of NO-level in tissues as well as counteraction of free-radicals formation,117,119 Of note, how this advantage of modulating NO-system (i.e., particular effect on eNOS gene), may be a particular background which could be further translated into an enhanced clinical performance.1-13

**BPC 157 may have a particular additional effect during the injury on the blood vessel activity: vessel recruitment to circumvent the vessel occlusion**

The final argumentation goes to the findings that BPC 157 may have a particular additional effect during the injury on the blood vessel activity, much like vessel recruitment to circumvent the vessel occlusion, presenting an additional shunting and rapid bypassing loops to rapidly reestablish blood flow integrity.101,111,117,118 The particular illustration to damage counteraction appears with the ischemic/reperfusion colitis,113 and rapid bypassing loop through arcade vessels.112 Consequently, circumventing blockades, blood flow was restored, and pale areas without mucosal folds did not occur.113 Similar evidence follows the ligation of the superior anterior pancreaticoduodenal vein and duodenal congestion lesions, which were completely counteracted by BPC 157 application, both with intraabdominal bath or intragastric application with rapid presentation of the bypassing pathway through inferior anterior pancreaticoduodenal vein to superior mesenteric vein.117 This corresponds to the general evidence that BPC 157 was used in ulcerative colitis trial and duodenal lesions counteraction.1-13 As a proof of the integrative healing evidence (rapid cytoprotective endothelium rescue that BPC 157 exerted may be useful against damaging chain of events during ischemia (two ligations) and during reperfusion (ligations removed) appear normalized NO- and malondialdehyde (MDA)-values in colon tissues, oxidative stress...
markers. On the other hand, with ligation of the inferior caval vein occlusion we made a recapitulation of Virchow. A consistent model goes with ligation leading to vessel injury, exposure of tissue factors, stasis, thrombosis, hemodynamic changes, arterial hypotension, and in particular, venous hypertension, which approximates values over 20 mm Hg in the inferior caval vein, thereby being roughly four times higher than those in normal rats. All these adverse effects were counteracted by BPC 157 application. Interestingly, after cecum perforation, with BPC 157 administration we documented the gross reappearance of the vessels (USB micro camera) quickly propagating toward the defect at the caecum surface, defect in contraction, bleeding attenuation, MDA- and NO-levels normalized in colon tissue at 15 minutes, and advanced healing of colon lesions and less adhesions at days 1 and 7.

Robert’s stomach cytoprotection concept as the peripheral part of the brain-gut axis as beneficial background for the BPC 157 application in various CNS-disorders

Besides, as we reviewed, long ago, the Robert’s stomach cytoprotection concept was considered as the peripheral part of the brain-gut axis. The most prominent action of many peptides (i.e., bombesin, thyrotropin-releasing hormone, corticotropin releasing factor (CRF), neurotensin) on gastric lesions which appears when applied within the specific hypothalamic and brain stem sites or into the cerebrospinal fluid requires a corresponding effect from periphery.

And thereby, the beneficial background for the BPC 157 application in various central nervous system (CNS)-disorders holds the commonly accepted knowledge that brain-gut interaction involves, among others, gut-brain axis and interaction, peptidergic growth factors which are native in gastrointestinal tract and have strong antiulcer potency and thus could from periphery beneficially affect CNS-disorders. Initially, corresponding beneficial central influence on serotonin system was seen when BPC 157 was given peripherally and a serotonin release in particular brain areas, mostly nigrostriatal, was changed and markedly increased; Porsolt’s test and chronic stress induced immobility reduced more than after imipramine administration while serotonin syndrome (irreversible monoamine oxidase (MAO)-inhibition-serotonin precursor as serotonin substrate) was fully counteracted. Influence on dopamine system goes with the counteraction of the adverse effects of the amphetamine, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP; Parkinson’s model in mice), reserpine, haloperidol and other dopamine antagonists. Thus, BPC 157 does not act as a serotonin substrate. BPC 157 does inhibit MAO-inhibition, modulates serotoninergic and dopaminergic systems, beneficially affects various behavioral disturbances that otherwise appeared due to specifically (over)stimulated/damaged neurotransmitters systems.

Dopamine system as an additional background for Robert’s stomach cytoprotection. BPC 157 modulates dopamine system activity

This assumption follows the evidence that the dopamine system provides an additional background also for Robert’s stomach cytoprotection and gastric lesions appear after dopamine antagonists, MPTP, and reserpine. Thus, for BPC 157 application as a cytoprotective agent, we could likely envisage an analogy with the counteraction of the stomach lesion induced by NSAIDs much like the counteraction of all others adverse of NSAIDs effects, including the central disturbances. Accordingly, BPC 157 may, in addition to gastric lesions induced by dopamine antagonists, MPTP, and reserpine, counteract also their central disturbances such as akinesia, catalepsy as well as prolonged QTc intervals or sphincter malfunction in periphery. Thus, it may be that BPC 157 does modulate dopamine system activity. A supporting point for such particular modulatory effect is the evidence that BPC 157 counteracts also amphetamine both acute and chronic toxicity.

BPC 157 neuroprotective effects

In addition, BPC 157 has neuroprotective effects. It protects somatosensory neurons in capsaicin-treated rats. Sciatric nerve regeneration appears after transection with BPC 157 application, local (into the tube), intragastric or intraperitoneal. After traumatic brain injury, it counteracts the otherwise progressing course. In rat spinal cord compression with tail paralysis, axonal and neuronal necrosis, demyelination, cyst formation, BPC 157 result in marked recovery and rescues tail function in both short-terms and long-terms. After NSAIDs or insulin overdose or cuprizone (a neurotoxin that induces multiple sclerosis like lesion in rat model) or large bowel resection, encephalopathies were attenuated along with gastrointestinal, liver and vascular injuries. When convulsions appeared with paracetamol or insulin, they were completely eliminated or markedly attenuated, much like muscle weakness in cuprizone-rats.

