Comparative proteomic analysis of saliva from dogs with and without obesity-related metabolic dysfunction

Sónia Lucena\textsuperscript{a,b}, Ana Varela Coelho\textsuperscript{c}, Sandra I. Anjo\textsuperscript{d,e}, Bruno Manadas\textsuperscript{d}, Vladimir Mrljak\textsuperscript{f}, Fernando Capela e Silva\textsuperscript{a,g}, Elsa Lamy\textsuperscript{a}, Asta Tvarijonaviciute\textsuperscript{h,}\textsuperscript{*}

\textsuperscript{a} Institute of Agrarian and Environmental Environmental Sciences (ICAAM), University of Évora, Portugal
\textsuperscript{b} Department of Veterinary Medicine, School of Science and Technology, University of Évora, Portugal
\textsuperscript{c} Institute of Chemical and Biological Technology (ITQB), New University of Lisbon, Portugal
\textsuperscript{d} CNCC - Center for Neuroscience and Cell Biology, University of Coimbra, Portugal
\textsuperscript{e} Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Portugal
\textsuperscript{f} Clinic for Internal Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Zagreb, Heinzelova 55, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia
\textsuperscript{g} Department of Biology, School of Science and Technology, University of Évora, Portugal
\textsuperscript{h} Interlab-UMU, Regional Campus of International Excellence Campus Mare Nostrum, University of Murcia, Murcia 30100, Spain

\textbf{ARTICLE INFO}

\textbf{Keywords:}
Dog
Saliva
Proteome
Obesity
Metabolic syndrome

\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Dogs develop only some of the components of the human metabolic syndrome (MetS). Thus, in order to study possible MetS-related alterations in dogs, human MetS criteria were adapted to define canine MetS or so-called obesity-related metabolic dysfunction (ORMD). The main objective of this study was to identify changes in the salivary proteome of obese dogs with ORMD in comparison with obese dogs without ORMD which may constitute potential salivary biomarkers for assessing ORMD. In a first phase, 12 adult obese dogs with ORMD (\(N = 6\)) and without ORMD (\(N = 6\)) were included in the study. Subsequently, and with the aim of validating and strengthening the results, additional 12 obese dogs (6 with and 6 without ORMD) were tested in an independent experiment following the same protocol. Saliva samples were subjected to a quantitative proteomics analysis and the levels of nine salivary proteins were found to be significantly different between groups, among them those which had greatest fold-change were proteins involved in glycolysis and oxidative stress. In conclusion, despite metabolic syndrome to include different combinations of diseases, the observation of differences in salivary proteome suggests a potential of this fluid to understand the pathophysiology of the disease. 

Significance: This is the first study evaluating proteomes of saliva in dogs, as a non-invasive sample, in order to increase knowledge about the metabolic/physiopathological changes related to obesity-related metabolic dysfunction (ORMD) together with the identification of potential biomarkers for its diagnosis. As approximately 20\% of dogs with naturally occurring obesity were described to suffer ORMD associated with insulin resistance and hypoadiponectinemia, the fact that indicate possible links between ORMD and associated diseases.

1. Introduction

In humans, the term ‘metabolic syndrome’ (MetS) describes a clustering of obesity (especially visceral obesity), hypertension, insulin resistance or hyperglycaemia, and hyperlipidaemia, especially increased fasting triglycerides and decreased High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDL-C) [1]. The MetS itself causes no clinical signs, but comprises a set of important risk factors for diseases that cause morbidity and mortality in humans, including non-alcoholic liver disease, cardiovascular disease, stroke, and type 2 diabetes [2]. Dogs develop some of the components of the human MetS: obesity [3], insulin resistance [4], increased blood pressure [5], and hyperlipidaemia [6]. Nevertheless, although liver alterations in canine MetS were reported [7], the most important consequences of the MetS in humans such as type 2 diabetes (T2D), stroke and coronary heart disease, either do not exist or are very rare in dogs suggesting that dogs could have protective mechanisms or that dogs lack pathophysiological elements present in humans [8]. In order to study possible MetS-related alterations in dogs, human MetS criteria were adapted to define canine MetS or so-called obesity-related metabolic dysfunction (ORMD) [9]. Approximately 20\%
of dogs with naturally occurring obesity were described to suffer ORMD [9] associated with insulin resistance and hyperadiponectinemia [9–11].

