Comparison of Er:YAG Laser and Surgical Drill for Osteotomy in Oral Surgery: An Experimental Study
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Purpose: High-energy lasers have been proposed as an alternative to the conventional surgical drill in oral and maxillofacial surgery. The aims of this study were to compare thermal changes of the bone surface, procedure time, and volume of the removed bone after drilling with an erbium (Er):yttrium-aluminum-garnet (YAG) laser versus a low-speed surgical drill. The bone sections were observed under light microscopy and examined histologically.

Material and Methods: Thirty bone blocks were prepared from porcine ribs. On each block 2 holes (tunnel preparations) were performed using a low-speed, 1.0-mm-wide, surgical pilot drill and an Er:YAG laser (pulse energy, 1,000 mJ; pulse duration, 300 μs; frequency, 20 Hz). The temperature induced by the preparation techniques was measured using an infrared camera. The removed bone volume was calculated by a modified mathematical algorithm. The time required for the preparation was measured with a digital stopwatch and a time-measurement instrument integrated within the computer program. The cortical and spongiose surfaces of the specimens were examined microscopically and histologically under a light microscope with a high-resolution camera.

Results: The Er:YAG laser removed significantly more bone tissue than the drill (P < .01) in a significantly shorter time (P < .01). The temperature was statistically lower during the laser preparation (P < .01). Cavities prepared with the laser were regular with clear sharp edges and knife-like cuts. In the drill group, the preparations exhibited irregular edges full of bone fragments and fiberlike debris. Histologic examination of the laser sides showed a 30-μm-thick altered sublayer. The tissue in the drill group was covered with a smear layer without any alterations.

Conclusions: The Er:YAG laser produced preparations with regular and sharp edges, without bone fragments and debris, in a shorter time, and with less generated heat. Thermal alterations in the treated surface were minimal.
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The disadvantages of the conventional drill, which is the most frequently used system for osteotomies and ostectomies in oral and maxillofacial surgery,¹ are the increase of the focal temperature of regions undergoing the procedure, the deposition of metal shavings, and bacterial decontamination.²⁻⁴ Although the instruments are fitted with an internal cooling system, it is not possible to prevent thermal damage completely.⁵ Allan et al⁶ observed a necrotic surface zone after bone treatment with mechanically rotating instruments. Injury of the bone cells caused by the frictional heat generated during the mechanical preparation
may delay or even prevent healing. In addition, the vibrations generated during the surgical procedures with mechanically rotating instruments disturb patients. Over the past several decades, different types of high-energy lasers have been investigated in bone surgery. Among them, the erbium (Er):yttrium-aluminum-garnet (YAG) laser, emitting at a wavelength of 2.94 μm, possesses properties suitable for clinical bone surgery. It is well absorbed in water and hydroxyapatite, causing a photothermal reaction and photoablation. Extensive heating of the bone and surrounding tissues during irradiation has been overcome by the pulse-mode laser and the water spray, ensuring good clinical results without any impairment to wound healing. Histologic and electronic microscopic evaluations of the efficacy of the Er:YAG laser have shown minimal thermal damage of the bone, precise cutting, rapid osseous healing, and osteoinduction. Compared with conventional mechanical drills and saws, it provides a noncontact and low-vibration intervention, a high bactericidal and detoxification effect, less traumatization, and decreased bleeding. Moreover, by using a laser, it is possible to remove bone tissue from places that are difficult to access by conventional methods; and it is less invasive. However, the routine application of the Er:YAG laser has not been established in clinical practice. Some researchers have documented longer periods for an osteotomy compared with conventional mechanical drills and saws, it provides a noncontact and low-vibration intervention, a high bactericidal and detoxification effect, less traumatization, and decreased bleeding. Moreover, by using a laser, it is possible to remove bone tissue from places that are difficult to access by conventional methods; and it is less invasive. However, the routine application of the Er:YAG laser has not been established in clinical practice. Some researchers have documented longer periods for an osteotomy compared with mechanical drills. Moreover, an inability to control the depth of the cut may complicate the procedure in an area with an unknown size around the focus, so the depth control is usually intuitive. This problem is partly solved by the recently developed assistance systems for a precise intraoperative realization of preoperative planning. Such navigated laser surgery is especially advantageous for an inexperienced surgeon because the operating technique is safer and easier compared with an oscillating saw.

The purpose of this study was to compare the Er:YAG laser with a surgical drill in osteotomy based on specific physical and histologic evaluations: 1) thermal changes of the bone surface, 2) the time required for the preparation, and 3) the volume of the removed bone during the procedure with the Er:YAG laser versus the low-speed surgical pilot drill. The alterations in bone tissue after Er:YAG irradiation and a low-speed pilot drill were analyzed by light microscopy and histology. The null hypothesis was that there would be no difference between the Er:YAG laser and the surgical drill in the osteotomy.