BPC 157 interacts with several molecular pathways

Finally, as a conclusive point, BPC 157 interacts with several molecular pathways as it was demonstrated by many other groups as well. The wide range of the implicated pathways and thereby the functions known to be mediated by those pathways may be certainly a consistent background for the wide range of the BPC 157 claimed in our elaboration of the cytoprotection concept application in therapy. In this, of particular importance may be the resolving evidence that BPC 157 counteracted tumor cachexia. Along with the counteracted increase of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-α, looking at muscle metabolism relevant to cancer cachexia, BPC 157 counteracted muscle wasting, significantly
corrected deranged muscle proliferation as well as myogenesis, the changes in the expression of FoxO3a, p-AKT, p-mTOR, and P-GSK-3β. Of note, BPC 157 recovery muscle and muscle function occurs upon direct traumas (that would result in the severe injuries that would be hardly healed spontaneously). Likewise, it occurs after vascular occlusion, neuromuscular blockade (succinylcholine application), potassium or magnesium overload, dopamine system failure (nigrostriatal damage [MPTP]), vesicle depletion (reserpine), dopamine receptors blockade (haloperidol and other dopamine antagonists), or dopamine receptors supersensitivity (amphetamine after haloperidol) or dopamine over-release (amphetamine). In addition, BPC 157 recovers also smooth muscle functions, that is, sphincters (lower esophageal, pyloric, urinary, pupil), upon direct injury (stretching), indirect injury (fistula, anastomosis) or damaging agents (alcohol, potassium or magnesium over-load, NSAIDs, neuroleptics, NO-agents, atropine) application. Thus, we could suggest that the pathway indicated in the successful counteraction of the tumor-induced cachexia and muscle wasting, may be the common key how BPC 157 may function.

CONCLUSIONS

As a general common point, the Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection much like the Selye’s stress coping response, both receive an increasing popularity, but limited practical applicability when largely confronted with humber but more precise ideas. Since the very beginning, Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection has been in the focus on the demonstration of the particular beneficial effect of the cytoprotectants, using prostaglandins as the prototype, but also other standard cytoprotectants. On that account, innate beneficial effect, Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection tolerates the lack of the full understanding of the involved mechanisms, specific cellular changes seldom identified, the surface epithelial cells not protected, electrophysiologic parameters (potential difference) instead macroscopic/microscopic assessments. Thus, if the strength of the particular beneficial effect is the most important proof of the concept with the prostaglandins and other standard cytoprotectants, we have to admit that Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection tolerates no recovery of the preexisting stomach lesions, providing only prophylactic effect. Also, there are very limited extension of the standard cytoprotectants effect from the stomach lesions to other organs lesions (liver, pancreas), thus a quite limited cytoprotective and organoprotective therapeutic potential.

Finally, Robert’s concept has a methodology issue. It applies necrotizing agent (i.e., absolute alcohol) intragastrically, delivery goes directly through the inserted orogastric tube into the stomach (procedure that Robert (incorrectly) referred as oral application). Far from reality of the regular per-oral application, it means completely “unprepared stomach” to an unusual event. Contrarily, we recently demonstrated that the new initial target is the tongue, resulting in spontaneous reversal of strong alcohol-stomach lesions. BPC 157 therapy functions also within the redirected complexity of Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection concept.

Likewise, the follow up of the Robert’s stomach cytoprotection/adaptive cytoprotection/organoprotection much like the Selye’s stress coping response, done by BPC 157, reveals that the extensive demonstration of the beneficial effects may have similar problems as original concepts with the less focused involved mechanisms. However, the achieved demonstration of the beneficial effects, and thereby stomach cytoprotection→organoprotection more reliable realization, involves the whole gastrointestinal tract, both prophylyactic and therapeutic effect, including the pre-existing lesions in the most complex disturbances, such as the internal and external fistulas, or anastomosis complicated with severe colitis. There is a particular effect on endothelium integrity as well. Likewise, originally, BPC 157 effect was ascribed to its interaction and modulation of NO-system, while the interaction with prostaglandins, dopamine, and serotonin systems was also documented. BPC 157 also acts as a free radical scavenger, and normalizes NO- and MDA-levels in tissues, in ischemia and reperfusion. There are subsequent particular studies of other groups. confirmation of the our original findings. Pleotropic effect involving distinctive receptors, VEGFR2, growth hormone receptors, distinctive pathways, VEGFR2-AKT-eNOS, ERK½, FAK-paxillin, FoxO3a, p-AKT, p-mTOR and p-GSK-3β, distinctive loops, stimulation egfr-1 gene and its corepressor gene nab2, counteraction of the increased pro-inflammatory and pro-cachectic cytokines, would likely minimize the inherent lack of full understanding of the mechanisms which may be involved. But more important is the practical indicative evidence that even with the majority of studies on BPC 157 done on rodents given injection of the supplement, there are considerable number of the studies, particularly in gastrointestinal research, intragastric application or per-oral application in drinking water, correspondingly effective. In reality, in particular along with its safe profile, LD-1 not achieved, and no adverse effects in clinical trials, this evidence suggests easy practical clinical application (Fig. 1). While introducing a new concept BPC 157 first strictly follows, and then, it considerably extends, with recently demonstrated recruitment of blood vessels to bypass vascular occlusion, the guidelines of classic of stomach cells/endothelium cytoprotection and Selye’s concept of stress response against stress injury to reestablish disturbed homeostasis.
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