Saliva has gained interest for biomarker identification, mainly due to the non-invasive nature of its collection, at the same time that it contains glandular and blood-born molecules whose levels can change under different conditions [12]. In humans, various Mts biomarkers were found in saliva samples, such as salivary HDL-C and fasting glucose levels, adipokines (such as adiponectin, leptin, resistin) and proinflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), insulin, ghrelin, tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interleukins, either in adults or adolescents and children [13–15]. The results of those studies provide useful information about the development of this metabolic disease and establish that saliva may be a fluid of interest in the study of this syndrome [14].

In present study, we hypothesized that dogs with metabolic dysfunction could have a different salivary protein composition comparatively to dogs without ORMD. Thus, the main objective of this study was to identify changes in the salivary proteome in obese dogs with ORMD that can help to understand the metabolic/physiopathological changes related to this condition together with the identification of potential biomarkers for its diagnosis.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ethical note

The study protocol was approved by local and regional Research Ethics Committees (323/2017 and A13170806, respectively).

2.2. Animals

A total of 24 adult dogs of different breeds were included in this study. Saliva samples from six castrated adult obese dogs without ORMD (non-ORMD group) and six castrated adult obese dogs with ORMD (ORMD group) were used for the proteomic screening while the remaining animals (six with and six without ORMD) were further used to validate data obtained in the initial screening, as detailed in Section 2.7. All animals were client-owned dogs brought for routine check-up or vaccination to different private veterinary clinics of Murcia Region, Spain. All dogs were evaluated for their general health status and only those that did not present signs of other than obesity diseases, as based on physical examination, CBC and biochemistry analyses. In addition, CRP was measured in all dogs in order to discard active inflammation; only dogs with CRP < 12 mg/L were considered for the inclusion in the study. Body condition score (BCS) was determined using a validated scale [16]. All animals were client-owned dogs brought for routine check-up or vaccination to different private veterinary clinics.

2.3. Definition of obesity-related metabolic dysfunction

Dogs were considered as having ORMD if met previously described criteria [9]: (a) BCS 4-5/5; and (b) any two of the following: 1) plasma triglycerides > 200 mg/dL; 2) plasma cholesterol > 300 mg/dL; 3) systolic arterial pressure (SAP) > 160 mmHg; 4) fasting plasma glucose > 100 mg/dL, or previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus [9].

Blood pressure in all dogs was measured non-invasively using an oscillometric method. All dogs were fully conscious. A cuff of the appropriate size (e.g. the cuff chosen had a width of ~40% circumference of the leg) was placed on the right forelimb. Once the dog was calm and still, at least five systolic arterial pressure (SAP) readings were taken and averaged.

2.4. Serum analysis

Serum total cholesterol, triglycerides and glucose were measured in an automated biochemistry analyser ( Olympus AU600, Beckman Coulter, Brea, USA) using commercially available reagents and following the instructions of the manufacturer.

2.5. Saliva analysis

2.5.1. Saliva collection

Saliva samples were collected as previously described [17]. A sponge was placed in each dog’s mouth, left in contact with the cheek mucosa for 1–2 min, and was then placed into the Salivette device for centrifugation (3000 g, 10 min, 4 °C). After centrifugation, saliva was transferred to 1.5 mL polyethylene tubes and stored at −80 °C until analysis.

2.5.2. Total protein concentration

Bradford method protein assay with BSA as the standard protein (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA) was performed to determine the total protein concentration of each sample. Standards and samples were run in triplicate, in 96 wells microplates. Absorbance was read at 600 nm in a microplate reader (Glomax, Promega).

2.5.3. Protein digestion in solution

The volume of each saliva sample correspondent to a total of 50 μg of protein was added to 10 μL of 6 M Urea 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (AB). Then 1 μL of NaOH 0.5 M was also added to adjust pH to 8–8.5. To perform the reduction 1.43 μL of dithiothreitol (DTT) 700 mM was added and the samples incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Then alkylation was made adding 4.29 μL of iodoacetamide (IAA) 700 mM, with a posterior incubation of 30 min at room