Materials and Methods

SAMPLE PREPARATION

The research protocol was approved by the local ethics committee. The experimental study was performed on freshly harvested sternums from porcine ribs, which were split into 2 halves by sagittal osteotomy. A water-cooled slow-speed diamond disk (Isomet 1000; Buehler International, Inc, Lake Bluff, IL), set at 250 rpm with a 100-g load, was used to split each half of the rib into equal segments (2.0 × 1.0 × 0.5 cm) with approximately the same thickness for the cortical and spongiose parts. The study sample consisted of 30 bone blocks that were stored in 0.1% thymol solution until use to decrease bacterial growth and prevent dehydration of the samples. The thickness of the blocks was 4.6 ± 0.7 mm, including the cortical (1.3 ± 0.4 mm) and spongiose (3.3 ± 0.6 mm) parts. Before the experimental procedures, the samples were dried with compressed air and adjusted to room temperature.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The bone blocks were divided into 2 equal parts with a line parallel to the shorter side of the block. Two holes were created in each bone block, 1 at each part, by using a pilot drill and an Er:YAG laser. The holes spanned the full thickness of the block (tunnel preparation) to simulate the preparation for the fixation screw site.

The first hole was prepared using a low-speed handpiece (1,500 rpm) with a 1.0-mm-wide pilot-type stainless steel drill (Screw System, Meisinger, Neuss, Germany) under constant saline irrigation.

At a distance of 7 mm from the first hole, another hole was prepared with the short pulse Er:YAG laser and an RO2-C handpiece (AT Fidelis; Fotona dd, Ljubljana, Slovenia) under constant cooling with a water spray (30 mL/min). The Er:YAG laser operated in noncontact mode at a distance of 7 mm from the bone surface. The laser parameters were a λ value equal to 2.94 μm, 20-W power, 1,000-mJ pulse energy, 20-Hz frequency, 300-μs pulse duration, and 0.9-mm spot size.

During the preparations, an articulated arm delivery system of the laser and a low-speed handpiece set were fixed. The bone plates were fixed with a clamp. To ensure the blinded character of the study, the bone sections and hole preparations were performed by an expert in oral-maxillofacial surgery (D.P.G.). Another operator (I.B.) marked the specimens before the preparations and performed all the measurements. Biosecurity standards to protect the personnel were followed.
TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS

The temperature profiles induced by the laser and drilling tool were measured using an infrared camera (ThermoCAM P45; FLIR Systems, Danderyd, Sweden) during the entire interval of the bone exposure and preparation period. The thermal camera was connected directly to the computer. The lens was oriented toward the samples, approximately 20 cm beneath the upper cortical surface of the bone plates, and fixed during the recording period. The temperature changes of the surface were measured and reproduced on the display as a diagram and a color image.

VOLUME MEASUREMENTS

The volume of the removed bone tissue during the tunnel preparations was calculated by a modified mathematical algorithm based on the incomplete bevel volume formula \( V = \pi \times v / 3 \times (R^2 + r^2 + R \times r) \) (\( V = \) bevel volume, \( v = \) thickness of a sample, \( R = \) radius of a greater cross section area, \( r = \) radius of a smaller cross section area). The largest diameter of the hole, including the cortical and spongiose parts, and the entry and exit diameters of the tunnel preparation were measured using a custom-made triangular laser-based profile meter (Fotona dd) with resolutions of 5, 20, and 5 \( \mu m \) on the x, y, and z axes, respectively, and with 5% precision. It was connected directly to the computer, digital caliper (Caliper-Digital; Salvin Dental Specialties, Inc, Charlotte, NC), and a time-measurement instrument, which was integrated within the computer program for the thermal camera (ThermaCAM Researcher Pro 2.8 SR-2, FLIR Systems).

TIME MEASUREMENTS

The time required for the tunnel preparation using the laser and the pilot drill was measured with a digital stopwatch (RF43379; Richforth Electronics Company, Fujian, China) and with a time-measurement instrument, which was integrated within the computer program for the thermal camera (ThermaCAM Researcher Pro 2.8 SR-2, FLIR Systems).