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Animals included in proteomic analysis</th>
<th>Animals included in validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>非ORMD</td>
<td>ORM D</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breed</td>
<td>German Shepherd, Mongrel</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>6.8 (2.5–11.0)</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female and male dogs</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body condition score, 5-point scale</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight, kg</td>
<td>15.8 (8.9–24.7)</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>244.5 (209–649)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>83.5 (66.0–96.0)</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>94.0 (82.5–144.0)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic arterial pressure (SAP)</td>
<td>140.9 (137.3–157.5)</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
temperature in the dark. To quench the excess of IAA 7.5 μL of 500 mMN-acetyl cysteine (NAC) was added to the samples and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. A volume of 486.8 μL of AB 50 mM was added to samples to dilute the urea concentration to 1 M. Immediately before trypsin digestion, 2 μg of an internal standard (MBP-GFP fusion protein - Maltose-binding protein combined with Green Fluorescent Protein) was added. The digestion with 5 μL of trypsin (stock 1 μg/μL) added to each sample was done for 18 h at 37 °C. To stop digestion a volume of 3 μL of formic acid (FA) was added to each sample. Subsequently, a cleaning/concentration step was performed using OMIX C18 tips (Agilent Technologies), according to manufacturer recommendations, by passing the mixture through the tips and eluting the peptides by adding 70% ACN in 0.1% FA solution. The flow was transferred to a new 1.5 mL polyethylene tube and the mixture dried using a speed vac (LabConco, CentriVap micro IR).

2.5.4. SWATH-MS analysis – data acquisition

Saliva samples were analysed on a TripleTOF™ 6600 System (Sciex®) using information-dependent acquisition (IDA) of pooled samples for protein identification and SWATH-MS acquisition of each individual sample for protein quantification [18]. Peptides were resolved by liquid chromatography (nanoLC Ultra 2D, Eksigent®) on a MicroLC column Halo Fuse Core™ (300 μm ID × 15 cm length, 2.7 μm particles, 90 Å pore size, Eksigent®) at 5 μL/min with 45 min linear gradient from 5% to 30% of ACN in 0.1% FA and 5% dimethylethuloxide (DMSO). Peptides were eluted into the mass spectrometer using an electrospray ionization source (DuoSpray™ Source, ABSciex®) with a 50 μm internal diameter (ID) stainless steel emitter (NewObjective). For IDA experiments, the mass spectrometer was set to scanning full spectra (m/z 350–1250) for 250 ms, followed by up to 100 MS/MS scans (m/z 100–1500) from a dynamic accumulation time – minimum 30 ms for precursor above the intensity threshold of 2000 – in order to maintain a cycle time of 3.2 s. Candidate ions with a charge state between +2 and +5 and counts above a minimum threshold of 10 counts per second were isolated for fragmentation and one MS/MS spectra was collected before adding those ions to the exclusion list for 15 s (mass spectrometer operated by Analyst® TF 1.7, Sciex®). Rolling collision was used with a collision energy spread of 5. For SWATH-MS based experiments, the mass spectrometer was operated in a looped product ion mode [19] and the same chromatographic conditions used as in the IDA run described above. A set of 168 windows of variable width (containing an m/z of 1 for the window overlap) was constructed covering the precursor mass range of m/z 350–1250. A 50 ms survey scan (m/z 350–1250) was acquired at the beginning of each cycle for instrument calibration and SWATH MS/MS spectra were collected from m/z 100–1500 for 20 ms resulting in a cycle time of 3.29 s from the precursors ranging from m/z 350 to 1250. The collision energy (CE) applied to each m/z window was determined considering the appropriate CE for a +2 ion centred upon this window and the collision energy spread (CES) was also adapted to each m/z window.

2.5.5. SWATH-MS data analysis – protein identification and quantification

A specific library of precursor masses and fragment ions was created by combining all files from the IDA experiments (one pool per group of samples), and used for subsequent SWATH processing.

Peptide identification and library generation were performed with ProteinPilot software (v5.0, Sciex®), using the following parameters: i) search against a database composed by the Uniprot’s reference proteome UP000002254 from Canis lupus familiaris (85,188 entries, release at December 2017) and MBP-GFP; ii) iodoacetamide alkylated cysteines as fixed modification; iii) trypsin as digestion type. An independent False Discovery Rate (FDR) analysis, using the target-decoy approach provided by ProteinPilot™, was used to assess the quality of the identifications and confident identifications were considered when identified peptides and proteins reached a 5% local FDR [20,21]. Data processing was performed using SWATH™ processing plug-in for PeakView™ (v2.0.01, AB Sciex®). After retention time adjustment using the MBP-GFP peptides, up to 15 peptides, with up to 5 fragments each, were chosen per protein, and quantitation was attempted for all proteins in library file that were identified from ProteinPilot™ search. Peptides’ confidence threshold was determined based on a FDR analysis using the target-decoy approach and those that met the 1% FDR threshold in at least three biological replicates were retained, and the peak areas of the target fragment ions of those peptides were extracted across the samples using an extracted-ion chromatogram (XIC) window of 4 min and 100 ppm error. The levels of the proteins were estimated by summing all the filtered transitions from all the filtered peptides for a given protein and normalized to the total intensity obtained in each sample.