LIGHT MICROSCOPIC AND HISTOLOGIC EXAMINATIONS

After the preparations, the specimens were stored in 10% buffered formalin until the histologic examination. The cortical and spongiose surfaces of the tunnel preparation were analyzed under a light microscope (BX 51; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and a high-resolution camera for the clinical recording (D700; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). For the histologic examination, the samples were decalcified in Osteosoft (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany; pH 7 to 7.3) for 50 days. The samples were dehydrated in an Shandon Excelsior ES™ (Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK) tissue processor using the traditional reagents: serial concentrations of ethanol, xylol, and paraffin (Merck KGaA). After the dehydration protocol, the specimens were inserted in paraffin blocks (Merck KGaA), trimmed, and cut sagittally through the center of the tunnel preparation using an electric, round, microcutting machine (Shandon Finesse Microtome, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). The 3-\( \mu m \)-thick histologic sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Merck KGaA) and observed under a light microscope at \( \times 40 \) and \( \times 100 \) magnifications. Photomicrographs were taken, and the observation was performed by a trained examiner.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied to test the equality of the sample’s medians between the laser and drill groups. Then, all the parameters (volume, time, thickness of bone plate, thickness of cortical and spongiose parts, starting and final surface temperatures, difference between starting and final surface temperatures, maximum temperature level) were analyzed separately using the linear regression model and the Spearman rank correlation coefficient.

Results

QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

Table 1 presents the measured parameters for the laser and surgical drill groups: the volume of the removed bone, the preparation time, and the temperature changes during the preparation. There was a significant statistical difference \( (P < .001) \) between the Er:YAG laser and the surgical pilot drill for all measured parameters except the temperature interval \( (P = .742) \). The maximum temperature level in the laser group was directly related to the thickness of the bone plate \( (P = .001) \) and the starting surface temperature \( (P = .065) \). In the drill group, there were no statistically significant relations between the measured parameters \( (P > .05) \).

LIGHT MICROSCOPIC OBSERVATION

In the laser group, all cavities exhibited a regular shape with sharp edges and smooth cuts and regular borders on the cortical side. There were no signs of thermal damage (Fig 1A). In the drill group, irregular edges and an irregular shape were observed on the cortical surface of the cavity. The edges were filled with bone fragments and fiberlike debris. There were no signs of thermal damage (Fig 1B). On the spongiose side, irregular edges and borders of the cavitations were observed after using the Er:YAG laser and the drill (Fig 2).
HISTOLOGIC EXAMINATION

The margins of the osteotomy performed with the laser showed a 30-μm-thick altered layer (Fig 3). The superficial, thin, affected layer with irregular borders was composed of 2 sublayers: a lightly stained superficial layer with signs of carbonization and amorphous structures and a darkly stained underlying layer with minimal thermal damage. Empty osteocytic lacunae could be observed approximately 30 μm from the irradiated surface. The drill sites did not present an altered layer or signs of thermal damage (carbonization or melting). The treated surface was covered with a smear layer (Fig 4).

Table 1. SUMMARY OF QUANTITATIVE DATA MEASURED AFTER PREPARATION WITH THE ER:YAG LASER AND SURGICAL DRILL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter of Hole (mm)</th>
<th>Removed Bone Tissue (μL)</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Starting Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Final Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Maximum Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Temperature Interval (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical Surface</td>
<td>Laser 2.0</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.7 ± 3.6</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>21.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>26.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spongiose Surface</td>
<td>Surgical drill 1.0</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>17.9 ± 9.7</td>
<td>24.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>30.6 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: P values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.


Discussion

The present ex vivo study compared the efficiency of the Er:YAG laser with the conventional pilot drill used for the preparation of holes for fixation screws. For that reason, the volume of the removed bone, the required time, and the temperature generated were analyzed. The efficiency of the techniques was evaluated in bone blocks prepared from the sternums of porcine ribs, with approximately the same thickness for the cortical and spongiose parts. The idea was to simulate the height and width of the intraoral autologous bone blocks commonly used in dental implantology (2.0 × 1.0 × 0.5 cm). Animal bone samples (porcine and bovine) have been used in previous studies for an evaluation of the laser effect in oral and maxillofacial surgery.8,26