2.6. BLAST Search and Gene Ontology (GO) classification

Proteins for which differential accumulation was found by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC MS/MS) analysis were submitted to functional classification by PANTHER (protein annotation through evolutionary relationship) (http://www.pantherdb.org/). Canis lupus familiaris was the selected organism. Molecular function and biological processes pie-charts were constructed.

The BLAST program downloaded from NCBI was used for annotation of “uncharacterized proteins” and to assess homologies with proteins with known functions.

2.7. Validation of proteomic analysis

Saliva samples from 12 overweight/obese dogs (BCS 4–5/5) were used to validate the findings from the initial proteomic analysis (Table 1). Saliva samples collection and proteomic analysis were performed as described for the first 12 dogs analysed (Sections 2.5 and 2.6). SWATH-MS analysis was used for salivaary protein quantification and comparison between the dogs with and without ORMID, following the same protocol already described in Section 2.5.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Each variable (i.e., quantified protein) was tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Outlier’s values were removed, when existent. Univariate analysis, for comparison of protein levels between ORMID and non-ORMID groups, was performed using the Student’s t-test or the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test, depending if the data follow a normal distribution. Statistical significance was considered for p < 0.05 and analysis procedures were achieved using the SPSS 21.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

For Multivariate Analysis, partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was used taking into account the interdependence among proteins. Data normalization was performed by a pooled sample from group non-ORMD and cube root transformation was used. Discriminant variables selection was done using variable importance in the projection (VIP) with a threshold of 2.0. This was done using Metaanaoslyst 4.0 [22].

For results validation, the option “Biomarker meta-analysis”, in Metaanaoslyst software was used, for confirmation of the proteins presenting the same differences/tendencies in both sub-populations of animals tested.

3. Results

In the present study, 300 proteins were identified in dog saliva (supplementary table 1). These proteins have different molecular functions, with 47.3% having catalytic activity and 37.2% being involved in binding. A lesser percentage of dog salivary proteins have diverse molecular functions, such as structural molecule activity (6.9%), antioxidant (4.8%), signal transducer (1.6%), receptor (1.1%)
Table 2: Salivary proteins with different abundance between dogs with (ORMD) and without metabolic dysfunction (non-ORMD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Accession Number (Uniprot)</th>
<th>Max no. peptides</th>
<th>Protein function</th>
<th>Fold-change (ratio ORMD/non-ORMD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p(\alpha) Actinin-4</td>
<td>L7N071</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Actin-filament binding</td>
<td>2.17 * 0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose 6-phosphate isomerase</td>
<td>E2R2C3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Catalytic activity; involved in glycolysis</td>
<td>2.25 0.003 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 5</td>
<td>F1PIC7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Involved in cellular response to stress</td>
<td>1.65 0.009 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase</td>
<td>J9P9E9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Catalytic activity; is involved in phosphate pentose pathway</td>
<td>2.43 0.003 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein S100</td>
<td>E2R5P5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cell proliferation, cytoskeletal dynamics and tumorigenesis</td>
<td>2.19 0.002 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione S-transferase</td>
<td>E2R5B9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Catalytic activity; participates in cellular detoxification</td>
<td>3.34 0.003 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase</td>
<td>J9NVT2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Catalytic activity; participates in the biosynthesis of inosine monophosphate</td>
<td>2.72 0.002 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superoxide dismutase</td>
<td>Cu[1]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Small-molecule binding</td>
<td>0.49 #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipocalin-Can f6 allergen</td>
<td>H2B3G5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proteins without statistically significant differences for univariate analysis (< 0.05). \* and # indicate the significance level between the studied groups (through univariate analysis) and between groups, in only one of the sub-populations, presenting a tendency (P < 0.1) in the other. These nine proteins were related to catalytic activity, some participating in carbohydrate metabolism, cellular response to stress and detoxification and with transport functions. In all cases the protein levels were increased in the ORMD group.

The multivariate PLS-DA model shows a clear separation of the groups (ORMD vs. non-ORMD), as illustrated for the sub-population used for screening (Fig. 2). The proteins that contributed to this separation (VIP ≥ 2) in both sub-populations (original and validation one) were two uncharacterized proteins (J9NXL3 and F1Q3K7) (Fig. 3). BLAST analysis revealed that the identified “uncharacterized protein” (J9NXL3) presented 99.3% homology with the haemoglobin alpha subunit from Canis lupus familiaris (P60530) and the other “uncharacterized protein” (F1Q3K7) presented 100% homology with the lipocalin-Can f6 allergen from Canis lupus familiaris (H2B3G5).