The results showed an excellent cutting efficiency using the Er:YAG laser without any extensive time requirement. The overall mean time for the Er:YAG was 3.1 seconds, whereas that for the surgical drill was 17.9 seconds. Moreover, during these periods, the Er:YAG laser removed an almost 3 times larger volume of bone tissue than the drill. These observations are in contrast with previous studies that claimed that the time required for complete ostectomy was a limiting factor for the application of the laser in oral and maxillofacial surgical clinical practice.17,21,27,28 It is difficult to measure the exact time for harvesting a bone graft in the clinical situation because it depends on not only the bone quality and surgical technique but also the surgical site, where blood and water may influence the attenuation of the laser beam.17 The preparation of the holes for the fixation screws is accomplished outside the oral cavity so, when analyzing the surgical techniques, the
bone quality and the laser settings such as pulse duration, pulse energy, and frequency have to be considered.\(^1\),\(^2\) Papadaki et al\(^2\) compared different energies per pulse (500, 1,000, 1,500, and 2,000 mJ) for osteotomy in a large animal model and concluded the osteotomy was performed faster as the energy per pulse increased. The high-pulse energy (1,000 mJ) and high frequency (20 Hz) of the Er:YAG laser used in the present study are the likely reasons for the very short time required for the preparation. Furthermore, the Er:YAG laser produced an exact cutting surface with regular borders on the cortical side, whereas the pilot drill produced irregular edges filled with bone fragments and fiberlike debris. These observations are in accord with previous animal experiments evaluating the effect of the Er:YAG laser on the hard dental and vital osseous tissues.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^5\)\(^2\) Papadaki et al\(^2\) and Romeo et al\(^3\)\(^2\) found a smooth cut after the use of very high-pulse energies and numerous bone fragments and debris after the use of rotating instruments. Fragments and fiberlike debris found on the bone surface after using a mechanical pilot drill may be a risk for potential infection.\(^1\)\(^7\) On the other side, the lack of a smear layer may increase the adhesion of blood elements at the start of the healing process.\(^1\)\(^6\) Stübinger et al\(^1\)\(^7\) macroscopically observed an equally rough and craggy bone surface after osteotomy performed with a conventional drill as with an Er:YAG laser. No additional bone dust or particles were generated during the Er:YAG laser irradiation, thus decreasing the risk for a potential infection caused by bony particles dispersed within the periosteum.\(^1\)\(^7\)

The precision of the pulse Er:YAG laser in bone surgery is explained by its high absorption coefficient in water and its interaction with bone tissue. The Er:YAG laser beam has high energy at its center, whereas the energy is lower at the outer region of the beam. Thus, the beam efficiently ablates the tissue at its center by thermal vaporization and microexplosions of the tissue. Away from its center, the energy is lower and insufficient for tissue ablation, causing charring of the bone tissue as a result of a cumulative heat deposition.\(^3\)\(^5\) Therefore, the histologic analysis showed some thermal damage at the margins of the osteotomies performed using the Er:YAG laser (500 mJ, 10 Hz).\(^1\)\(^9\) The present study showed similar findings, where the use of the Er:YAG laser (1,000 mJ, 20 Hz) resulted in an approximately 30-\(\mu\)m-thick altered layer on the margins of the osteotomy. The layer was composed of a superficial part without clear structures and an underlying layer with minimal thermal damage. The drill sites were covered with the smear layer. Similarly, Sasaki et al\(^1\)\(^6\) analyzed the ultrastructure of bone tissue (parietal bones of rats) treated with the Er:YAG laser for 2 to 3 seconds with a water coolant and observed minimal changes of 13.2- to 30-\(\mu\)m thickness without severe thermal damage. Histologically, the changed layer consisted of a lightly stained superficial layer without defined structures and a deep, less affected layer. They also reported that the affected layer was basically nontoxic, although transmission electron microscopy showed minor compositional changes with a major loss of the organic components and a minor loss of the inorganic components.\(^1\)\(^6\) This altered layer is believed to be harmless with regard to bone healing.\(^2\)\(^4\) In a study by Yoshino et al,\(^3\)\(^4\) Er:YAG irradiation (115 mJ/pulse, 10 Hz, noncontact mode) during 3 seconds without a water coolant created the affected layer, which did not inhibit cell migration and proliferation, and the direct deposition of new bone on the lased surface was generally observed. In the present study, light microscopic analysis did not show any signs of thermal damage after testing the 2 techniques. Stübinger et al\(^1\)\(^7\) and Papadaki et al\(^2\)\(^6\) also did not observe macroscopically any surface alterations after the use of the Er:YAG laser.

The pulse laser systems have been found to have the lowest risk of scarring and unwanted thermal diffusion.\(^1\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^1\)\(^5\) The absence of thermal alterations of tissue caused by the pilot drill is probably due to the low speed and constant irrigation, as explained in a study by De Mello et al.\(^1\)\(^8\) Eriksson and Albrektsson\(^3\)\(^5\) described the critical temperature for bone to be 47°C and noted that a temperature increase from 44°C to 47°C may lead to tissue necrosis. In this research, the average temperature did not increase above 32°C during the preparation with the 2 techniques. The maximum temperature of 68.7°C measured during Er:YAG irradiation is related to the temperature necessary for the ablation of tissue and, according to the histologic examination, did not cause thermal damage to the surrounding tissue.

In the present study, the Er:YAG laser showed some advantages during the preparation of holes for fixation screws compared with the surgical drill, such as a shorter preparation time, a lower heat generation, the sharp edges of the holes without bone fragments, and the smear layer on the surface. The thermal alterations of the bone tissue produced by Er:YAG laser irradiation with a water coolant were minimal.
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