4. Discussion

This is the first study evaluating the salivary proteome in obese dogs with and without obesity-related metabolic dysfunction (ORMD and non-ORMD, respectively). Initially, saliva from a sub-population of 12 dogs (6 with and 6 without ORMD) were analysed, with a subsequent analysis being performed in an independent sub-population of 12 different dogs (6 with and 6 without ORMD) for validation of results.

In the present study, 83% of the detected proteins were reported previously in canine saliva using proteomic approaches [23], while 51 identified proteins have never been reported before for dog saliva. At least in part, this can be attributed to different methodologies and dog breeds used among the diverse studies, since different salivary proteomes were described in dogs of different breeds [24,25].

Analysis in both sub-populations of dogs allowed the observation of nine salivary proteins with increased abundance in ORMD group. These proteins are involved in stress/oxidation defence [superoxide dismutase (Cu–Zn), glutathione-S-transferase, heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70)], related with the glycolytic pathways (glycolysis - glucose 6-phosphate isomerase, phosphoglycerate mutase; and pentose phosphate pathway -Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase), cytoskeletal dynamics (protein S100, actinin α-4), protein degradation (cathepsin D) and transport (lipocalin, haemoglobin alpha subunit).

Metabolic syndrome is known to be related with oxidative stress, a condition in which an imbalance results between the production and inactivation of reactive oxygen species [26]. As such, it is understandable that proteins involved in oxidative stress defence are
Fig. 1. Salivary proteins presenting consistent variations between ORMD and non-ORMD groups in both the screening and the validation assays.

Fig. 2. Partial Least Square Determinant Analysis (PLS-DA) model for dog saliva samples of original sub-population [non-ORMD (n = 5, Δ) vs. ORMD (n = 6, +)]. X and Y axis show principal component 1 (PC1) and principal component 2 (PC2), respectively, and the contribution of each of them for explaining the total variance.
Glutathione S transferase belongs to a class of proteins involved in detoxification of endogenous and exogenous compounds. This enzyme, in concert with antioxidant systems modulate oxidative stress associated with diabetes mellitus. All of the animals tested in the present study (in both sub-populations) that were diagnosed with ORMD were hyperglycemic and the increase in the levels of this enzyme in their saliva goes in line with studies reporting higher serum levels of glucose 6-phosphate isomerase in patients with diabetes mellitus. This in-creased oxidative stress is known to associate to the development of diabetes and metabolic syndrome. Xu et al. [35] reported that chronic hyperglycemia causes increased oxidative stress. Through PLS-DA it was also observed the contribution of Can f 6 allergen to separate the two groups (ORMD vs. Non ORMD), with this protein decreased in ORMD group. Can f 6 is a dog allergen from the family of lipocalins. Lipocalins owe their name to the fact that they usually carry lipids (or other hydrophobic compounds). These are important allergens to humans, existent in dog saliva [36]. The amounts of lipocalin are usually increased in obesity [37].

The limitations of this study include a relatively low number of animals used, although it is in line with previously reported studies based on proteomic approach [24]. The need for a considerable number of animals was highlighted by the different results obtained for the two sub-populations of animals used in this study. It was necessary to increase the number of animals to access differences in salivary proteins that were not previously observed using only six animals per group. The studied animals were from different households with different diets,
environmental conditions and care. Although this could contribute to increase variability, this had the advantage of permitting to evaluate a naturally occurring ORMD and get a true clinical picture. Furthermore, ideally a validation of the analyses of interest detected in this study would be desirable in a population of 200–300 dogs, with ORMD, to get an estimated power of 75%.

5. Conclusion

In the present study, salivary proteomes of dogs with and without ORMD were compared and nine proteins with different abundance levels were identified, with two additional proteins observed (using PLS-DA multivariate model) as contributing to separating groups. Among these, proteins involved in glycolysis, immune system and oxidative stress were increased in the saliva of ORMD animals.

Saliva is a fluid presenting variations among individual animals, breeds, through the day and with different stimulation. Moreover, metabolic syndrome includes different combinations of diseases. Even with these factors leading to variability in dogs with ORMD, the referred salivary proteins were observed to differ between groups. As such, the present study shows the potential of saliva to study metabolic diseases in dogs. However, further long-scale studies are needed to confirm these findings and to increase the knowledge about the possible associations between these proteins and ORMD in dogs.
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